Treatment of Aspergillosis: Clinical Practice Guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Society of America

Thomas J. Walsh,^{1,a} Elias J. Anaissie,² David W. Denning,¹³ Raoul Herbrecht,¹⁴ Dimitrios P. Kontoyiannis,³ Kieren A. Marr,⁵ Vicki A. Morrison,^{6,7} Brahm H Segal,⁸ William J. Steinbach,⁹ David A. Stevens,^{10,11} Jo-Anne van Burik,⁷ John R. Wingard,¹² and Thomas F. Patterson^{4,a}

¹Pediatric Oncology Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland; ²University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock; ³The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, and ⁴The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio; ⁵Oregon Health and Sciences University, Portland; ⁶Veterans Affairs Medical Center and ⁷University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota; ⁸Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, New York; ⁹Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina; ¹⁰Santa Clara Valley Medical Center, San Jose, and ¹¹Stanford University, Palo Alto, California; ¹²University of Florida, College of Medicine, Gainesville, Florida; ¹³University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom; and ¹⁴University Hospital of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Aspergillus species have emerged as an important cause of life-threatening infections in immunocompromised patients. This expanding population is composed of patients with prolonged neutropenia, advanced HIV infection, and inherited immunodeficiency and patients who have undergone allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) and/or lung transplantation. This document constitutes the guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Society of America for treatment of aspergillosis and replaces the practice guidelines for *Aspergillus* published in 2000 [1]. The objective of these

It is important to realize that guidelines cannot always account for individual variation among patients. They are not intended to supplant physician judgment with respect to particular patients or special clinical situations and cannot be considered inclusive of all proper methods of care or exclusive of other treatments reasonably directed at obtaining the same results. Accordingly, the Infectious Diseases Society of America considers adherence to these guidelines to be voluntary, with the ultimate determination regarding their application to be made by the physician in light of each patient's individual circumstances.

 $^{\rm a}$ T.J.W. and T.F.P. served as co-chairs for the Infectious Diseases Society of America Aspergillus Guidelines Committee.

Reprints or correspondence: Dr. Thomas F. Patterson, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, Dept. of Medicine/Infectious Diseases, 7703 Floyd Curl Dr., MSC 7881, San Antonio, TX 78229-3900 (patterson @uthscsa.edu).

Clinical Infectious Diseases 2008; 46:327-60

© 2008 by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. 1058-4838/2008/4603-0001\$15.00 DOI: 10.1086/525258 guidelines is to summarize the current evidence for treatment of different forms of aspergillosis. The quality of evidence for treatment is scored according to a standard system used in other Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines. This document reviews guidelines for management of the 3 major forms of aspergillosis: invasive aspergillosis, chronic (and saprophytic) forms of aspergillosis, and allergic forms of aspergillosis. Given the public health importance of invasive aspergillosis, emphasis is placed on the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of the different forms of invasive aspergillosis, including invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, sinus aspergillosis, disseminated aspergillosis, and several types of single-organ invasive aspergillosis.

There are few randomized trials on the treatment of invasive aspergillosis. The largest randomized controlled trial demonstrates that voriconazole is superior to deoxycholate amphotericin B (D-AMB) as primary treatment for invasive aspergillosis. Voriconazole is recommended for the primary treatment of invasive aspergillosis in most patients (A-I). Although invasive pulmonary aspergillosis accounts for the preponderance of cases treated with voriconazole, voriconazole has been used in enough cases of extrapulmonary and disseminated infection to allow one to infer that voriconazole is effective in these cases. A randomized trial comparing 2 doses of liposomal amphotericin B (L-AMB) showed similar efficacy in both arms, suggesting that liposomal therapy could be considered as alternative primary therapy in some patients (A-I). For sal-

Received 23 October 2007; accepted 24 October 2007; electronically published 4 January 2008.

These guidelines were developed and issued on behalf of the Infectious Diseases Society of America.

vage therapy, agents include lipid formulations of amphotericin (LFAB; A-II), posaconazole (B-II), itraconazole (B-II), caspofungin (B-II), or micafungin (B-II). Salvage therapy for invasive aspergillosis poses important challenges with significant gaps in knowledge. In patients whose aspergillosis is refractory to voriconazole, a paucity of data exist to guide management. Therapeutic options include a change of class using an amphotericin B (AMB) formulation or an echinocandin, such as caspofungin (B-II); further use of azoles should take into account host factors and pharmacokinetic considerations. Refractory infection may respond to a change to another drug class (B-II) or to a combination of agents (B-II). The role of combination therapy in the treatment of invasive aspergillosis as primary or salvage therapy is uncertain and warrants a prospective, controlled clinical trial.

Assessment of patients with refractory aspergillosis may be difficult. In evaluating such patients, the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis should be established if it was previously uncertain and should be confirmed if it was previously known. The drug dosage should be considered. Management options include a change to intravenous (IV) therapy, therapeutic monitoring of drug levels, change of drug class, and/or combination therapy.

Antifungal prophylaxis with posaconazole can be recommended in the subgroup of HSCT recipients with graft-versushost disease (GVHD) who are at high risk for invasive aspergillosis and in neutropenic patients with acute myelogenous leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome who are at high risk for invasive aspergillosis (A-I). Management of breakthrough invasive aspergillosis in the context of mould-active azole prophylaxis is not defined by clinical trial data. The approach to such patients should be individualized on the basis of clinical criteria, including host immunosuppression, underlying disease, and site of infection, as well as consideration of antifungal dosing, therapeutic monitoring of drug levels, a switch to IV therapy, and/or a switch to another drug class (B-III).

Certain conditions of invasive aspergillosis warrant consideration for surgical resection of the infected focus. These include but are not limited to pulmonary lesions contiguous with the heart or great vessels, invasion of the chest wall, osteomyelitis, pericardial infection, and endocarditis (B-III). Restoration of impaired host defenses is critical for improved outcome of invasive aspergillosis (A-III). Recovery from neutropenia in a persistently neutropenic host or reduction of corticosteroids in a patient receiving high-dose glucocorticosteroids is paramount for improved outcome in invasive aspergillosis.

A special consideration is made concerning recommendations for therapy of aspergillosis in uncommon sites, such as osteomyelitis and endocarditis. There are very limited data on these infections, and most involve D-AMB as primary therapy simply because of its long-standing availability. Based on the strength of the randomized study, the panel recommends voriconazole for primary treatment of these very uncommon manifestations of invasive aspergillosis (B-III).

Management of the chronic or saprophytic forms of aspergillosis varies depending on the condition. Single pulmonary aspergillomas may be best managed by surgical resection (B-III), whereas chronic cavitary and chronic necrotizing pulmonary aspergillosis require long-term medical therapy (B-III).

The management of allergic forms of aspergillosis involves a combination of medical and anti-inflammatory therapy. For example, management of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA) involves the administration of itraconazole and corticosteroids (A-I).

INTRODUCTION

Heretofore considered to be an unusual cause of infection, Aspergillus species have emerged as important causes of morbidity and mortality in immunocompromised patients [2-4]. Invasive aspergillosis currently constitutes the most common cause of infectious pneumonic mortality in patients undergoing HSCT and is an important cause of opportunistic respiratory and disseminated infection in other immunocompromised patients [5-11]. Furthermore, Aspergillus species also produce a wide range of chronic, saprophytic, and allergic conditions. Although other forms of aspergillosis, such as ABPA, allergic sinusitis, and saprophytic infection, are also causes of morbidity, they are seldom life-threatening. Throughout this document, treatment recommendations are rated according to the standard scoring system of the Infectious Diseases Society of America and United Stated Public Health Service for rating recommendations in clinical guidelines, as summarized in table 1.

MICROBIOLOGY AND EPIDEMIOLOGY OF ASPERGILLOSIS

Organisms. Aspergillus fumigatus is the most common species recovered from cases of invasive aspergillosis [12]. The next most commonly recovered species are *Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus niger,* and *Aspergillus terreus* [13]. Some institutions may have a predominance of *A. flavus* or *A. terreus* as the most frequently recovered species of *Aspergillus* [14]. *A. terreus* is clinically resistant to AMB, but species, including *A. flavus, Aspergillus lentulus, Aspergillus nidulans, Aspergillus ustus, Aspergillus glaucus,* and others, can also demonstrate resistance [15–20].

Classification and definitions. Aspergillosis causes patient afflictions that are classically defined as invasive, saprophytic, or allergic [21]. Invasive diseases caused by *Aspergillus* species include infections of the lower respiratory tract, sinuses, and skin as portals of entry. The CNS, cardiovascular system, and other tissues may be infected as a result of hematogenous dis-

 Table 1. Infectious Diseases Society of America–United States Public Health Service grading system for ranking recommendations in clinical guidelines.

Category, grade	Definition		
Strength of recommendation			
А	Good evidence to support a recommendation for use		
В	Moderate evidence to support a recommendation for use		
С	Poor evidence to support a recommendation		
Quality of evidence			
I	Evidence from ≥1 properly randomized, controlled trial		
II	Evidence from ≥1 well-designed clinical trial, without randomization; from co- hort or case-controlled analytic studies (preferably from >1 center); from multiple time-series; or from dramatic results from uncontrolled experiments		
111	Evidence from opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical experience, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees		

semination or direct extension from contiguous foci of infection. Saprophytic involvement includes *Aspergillus* otomycosis and pulmonary aspergilloma. Allergic conditions encompass allergic *Aspergillus* sinusitis and allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis [22]. Although other classifications have been proposed, reference to the above clinical conditions will be made throughout these guidelines.

Members of the European Organization for Research in Treatment of Cancer–Invasive Fungal Infection Cooperative Group and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group formed a Consensus Committee to develop standard definitions for invasive fungal infections for clinical research [23]. Based on a review of the literature and an international consensus, a set of research-oriented definitions for invasive fungal infections (including invasive aspergillosis), as observed in immunocompromised patients with cancer, was developed. Three levels of certainty of invasive aspergillosis were defined: proven, probable, and possible. Although the definitions are intended for use in the context of clinical and/or epidemiological research, they provide a standard set of criteria by which guidelines can be developed for the treatment of invasive aspergillosis.

The definition for proven aspergillosis requires histopathological documentation of infection and a positive result of culture of a specimen from a normally sterile site. The definition of probable aspergillosis requires the fulfillment of criteria within 3 categories: host factors, clinical manifestations (symptoms, signs, and radiological features), and microbiological evidence. Throughout these guidelines, the term "invasive aspergillosis" will assume a diagnostic certainty of proven or probable invasive aspergillosis. With 2 important exceptions, proven or probable infection requires the recovery of an organism. The first exception includes the fairly frequent occurrence of histopathological demonstration of hyphae consistent with *Aspergillus* species in patients with negative culture results. The other exception consists of fulfilling the diagnostic criteria for probable invasive aspergillosis with a surrogate non–culturebased method (i.e., a positive galactomannan assay or β -glucan assay result and radiologically compatible CT findings) in an immunocompromised host with clinical findings of infection that constitute the definition of probable invasive aspergillosis.

Several other points bear note concerning these definitions of aspergillosis. First, the term "probable" denotes a relatively high degree of certainty that the signs and symptoms of infection in the immunocompromised host are truly due to an Aspergillus species. A study by Stevens and Lee [24] that examined response of invasive aspergillosis to itraconazole using Mycoses Study Group definitions found similar outcomes for proven and probable invasive aspergillosis, suggesting that combining these 2 categories is appropriate for outcomes analyses. Second, the European Organization for Research in Treatment of Cancer-Mycoses Study Group document clearly articulates that the consensus definitions are not intended to be a direct guide to practice [23]. Third, the definitions are principally applicable to immunocompromised patients with cancer and HSCT recipients. These definitions are currently being refined to reflect increasing understanding of the patterns of invasive aspergillosis in an expanded population of immunocompromised patients.

Diagnosis. Aspergillus species grow well on standard media and can be identified to species level in most laboratories. Culture confirmation, where possible, is important to differentiate aspergillosis from other filamentous fungal infections, such as fusariosis and scedosporiosis. Blood cultures are of limited utility, because the results are often not positive even in disseminated infection. Bronchoalveolar lavage, transthoracic percutaneous needle aspiration, or video assisted thoracoscopic biopsy are standard procedures for establishing a diagnosis of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Fluid and tissue specimens from these procedures may reveal characteristic angular dichotomously branching septate hyphae on direct microscopic examination and/or Aspergillus species on culture. Where feasible, specimens obtained from these procedures are cultured on fungal media for optimal growth of Aspergillus species [25, 26]. However, results of cytologic examination, pathologic examination, direct smears, and culture may be falsely negative for clinical specimens from patients who are already receiving systemic antifungal therapy and in cases in which the diagnostic procedure could not be performed directly in the affected area (e.g., when the bronchoscopic examination or washing could not be performed directly in the affected area or when the bronchoscope or biopsy needle could not reach the infected tissues). Thus, lack of a positive culture or direct smear result does not rule out the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. Moreover, recovery of Aspergillus species from clinical specimens by invasive procedures may be impractical in patients who are hemodynamically unstable, are severely hypoxic, have low platelet counts, or have advanced coagulation deficits. Thus, other markers of infection are often used in the assessment of patients at risk for invasive aspergillosis.

Increasing recognition of the halo sign and air-crescent sign by improved CT technology in immunocompromised patients has greatly facilitated the diagnosis of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in patients with hematologic conditions [27–31]. Although these radiological features are characteristic, they are not diagnostic of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Infections due to other angioinvasive filamentous fungi, such as Zygomycetes, *Fusarium* species, and *Scedosporium* species, as well as to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Nocardia* species, may cause a halo sign and other radiological features described for aspergillosis. Although these more characteristic radiological patterns of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis have been well described in neutropenic hosts, less is known about the features of these lesions in other immunocompromised patients [27, 29].

The availability of the galactomannan EIA also may contribute substantially toward a non-culture-based diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. EIA for galactomannan has been validated in animal models and in patients as a surrogate marker for detection of invasive aspergillosis [32-42]. Galactomannan antigen has also been detected in CSF samples from patients with CNS aspergillosis [43-45] and in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid specimens from patients with invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, although the use of EIA for galactomannan in such contexts is investigational [46, 47]. In addition to facilitating early detection, serial assessment of galactomannan antigenemia may facilitate therapeutic monitoring [48, 49]. However, the use of serial galactomannan for therapeutic monitoring remains investigational. Thus, duration of therapy should be determined, not solely by normalization of antigenemia, but also by resolution of clinical and radiological findings.

Several well-conducted studies of this EIA system have demonstrated good sensitivity in the detection of invasive aspergillosis in patients with hematological malignancy [33, 35, 50-52]. However, the sensitivity in nonneutropenic patients may be lower, possibly because of a lower residual fungal burden or anti-Aspergillus antibodies [53, 54]. The combined use of serum galactomannan antigen measurement and detection of pulmonary infiltrates by early use of CT should improve detection of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis and permit earlier initiation of antifungal therapy [55]. Several variables, including antifungal therapy or prophylaxis, significantly reduce levels of circulating galactomannan [35, 52]. False-positive results have been reported in several contexts, including in patients who have received certain antibiotics (pipercillin-tazobactam and amoxicillin-clavulanate), in cases of neonatal colonization with Bifidobacterium, the in cases in which plasmalyte is used in bronchioalveolar lavage fluids, and in patients with other invasive mycoses (including Penicillium, histoplasmosis, and blastomycosis) [36, 56-61]. Despite these limitations, this assay is a useful adjunctive test to establish an early diagnosis, particularly when used in serial screening of patients at high risk of infection.

Other potential circulating markers for detection of aspergillosis include $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - β -D-glucans detected by the Tachypleus or Limulus assay [62-66]. The Tachypleus or Limulus assay used to detect the presence of $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - β -D-glucans is a variation of the limulus assay used to detect endotoxin. The presence of $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - β -D-glucans in serum signifies the presence of fungal invasion but is not specific for Aspergillus species [67]. Falsepositive results can occur in a variety of contexts, such as through glucan contaminated blood collection tubes, gauze, depth-type membrane filters for blood processing, and in vitro tests using various antibiotics (e.g., some cephalosporins, carbapenems, and ampicillin-sulbactam) [68]. The Fungitell assay (Associates of Cape Cod) for detection of $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - β -D-glucans is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the diagnosis of invasive mycoses, including aspergillosis [66, 69]. One study reported that, among 283 patients with acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome who were receiving antifungal prophylaxis, the $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - β -D-glucan assay was sensitive and specific in early detection of 20 proven or probable invasive fungal infections, including candidiasis, fusariosis, trichosporonosis, and aspergillosis [66, 69]. The database for this assay in other populations at high risk for invasive aspergillosis is limited, and more research is required in these populations [66, 69]. PCR-based diagnosis, which amplifies Aspergillus-specific fungal genes (usually ribosomal DNA genes), has shown considerable promise for invasive aspergillosis [70-79]. However, these systems have not been standardized, are not commercially available, and remain investigational [80]. Combining non-culture-based diagnostics (e.g., PCR and GM and GM and $[1\rightarrow 3]$ - β -D-glucan) is an important research

direction that may improve the overall predictive value of these systems.

The development of standardized methodology for antifungal susceptibility testing is another recent advance in the laboratory evaluation of *Aspergillus* species. Interpretive breakpoints have not been established for any of the antifungal agents against filamentous fungi. However, new developments through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute provide reproducible methods for antifungal susceptibility testing. Further studies using these in vitro methods may lead to improved rationale for selection of antifungal compounds in the treatment of invasive aspergillosis. Although azole resistance by *Aspergillus* species is unusual, patients exposed chronically to antifungal triazoles have been reported to have refractory infection caused by isolates with elevated MICs [81, 82].

Recognizing that other filamentous fungi, such as *Fusarium* species, *Scedosporium* species, various dematiaceous (pigmented) moulds, and Zygomycetes, may cause similar patterns of infection, a definitive microbiological diagnosis should be established where possible. Non-*Aspergillus* filamentous fungi may require different antifungal agents and may carry a prognosis that is distinct from those of *Aspergillus* species.

ANTIFUNGAL COMPOUNDS USED FOR TREATMENT OF INVASIVE ASPERGILLOSIS

Over the past decade, a considerable expansion in antifungal drug research and the clinical development of several new compounds and strategies targeted against invasive aspergillosis have occurred [83]. The following FDA-approved compounds have in vitro, in vivo, and clinical activity against *Aspergillus* species and are licensed for treatment of invasive aspergillosis: D-AMB and its lipid formulations (AMB lipid complex [ABLC], L-AMB, and AMB colloidal dispersion [ABCD]), itraconazole, voriconazole, posaconazole, and caspofungin.

Voriconazole and D-AMB are the only compounds licensed in the United States for primary treatment of invasive aspergillosis. The LFABs, itraconazole, and caspofungin are approved for salvage therapy of invasive aspergillosis. Posaconazole is licensed for prophylaxis of invasive aspergillosis in neutropenic patients with leukemia and myelodysplasia and in allogeneic HSCT recipients with GVHD. Posaconazole also was approved in the European Union for treatment of invasive aspergillosis that is refractory to an AMB formulation or to itraconazole. Micafungin and anidulafungin, which are also members of the class of echinocandins, have in vitro, in vivo, and clinical activity against aspergillosis but are not licensed in the United States for this indication. Antifungal management of invasive aspergillosis is summarized in table 2. A comprehensive review of antifungal compounds is beyond the scope of these guidelines and is covered in detail elsewhere [84-86]. Because the

experience of administration of these agents is predominantly in adults, specific notice is given to the need for adjustment of dosages in pediatric patients, to obtain plasma exposures comparable to those of adults. These pharmacological differences in pediatric and adult dosing are discussed in more detail elsewhere [87, 88].

AMB

AMB is a natural polyene macrolide antibiotic that consists of 7 conjugated double bonds, an internal ester, a free carboxyl group, and a glycoside side chain with a primary amino group. It is not orally absorbed. For IV use, AMB has been solubilized with deoxycholate as micellar suspension (D-AMB). AMB primarily acts by binding to ergosterol (the principal sterol in the cell membrane of most medically important fungi), leading to the formation of ion channels and fungal cell death. AMB also binds to cholesterol (the main sterol of mammalian cell membranes), although with less avidity than for ergosterol, resulting in cellular injury and end organ dysfunction. A second mechanism of action of AMB may involve oxidative damage of the cell through a cascade of oxidative reactions linked to lipoperoxidation of the cell membrane. AMB has in vitro and in vivo activity against most Aspergillus species. Most isolates of A. terreus are resistant to AMB in vitro, in vivo, and in patients.

Following IV administration, AMB becomes highly protein bound before distributing predominantly into the reticuloendothelial tissues (liver, spleen, bone marrow, and lung) and the kidney. Peak plasma concentrations of 2–4 μ g/mL are achieved following IV infusion of 1 mg/kg of D-AMB. Clearance from plasma is slow, with a β half-life of 24–48 h and a terminal half-life of \geq 15 days. Despite mostly undetectable concentrations in the CSF, D-AMB is active in the treatment of some fungal infections of the CNS because of its penetration into infected brain tissue via a disrupted blood-brain barrier.

D-AMB causes acute infusion-related reactions and doselimiting nephrotoxicity. Infusion-related reactions include fever, rigors, chills, myalgias, arthralgias, nausea, vomiting, headaches, and bronchospasm. D-AMB–induced nephrotoxicity is characterized by azotemia, urinary wasting of potassium and magnesium, renal tubular acidosis, and impaired urinary concentration ability. Azotemia attributable to D-AMB is particularly common in the doses required for treatment of invasive aspergillosis. D-AMB–related azotemia is exacerbated by concomitant nephrotoxic agents, particularly cyclosporine and tacrolimus. Renal toxicity associated with the use of D-AMB has the potential to lead to renal failure and dialysis, particularly in HSCT recipients and in patients with diabetes mellitus, patients with underlying renal impairment, and patients receiving concomitant nephrotoxic agents. Hospitalized patients receiv-

Table 2.	Summary of	recommendations	for the	treatment of	f aspergillosis.
----------	------------	-----------------	---------	--------------	------------------

	The		
Condition	Primary	Alternative ^b	Comments
Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	Voriconazole (6 mg/kg IV every 12 h for 1 day, followed by 4 mg/kg IV every 12 h; oral dosage is 200 mg every 12 h)	L-AMB (3–5 mg/kg/day IV), ABLC (5 mg/ kg/day IV), caspofungin (70 mg day 1 IV and 50 mg/day IV thereafter), micafun- gin (IV 100–150 mg/day; dose not esta- blished ⁶), posaconazole (200 mg QID initially, then 400 mg BID PO after sta- bilization of disease ⁴), itraconazole (dos- age depends upon formulation) ^e	Primary combination ther- apy is not routinely rec- ommended based on lack of clinical data; ad- dition of another agent or switch to another drug class for salvage therapy may be consid- ered in individual pa- tients; dosage in pediat- ric patients for voriconazole is 5–7 mg/ kg IV every 12 h and for caspofungin is 50 mg/ m²/day; limited clinical experience is reported with anidulafungin; dos- age of posaconazole in pediatric patients has not been defined; indi- cations for surgical in- tervention are outlined in table 3
Invasive sinus aspergillosis	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	Similar to invasive pulmo- nary aspergillosis
Tracheobronchial aspergillosis	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	Similar to invasive pulmo- nary aspergillosis
Chronic necrotizing pulmonary aspergillosis (subacute inva- sive pulmonary aspergillosis)	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	Because chronic necrotiz- ing pulmonary aspergil- losis requires a pro- tracted course of therapy measured in months, an orally ad- ministered triazole, such as voriconazole or itra- conazole, would be pre- ferred over a parenter- ally administered agent
Aspergillosis of the CNS	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	This infection is associ- ated with the highest mortality among all of the different patterns of invasive aspergillosis; drug interactions with anticonvulsant therapy
Aspergillus infections of the heart (endocarditis, pericardi- tis, and myocarditis)	f	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	Endocardial lesions caused by <i>Aspergillus</i> species require surgical resection; aspergillus pericarditis usually re- quires pericardiectomy
Aspergillus osteomyelitis and septic arthritis	ť	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	Surgical resection of devi- talized bone and carti- lage is important for cu- rative intent
Aspergillus infections of the eye (endophthalmitis and keratitis)	Intraocular AMB indicated with partial vitrectomy ^f	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillo- sis; limited data with echinocandins	Systemic therapy may be beneficial in manage- ment of aspergillus en- dophthalmitis; ophthal- mologic intervention and management is rec- ommended for all forms of ocular infection; topi- cal therapy for keratitis is indicated

Table 2. (Continued.)

	Ther		
Condition	Primary	Alternative ^b	Comments
Cutaneous aspergillosis	^f	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	Surgical resection is indi- cated where feasible
Aspergillus peritonitis	f	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	
Empirical and preemptive anti- fungal therapy	For empirical antifungal therapy, L-AMB (3 mg/kg/day IV), caspofungin (70 mg day 1 IV and 50 mg/day IV thereafter), itra- conazole (200 mg every day IV or 200 mg BID), voriconazole (6 mg/kg IV ev- ery 12h for 1 day, followed by 3 mg/kg IV every 12 h; oral dosage is 200 mg every 12 h)		Preemptive therapy is a logical extension of em- pirical antifungal therapy in defining a high-risk population with evi- dence of invasive fungal infection (e.g., pulmo- nary infiltrate or positive galactomannan assay result)
Prophylaxis against invasive aspergillosis	Posaconazole (200 mg every 8h)	Itraconazole (200 mg every 12 h IV for 2 days, then 200 mg every 24 h IV) or itraconazole (200 mg PO every 12 h); micafungin (50 mg/day)	Efficacy of posaconazole prophylaxis demon- strated in high-risk pa- tients (patients with GVHD and neutropenic patients with AML and MDS)
Aspergilloma ⁹	No therapy or surgical resection	Itraconazole or voriconazole; similar to in- vasive pulmonary aspergillosis	The role of medical ther- apy in treatment of as- pergilloma is uncertain; penetration into preex- isting cavities may be minimal for AMB but is excellent for itraconazole
Chronic cavitary pulmonary aspergillosis ⁹	Itraconazole or voriconazole	Similar to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis	Innate immune defects demonstrated in most of these patients; long- term therapy may be needed; surgical resec- tion may lead to signifi- cant complications; an- ecdotal responses to IFN-γ
Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis	Itraconazole	Oral voriconazole (200 mg PO every 12 h) or posaconazole (400 mg PO BID)	Corticosteroids are a cor- nerstone of therapy; itraconazole has a de- monstrable corticoste- roid-sparing effect
Allergic aspergillus sinusitis	None or itraconazole	Few data on other agents	

NOTE. ABLC, AMB lipid complex; AMB, amphotericin B; AML, acute myelogenous leukemia; BID, twice daily; GVHD, graft-versus-host disease; IV, intravenous; L-AMB, liposomal AMB; MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; PO, orally; QID, 4 times daily.

^a Duration of therapy for most conditions for aspergillosis has not been optimally defined. Most experts attempt to treat pulmonary infection until resolution or stabilization of all clinical and radiographic manifestations. Other factors include site of infection (e.g., osteomyelitis), level of immunosuppression, and extent of disease. Reversal of immunosuppression, if feasible, is important for a favorable outcome for invasive aspergillosis.

^b Alternative (salvage) therapy for patients refractory to or intolerant of primary antifungal therapy.

^c Micafungin has been evaluated as salvage therapy for invasive aspergillosis but remains investigational for this indication, and the dosage has not been established.

^d Posaconazole has been approved for the salvage treatment of invasive aspergillosis in the European Union but has not been evaluated as primary therapy for invasive aspergillosis.

^e Dosage of itraconazole in treatment of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis depends on formulation. The dosage for tablets is 600 mg/day for 3 days, followed by 400 mg/day. Although used in some case reports, oral solution is not licensed for treatment of invasive aspergillosis. Parenteral formulation has been studied in a limited series using a dosage of 200 mg every 12h IV for 2 days, followed by 200 mg daily thereafter (whether this is an optimal dosage has not been defined).

^f Most of these cases have been treated primarily with deoxycholate AMB in individual case reports. Although the preponderance of cases treated with voriconazole in the randomized trial consisted of pulmonary invasive aspergillosis, successful treatment of other cases of extrapulmonary and disseminated infection allows one to infer that voriconazole would also be effective in these cases, so that voriconazole is recommended as primary therapy for most of these patients.

^g A more recent classification divides aspergilloma into 2 categories: chronic cavitary and single aspergilloma. The latter does not require antifungal therapy but does require surgical therapy under some circumstances, and the former requires long-term antifungal therapy.

ing D-AMB have been reported to sustain a high frequency of renal insufficiency and an excess mortality [89, 90].

LFAB

Three LFABs have been approved in the United States and the European Union: ABCD (Amphocil or Amphotec), ABLC (Abelcet), and a small unilamellar vesicle L-AMB (AmBisome). Because of their reduced nephrotoxicity in comparison with D-AMB, these compounds allow for the infusion of higher dosages of AMB. Higher dosages are required for equivalent antifungal efficacy, because amphotericin has to be released from the synthetic phospholipids when in close proximity to ergosterol, allowing for delivery of enough AMB to the site of infection.

Each of the lipid formulations has plasma pharmacokinetic properties that are distinct from those of AMB. All 3 LFABs preferentially distribute to reticulo-endothelial system tissues and functionally spare the kidney. In the kidney, less AMB is released from the lipid carrier, because the synthetic phospholipids have a greater affinity for AMB than does cholesterol in renal epithelial cell membranes.

Infusion-related adverse effects of fever, chills, and rigor are less frequent with L-AMB, compared with D-AMB. However, individual cases of substernal chest discomfort, respiratory distress, and sharp flank pain have been noted during infusion of L-AMB, and in a comparative study, hypoxic episodes associated with fever and chills were more frequent in ABCD recipients than in D-AMB recipients. Mild increases in serum bilirubin and alkaline phosphatase levels have been observed with all 3 formulations. Idiosyncratic reactions to one LFAB do not preclude the use of another LFAB.

ABLC and ABCD are approved at dosages of 5 mg/kg/day and 3-4 mg/kg/day, respectively, and L-AMB is approved at a dosage of 3-5 mg/kg/day for salvage therapy of invasive aspergillosis. A dosage of 3 mg/kg/day of L-AMB is used initially for empirical antifungal therapy in persistently febrile neutropenic patients. The optimal dosage for treatment of invasive aspergillosis has not been defined for any of the LFABs. Although many experts would use the higher dosage range for treatment of documented infection, there are no data from controlled trials supporting higher dosages. Although L-AMB has been safely administered at dosages as high as 15 mg/kg/ day, one study did not demonstrate a trend to a dose-response relationship [91]. That higher dosages of L-AMB are not necessarily equivalent to greater response rate was recently demonstrated by Cornely et al. [92]. This recent prospective, randomized trial of L-AMB, which compared a dosage of 3 mg/ kg/day with a dosage of 10 mg/kg/day for primary treatment of proven and probable invasive aspergillosis in 201 patients, found similar survival rates and overall response rates; greater toxicity was seen in the higher-dosage group. The dose-response relationships for ABLC and ABCD have not been well studied. Whether higher dosages of LFABs are beneficial in the treatment of CNS aspergillosis, in other sites of infection, or in certain conditions is also not well defined. Dosages of LFABs in pediatric and adult patients achieve similar plasma exposures of AMB.

Antifungal Triazoles

The antifungal triazoles are synthetic compounds that have ≥ 1 triazole ring attached to an isobutyl core (e.g., voriconazole, ravuconazole, and isavuconazole) or to an asymmetric carbon atom with a lipophilic complex mixed functional aromatic chain (e.g., itraconazole and posaconazole). These 2 classes of anti-Aspergillus triazoles vary in their pharmacology and mechanisms of resistance. Fluconazole, which also is an antifungal triazole, is not active against invasive aspergillosis. Voriconazole is FDA approved for the primary treatment of invasive aspergillosis. Itraconazole is licensed for treatment of invasive aspergillosis in patients who are refractory to or intolerant of standard antifungal therapy. Posaconazole is FDA approved for prevention of invasive aspergillosis in neutropenic patients receiving remission induction chemotherapy for acute myelogenous leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome and for HSCT recipients with GVHD. The antifungal triazoles target ergosterol biosynthesis by inhibiting the fungal cytochrome P450-dependent enzyme lanosterol 14- α -demethylase, resulting in altered cell membrane function and cell death or inhibition of cell growth and replication. The triazoles also inhibit cytochrome P450-dependent enzymes of the fungal respiration chain. The anti-Aspergillus triazoles are active in vitro and in vivo against all common species of Aspergillus. Although some isolates of A. fumigatus have been found to be resistant to itraconazole, resistance to the anti-Aspergillus triazoles has been unusual thus far; however, recent studies suggest that the rate may be increasing [82, 93].

Voriconazole. Voriconazole is formulated as tablets or as a sulfobutyl-ether cyclodextrin solution for IV administration. Sulfobutyl-ether cyclodextrin and voriconazole dissociate in plasma and follow their own disposition. As the cyclodextrin molecule is renally cleared, accumulation of the vehicle occurs in individuals with renal insufficiency. The consequences of plasma accumulation of sulfobutyl-ether cyclodextrin are uncertain at this time, and caution is advised when using the IV formulation in patients with renal impairment (C-III). The relative benefits and uncertain risks of the sulfobutyl-ether cyclodextrin parenteral solution of voriconazole in the context of invasive aspergillosis and renal failure should be determined on an individual patient basis. This concern does not apply to orally administered voriconazole. The oral formulation has good bioavailability in the fed or fasted state. Voriconazole is widely distributed in mammalian tissues, with CSF levels of ~50% in plasma levels. The elimination half-life of ~6 h warrants twice-daily dosing. Voriconazole is hepatically metabolized, with only 5% of the drug appearing unchanged in the urine. This agent exhibits nonlinear pharmacokinetics, with maximum concentration in plasma and area under the curve increasing disproportionally with increasing dose. Voriconazole is both a substrate and an inhibitor of CYP2C19, CYP2C9, and CYP3A4. The patient's current medications should be reviewed for potentially deleterious drug interactions. Allelic polymorphisms in CYP2C19 may result phenotypically in rapid or slow metabolism of voriconazole, possible resulting in significant variation in plasma concentrations. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms contributing to slow metabolism are represented in higher frequencies among non-Indian Asian populations than among other populations.

Treatment of invasive aspergillosis with voriconazole is initiated with a loading dose of 6 mg/kg IV every 12 h for 2 doses, followed by 4 mg/kg every 12 h. These dosages are greater than those routinely administered for oral therapy (200 mg every 12 h). Oral therapy can be approximated to the standard IV dosage by using 4 mg/kg/dose rounded up to convenient pill sizes (B-III), although use of oral voriconazole in these doses is investigational and has not been carefully studied. Because patients initially received IV therapy in the original randomized clinical trial of voriconazole, parenteral therapy, where feasible, is recommended to approximate the results of that study. Because of the more accelerated metabolic clearance in pediatric patients, the doses of voriconazole may be higher [94]. A maintenance dosage of 7 mg/kg twice daily in pediatric patients is recommended by the European Medicines Agency for the attainment of plasma levels comparable to those of adults. Loading regimens in pediatric populations have not been adequately studied. Measurement of serum levels, especially in patients receiving oral therapy, may be useful in some patients, either to evaluate for potential toxicity or to document adequate drug exposure, especially in progressive infection (B-III) [95].

Voriconazole's profile of adverse reactions includes transient visual disturbances (characterized principally by photopsia); hepatotoxicity, which may be dose limiting (manifested by elevated serum bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, and hepatic aminotransferase enzyme levels); skin rash (usually in sunlightexposed areas), visual hallucinations; and others [85].

Itraconazole. Itraconazole is a high molecular weight, highly lipophilic compound that is formulated as capsules, oral solution in hydroxypropyl- β -cyclodextrin (HPCD), and parenteral solution that also uses HPCD as solubilizer. Absorption from the capsular formulation, which is enhanced by low gastric pH and dietary lipids, may be erratic or negligible in the fasting state, particularly in granulocytopenic patients with cancer and in patients with hypochlorhydria, and its use in seriously ill patients with life-threatening infection is not recommended.

Absorption is improved when the capsules are taken with food or an acidic cola beverage. HPCD solution of itraconazole provides more-uniform oral bioavailability that is further enhanced in the fasting state. Systemic absorption of the cyclodextrin carrier is negligible.

Itraconazole is extensively metabolized in the liver and is excreted in metabolized form into bile and urine. The major metabolite, hydroxy-itraconazole, possesses antifungal activity that is similar to that of itraconazole [96-98]. Most observed reactions to itraconazole are transient and include nausea and vomiting, hypertriglyceridemia, hypokalemia, and elevated hepatic aminotransferase enzyme levels. Gastrointestinal intolerance appears to be more frequent with oral HPCD itraconazole solution. Because itraconazole use may infrequently cause negative inotropic effects, it should be administered with caution to patients with ventricular dysfunction. Itraconazole is a substrate of CYP3A4 but also interacts with the heme moiety of CYP3A4, resulting in noncompetitive inhibition of oxidative metabolism of many CYP3A4 substrates. Serious interactions with some chemotherapeutic agents (e.g., cyclophosphamide) further limit its use [99].

The recommended dosage range of oral itraconazole in adults is 400 mg/day (capsules) and 2.5 mg/kg twice daily (HPCD solution). In pediatric patients aged >5 years, a dosage of oral itraconazole HPCD solution of 2.5 mg/kg twice daily has been recommended [100]. The approved adult dosages of IV HPCD itraconazole are 200 mg twice daily for 2 days, followed by 200 mg once daily for a maximum of 12 days. Because of the erratic bioavailability of itraconazole, measurements of plasma concentrations of itraconazole by bioassay or by HPLC are recommended during oral therapy of invasive aspergillosis (A-III).

Posaconazole. Posaconazole is structurally similar to itraconazole but has been studied in the treatment of invasive aspergillosis only in the oral formulation. Posaconazole exhibits not only linear kinetics but also saturable absorption; thus, oral loading doses are not possible. Steady-state levels may not be achieved for up to a week with posaconazole therapy, which may impact its use in primary therapy. Posaconazole undergoes hepatic metabolism via glucuronidation and also has the capacity for drug-drug interactions through inhibition of CYP450 3A4 isoenzymes. Significantly more toxicity was observed in patients with acute leukemia or myelodysplasia who were receiving posaconazole for prophylaxis than in such patients receiving prophylactic fluconazole or itraconazole [92].

Laboratory animal studies demonstrate activity of the oral formulation in the prevention and treatment of experimental pulmonary and disseminated aspergillosis [101, 102]. Recently completed clinical trials are consistent with these laboratory findings, demonstrating activity in the prevention of invasive aspergillosis in neutropenic patients with acute myelogenous leukemia and in HSCT recipients with GVHD, as well as in salvage therapy for refractory invasive aspergillosis [103–105].

The dosage of the oral suspension of prophylaxis is 200 mg 3 times per day, and the dosage for salvage treatment is 800 mg administered in 2 or 4 divided doses. The dosage in pediatric patients is not established. Limited data are available on the use of therapeutic drug monitoring, but in one study, improved efficacy occurred with higher posaconazole drug levels [103].

Therapeutic drug monitoring. A growing body of evidence suggests patient-to-patient variability in the pharmacokinetics of triazoles used for treatment or prophylaxis in invasive aspergillosis [95, 103, 106, 107]. Absorption issues (for itraconazole and posaconazole), drug-drug interactions (for all triazoles), and pharmacogenetic differences (for voriconazole) all contribute in various degrees to this variability [84]. Although the available data do not allow consensus and specific recommendations for therapeutic drug monitoring, accumulating reports suggest that plasma drug level monitoring may play an important role in optimizing the safety (for voriconazole and flucytosine) and efficacy (for itraconazole, posaconazole, and possibly, voriconazole) of antifungals with significant interpatient pharmacokinetic variability among a complex patient population, such as patients at risk for or who have invasive aspergillosis. The necessity of documenting or continuing therapeutic drug monitoring (once therapeutic concentrations are documented) should be individualized as determined by the clinical status of the host (e.g., specific organ function, comorbidities, and receipt of concomitant medications) and the overall treatment plans. Although further work is needed to validate therapeutic drug monitoring approaches for antifungals, the committee recommends that determination of a plasma drug level, in conjunction with other measures of clinical assessment, may be another factor in evaluating reasons for therapeutic failure attributable to suboptimal drug exposures or for toxicity attributable to the drug (B-III).

Echinocandins: Caspofungin, Micafungin, and Anidulafungin

The echinocandins are a novel class of semisynthetic amphiphilic lipopeptides composed of a cyclic hexapeptide core linked to a variably configured N-acyl side chain [108]. The echinocandins act by noncompetitive inhibition of the synthesis of 1,3- β -glucan, a polysaccharide in the cell wall of many pathogenic fungi. Together with chitin, the rope-like glucan fibrils are responsible for the cell wall's strength and shape. They are important in maintaining the osmotic integrity of the fungal cell and play a key role in cell division and cell growth. Because of their distinct mechanism of action, the echinocandins have the potential for use in combination regimens with currently available standard antifungal agents.

All current echinocandins are only available for IV admin-

istration. They exhibit dose-proportional plasma pharmacokinetics with a β half-life of 10–15 h that allows for once-daily dosing. All echinocandins are highly (>95%) protein bound and distribute into all major organ sites, including the brain; however, concentrations in uninfected CSF are low. Caspofungin and micafungin are metabolized by the liver and slowly excreted into the urine and feces. Anidulafungin is slowly degraded nonenzymatically in plasma and then hepatically excreted.

At the currently investigated dosages, all echinocandins are generally well tolerated, and only a small fraction of patients enrolled in the various clinical trials have discontinued therapy because of drug-related adverse events. The most frequently reported adverse effects include increased liver aminotransferase enzyme levels, gastrointestinal upset, and headaches. As with other basic polypeptides, the echinocandins have the potential to cause histamine release; however, histamine-like symptoms have been observed only in isolated cases, which may be related to infusion rates that are more rapid than recommended. The current echinocandins appear to have no significant potential for drug interactions mediated by the CYP450 enzyme system. Caspofungin can reduce the area under the curve of tacrolimus by ~20% but has no effect on cyclosporine levels. However, cyclosporine increases the area under the curve of caspofungin by ~35%; because of transient elevations of hepatic aminotransferase enzyme levels in single-dose interaction studies, the concomitant use of both drugs should be done with caution (B-III). Finally, inducers of drug clearance and/or mixed inducer/inhibitors, namely efavirenz, nelfinavir, nevirapine, phenytoin, rifampin, dexamethasone, and carbamazepine, may reduce caspofungin concentrations.

Caspofungin is indicated in patients with probable or proven invasive aspergillosis that is refractory to or intolerant of other approved therapies. The currently recommended dosage regimen of caspofungin in adults consists of a single 70-mg loading dose on day 1, followed by 50 mg/day thereafter, administered by slow IV infusion of ~1 h. Maertens et al. [109] reported the use of higher doses of caspofungin (70 mg/day) for use in salvage combination therapy of invasive aspergillosis. In cases of markedly reduced hepatic function, adult patients should receive a daily dose of 35 mg. Caspofungin administration at 50 mg/m²/day in children provides exposure that is comparable to that obtained at a dosage of 50 mg/day in adults [110]. Micafungin and anidulafungin have activity against Aspergillus species but are not approved for that indication, and optimal doses for aspergillosis have not been established. Micafungin at a mean daily dose of 111 mg was used in one open-label trial. However, on a mg/kg basis, higher doses may be needed in young children and infants to achieve a plasma exposure that is comparable to that in adults [111, 112]. Although anidulafungin is active in experimental pulmonary aspergillosis,

there is relatively little reported experience describing its use in the treatment of invasive aspergillosis.

TREATMENT GUIDELINE OVERVIEW

The following practice guidelines provide recommendations for treatment of the different forms of aspergillosis. For each form of aspergillosis, the objective, treatment options, outcome of treatment, evidence, values, benefits and harms, and key recommendations are specified, where appropriate. The panel performed extensive review of all the randomized, controlled, and observational trials published in the English-language literature. Final recommendations were discussed by the panel and determined by consensus. Because invasive pulmonary aspergillosis is the most common life-threatening form of invasive aspergillosis, more emphasis is placed on its management than on other aspects of clinical infection. Many of the statements concerning treatment of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis are also applicable to other forms of invasive aspergillosis.

INVASIVE ASPERGILLOSIS

INVASIVE PULMONARY ASPERGILLOSIS

Without adequate therapy, invasive pulmonary aspergillosis will almost always progress to relentless fatal pneumonia. In neutropenic patients, this pneumonia may be characterized by devastating hemorrhagic infarction or progressive necrotizing pneumonia. Without adequate therapy, invasive pulmonary aspergillosis is further complicated by dissemination to the CNS or by extension to contiguous intrathoracic structures, including the great vessels and the heart. Because of the potential progression of this infection, the early administration of antifungal therapy while diagnostic evaluation is undertaken is critical.

Key Recommendations

Early initiation of antifungal therapy in patients with strongly suspected invasive aspergillosis is warranted while a diagnostic evaluation is conducted (A-I) [29, 92]. The decision of medical therapy for treatment of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis has been greatly facilitated by a randomized, controlled trial of voriconazole versus D-AMB.

Because of better survival and improved responses of initial therapy with voriconazole, primary therapy with D-AMB is not recommended (A-I). For primary treatment of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, IV or oral voriconazole is recommended for most patients (A-I). Oral therapy can be maximized by using a dose of 4 mg/kg rounded up to convenient pill sizes (B-111). For seriously ill patients, the parenteral formulation is recommended (A-III). A randomized trial compared 2 initial dosages of L-AMB (3 mg/kg/day and 10 mg/kg/ day) and showed similar efficacy in both arms but greater toxicity in the higher-dose arm. These results suggest that L-AMB may be considered as alternative primary therapy in some patients (A-I). For salvage therapy, agents include LFABs (A-II), posaconazole (B-II), itraconazole (B-II), caspofungin (B-II), or micafungin (B-II). In that context, the diagnosis should be confirmed. Therapeutic options include a change of class using an AMB formulation or an echinocandin (B-II); additional use of an azole should take into account prior therapy, host factors, and pharmacokinetic considerations.

In the absence of a well-controlled, prospective clinical trial, routine administration of combination therapy for primary therapy is not routinely recommended (B-II). The committee recognizes, however, that in the context of salvage therapy, an additional antifungal agent might be added to current therapy, or combination antifungal drugs from different classes other than those in the initial regimen may be used (B-II). In addition, management of breakthrough invasive aspergillosis in the context of mould-active azole prophylaxis or suppressive therapy is not defined by clinical trial data but would suggest a switch to another drug class (B-III). Paramount to the successful treatment of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis is the reversal of immunosuppression (e.g., reduction in the dosage of corticosteroids) or recovery from neutropenia. Surgical resection of Aspergillus-infected tissue may be useful in patients with lesions that are contiguous with the great vessels or pericardium, lesions causing hemoptysis from a single focus, and lesions causing erosion into the pleural space or ribs (B-III).

Duration of antifungal therapy for invasive pulmonary aspergillosis is not well defined. We generally recommend that treatment of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis be continued for a minimum of 6–12 weeks; in immunosuppressed patients, therapy should be continued throughout the period of immunosuppression and until lesions have resolved. Long-term therapy of invasive aspergillosis is facilitated by the availability of oral voriconazole in stable patients. For patients with successfully treated invasive aspergillosis who will require subsequent immunosuppression, resumption of antifungal therapy can prevent recurrent infection (A-III) [113, 114].

Therapeutic monitoring of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis includes serial clinical evaluation of all symptoms and signs, as well as performance of radiographic imaging, usually with CT, at regular intervals. The frequency with which CT should be performed cannot be universally defined and should be individualized on the basis of the rapidity of evolution of pulmonary infiltrates and the acuity of the individual patient. The volume of pulmonary infiltrates may increase for the first 7– 10 days of therapy—especially in the context of granulocyte recovery [27]. The use of serial serum galactomannan assays for therapeutic monitoring is promising but remains investigational [48, 49]. Progressive increase in *Aspergillus* antigen levels over time signifies a poor prognosis. However, resolution of galactomannan antigenemia to a normal level is not sufficient as a sole criterion for discontinuation of antifungal therapy (B-III). Further data elucidating the prognostic and therapeutic value of serial galactomannan levels in patients with invasive pulmonary aspergillosis are needed.

Evidence

Data on antifungal therapy. There are few randomized clinical trials on the treatment of invasive aspergillosis. Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis is a life-threatening infection associated with severe morbidity and mortality. Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis may be the source for dissemination to the CNS and other critical organs. This infection has been extremely difficult to study in prospective, randomized trials. The largest prospective, randomized trial for the treatment of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis demonstrated that voriconazole was superior to D-AMB, followed by other licensed antifungal therapy [115]. All patients had proven or probable invasive aspergillosis, and most of them had pneumonia. Voriconazole was administered at a dosage of 6 mg/kg every 12 h for 2 doses as a loading dose, followed by 4 mg/kg every 12 h IV for the first 7 days, followed by 200 mg twice daily thereafter. D-AMB was administered at 1.0-1.5 mg/kg/day IV; other licensed antifungal therapy was permitted if the initial therapy failed or if the patient had intolerance to the first drug. This study demonstrated significantly improved survival, improved overall response rate at 12 weeks of therapy, and improved overall response at end of therapy. Successful outcome was achieved in 53% of patients in the voriconazole arm and 32% of patients in the D-AMB arm, resulting in an absolute difference of 21%. Survival rate at 12 weeks was 71% among voriconazole-treated patients and 58% among D-AMB-treated patients. Recipients of voriconazole had fewer severe drug-related adverse events. However, transient visual disturbances occurred more frequently with voriconazole, as discussed in the earlier section on antifungal compounds in this article. The efficacy of voriconazole was further demonstrated in pediatric and adult patients receiving voriconazole for treatment of invasive aspergillosis who were refractory to or intolerant of conventional antifungal therapy [116–118]; the overall response rate was 43% and 48% for pediatric and adult patients, respectively.

Two earlier and smaller randomized trials of the primary treatment of invasive aspergillosis [119, 120] and another recent dose comparison study of L-AMB [92] have been reported. An earlier prospective, randomized trial of 2 dosages of L-AMB (1.0 mg/kg/day vs. 4.0 mg/kg/day) for treatment of invasive aspergillosis was conducted by the European Organization for Research in Treatment of Cancer [120]. Although this study found no difference in response rate or survival between the 2

treatment groups, the patient population included those with possible aspergillosis. When those patients with possible aspergillosis are excluded from the analysis, the data reveal a trend toward improved response in patients with proven and probable aspergillosis who were treated with the higher dosage, which is consistent with the data from animal models demonstrating a dose-response relationship [32, 121]. Another study randomized patients with documented invasive aspergillosis to receive ABCD (6 mg/kg/day) versus D-AMB (1 mg/ kg/day) for primary treatment of invasive aspergillosis [119]. This study found that patients randomized to either arm had similar outcomes but poor overall responses (patients with complete and partial responses, 17% in the ABCD group vs. 23% in the D-AMB group), and those receiving ABCD had less nephrotoxicity (25% vs. 49%). More recently, Cornely et al. [92] compared an initial dosage of L-AMB of 10 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks with a dosage of 3 mg/kg/day. In that study, among 201 patients, overall outcomes in the 2 arms were similar (46% in the high-dose arm vs. 50% in the low-dose arm), but there was more toxicity (32% vs. 20%) in the high-dose arm, suggesting that higher doses were not beneficial in these patients, the majority of whom had early invasive pulmonary aspergillosis diagnosed by CT.

For patients who are intolerant of or refractory to voriconazole, a formulation of AMB is an appropriate alternative. D-AMB historically has been used in the treatment of invasive aspergillosis. However, the available data indicate that the LFABs are as effective as D-AMB but less nephrotoxic [119, 122–125]. That LFABs are effective against invasive pulmonary aspergillosis and other forms of invasive aspergillosis is also demonstrated in several large, open-label, compassionate-release studies with a response rate of ~40% [124–126]. For those patients with underlying hepatotoxicity or other contraindications to voriconazole, an LFAB is less toxic than is D-AMB and is likely to be at least as effective as D-AMB as an alternative for primary therapy.

A study of caspofungin for patients who are intolerant of or refractory to conventional therapy also demonstrated a favorable response rate of ~40% [127]. Higher responses (50%) occurred with invasive pulmonary aspergillosis than with disseminated aspergillosis (23%). Drug-related nephrotoxicity and hepatotoxicity occurred in <5% of patients.

Orally administered itraconazole has also been used to treat patients with invasive aspergillosis who are refractory to or intolerant of D-AMB [24, 128]. In a study of 76 evaluable patients, all of whom were able to take oral therapy, 30 patients (39%) had a complete or partial response, with success rates varying widely according to site of disease and underlying disease group [128]. More recent studies of the parenteral formulation of β -hydroxy-propyl-cyclodextrin itraconazole in the treatment of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis that was refractory to various forms of AMB have been reported, with overall response rates of 52% [129, 130]. Measurement of itraconazole serum levels are generally recommended to document absorption of drug (B-II). Although evidence to support a correlation between higher drug levels and efficacy is limited, levels >250 ng/mL have been associated with more-favorable outcomes. Salvage therapy of itraconazole for treatment of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis that is refractory to primary therapy with voriconazole is not recommended because of the same mechanism of action or possible resistance and because of the erratic bioavailability and toxicity (B-II).

Posaconazole was approved in Europe for salvage treatment of patients with invasive aspergillosis who are refractory to AMB or itraconazole. The overall success rate in an externally controlled, open-label trial using Data Review Committee-assessed global response at end of treatment was 42% for the posaconazole group and 26% for the control group [103]. The differences in response between the treatment groups were preserved across additional, prespecified subsets, including infection site (pulmonary or disseminated), hematological malignancy, HSCT, baseline neutropenia, and enrollment reason (refractory or intolerant). A difference in response was also seen in a confirmatory analysis subpopulation (patients who received prior antifungal therapy for 7-30 days before the start of salvage therapy). As with other salvage trials, patients enrolled in this study were a selected population who had received prior therapy, and for posaconazole salvage studies, patients were also selected on the basis of their ability to receive the oral formulation of posaconazole. The salvage study also demonstrated a direct relationship between serum concentration and response rate. One should note, however, that these serum concentrations were achieved in patients receiving the highest adult dosage (800 mg administered in divided doses over 24 h) at which maximum absorption of compound is known to occur. Thus, further increases in the oral adult dosage are unlikely to yield higher plasma concentrations.

Most of the prospective studies of second-line therapy have been conducted by replacing the compound to which the patient is intolerant or against which the infection is progressing. Whether both drugs should be administered simultaneously has seldom been prospectively studied [111], nor are there compelling prospective clinical data to support combination antifungal therapy over single-agent therapy for primary therapy of invasive aspergillosis [131]. The addition of a second antifungal agent to a first agent that is failing or toxic is usually practiced out of understandable desperation. Nevertheless, limited in vitro, in vivo, and nonrandomized clinical trial data suggest the benefit of some forms of combination therapy against invasive aspergillosis [109, 131–137]. However, not all antifungal combinations are beneficial, and some may be deleterious [138, 139]. There are insufficient clinical data to support combination therapy as routine primary treatment of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Although initial laboratory studies, case reports, and retrospective case series indicate encouraging findings, the efficacy of primary combination antifungal therapy requires a prospective, randomized clinical trial to justify this approach. Additional questions of optimal dosing, pharmacokinetic interactions, potential toxic interactions, and cost-benefit ratios of primary combination antifungal therapy also require further investigation.

Impact of Aspergillus species. Consideration should be given to the infecting species of Aspergillus. Most isolates of A. fumigatus are susceptible in vitro and responsive in vivo to AMB, voriconazole, posaconazole, itraconazole, and caspofungin. However, most isolates of A. terreus are resistant in vitro and in vivo to AMB. The aggregate body of data thus far warrants that an antifungal triazole should be used instead of AMB in the primary treatment of infection due to A. terreus (A-II) [18]. Although uncommon, some isolates of A. fumigatus that are resistant to itraconazole have been reported. Other species of Aspergillus may also be resistant to AMB, including A. lentulus, A. nidulans, A. ustus, and Aspergillus versicolor. Known itraconazole-resistant isolates of A. fumigatus were recovered from patients who were not profoundly immunosuppressed and otherwise should have responded to itraconazole [140]. Multiazole-resistant Aspergillus species have also been recently reported [82]. Antifungal susceptibility testing, especially in the context of prior azole therapy, may be warranted as a guide to therapy, although very limited clinical data support this approach. Pending susceptibility data, the administration of a different class of agent (AMB formulation or echinocandin) may be warranted.

Use of colony-stimulating factors. Reversal of immunosuppression is an important factor in successful treatment of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Persistent neutropenia and chronic GVHD are 2 of the most important variables for poor outcome in invasive aspergillosis [6, 141]. Failure to recover from neutropenia is often associated with a fatal outcome of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Although colony-stimulating factors are widely used to attempt to reduce the duration of neutropenia, there are limited data from randomized, controlled trials to demonstrate that granulocyte colony-stimulating factor or granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor prevents the development of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in patients with prolonged neutropenia (duration of neutropenia, >10 days) [142]. Although high-risk neutropenic patients with invasive aspergillosis may already be receiving granulocyte colony-stimulating factor or granulocytemacrophage colony-stimulating factor as a component of their cancer chemotherapy, those neutropenic patients who are not receiving a colony-stimulating factor may benefit from the addition of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor

or granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (B-III).

Cytokines, such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, and IFN- γ , also augment functional properties of phagocytic cells through upregulation of chemotaxis, phagocytosis, oxidative metabolism, and/or degranulation of neutrophils, and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, and IFN- γ upregulate phagocytosis and the respiratory burst of monocytes and macrophages [143, 144]. The clinical data suggest a potential role of IFN- γ in selected hosts for prevention or treatment of invasive aspergillosis [145]. Although clinical data supporting its use specifically for aspergillosis are sparse, IFN- γ is widely used for prevention of bacterial and fungal infections in patients with chronic granulomatous disease (CGD) [146]. Individual case reports suggest a role for IFN- γ as adjunctive antifungal therapy for invasive aspergillosis in immunocompromised nonneutropenic patients, particularly those with CGD (B-III).

Role of granulocyte transfusions. Granulocyte transfusions may be another resource for the treatment of patients with invasive pulmonary aspergillosis [147, 148]. Although use of this modality for management of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis has been controversial, the key element for improved outcome appears to be an adequate number of granulocytes transfused to the profoundly neutropenic patient. The advent of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor mobilization of granulocyte donors results in the strikingly (~10-fold) increased number of granulocytes that can be recovered and subsequently administered to patients. In an open-label pilot study, Dignani et al. [147] have reported the use of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor-mobilized granulocyte transfusions administered to patients with invasive aspergillosis and other mycoses due to filamentous fungi. Stabilization of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis was demonstrated in some of the patients who were otherwise experiencing refractory invasive fungal infection. Unless patients recover from neutropenia, granulocyte transfusions will not stabilize invasive aspergillosis indefinitely.

Granulocyte transfusions can be accompanied by transfusion reactions, including pulmonary dysfunction evidenced by hypoxia and the acute onset of adult respiratory distress syndrome– like pulmonary infiltrates. Granulocyte transfusions are also associated with the transmission of cytomegalovirus infection. In cytomegalovirus-seronegative HSCT recipients, only cytomegalovirus-seronegative donors should be used for granulocyte transfusions. Because there has been an association between some of these reactions and simultaneous infusion of AMB, patients undergoing granulocyte transfusion with concurrent use of AMB products usually have the AMB staggered by several hours from the granulocytes, with careful monitoring for this complication. Moreover, this limited blood product resource should only be implemented for those patients with proven or probable infection who are anticipated to require this bridge as a temporary measure until recovery from neutropenia. Granulocyte transfusions have also been used in the treatment of refractory invasive aspergillosis and other infections in patients with CGD [17].

Management of immunosuppressive therapies. Withdrawal of corticosteroids or reduction of dosage is often critical for successful outcome in invasive aspergillosis (A-III). The failure to reduce an immunosuppressive dosage of systemic corticosteroids usually results in relentless invasive fungal infection. However, because control of underlying diseases, such as GVHD, may only be achieved by intense immunosuppression, corticosteroid-sparing immunosuppressive strategies are being used increasingly. TNF- α blockade with infliximab is one such strategy. However, because TNF- α is a key molecule in the initial innate host defense against *A. fumigatus*, its inhibition also may have deleterious immunological consequences leading to invasive aspergillosis [149–151].

For patients with chronic immunosuppression, continuation of antifungal therapy throughout the duration of immunosuppression seems to be associated with a more favorable outcome (A-III). For patients with successfully treated invasive aspergillosis who will require subsequent immunosuppression, resumption of antifungal therapy may prevent recurrent infection from residual foci of infection that may or may not be demonstrated by current imaging techniques [113].

Hemoptysis and surgical management. Hemoptysis is a serious complication of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis that may lead to exsanguination and respiratory arrest. Hemoptysis in the course of invasive aspergillosis may occur during profound pancytopenia or upon recovery from neutropenia [152, 153]. Early aggressive therapy and eradication of infection may prevent this complication; however, there are no data to definitively support this hypothesis. Because life-threatening hemoptysis complicating invasive aspergillosis is reported most often in patients already receiving antifungal chemotherapy, surgical resection may be the only recourse to eradicate the focus.

Surgical resection of pulmonary lesions due to *Aspergillus* species can provide a definitive diagnosis and can potentially completely eradicate a localized infection (table 3) [28, 154–158]. Surgical therapy may be useful in patients with lesions that are contiguous with the great vessels or the pericardium, hemoptysis from a single cavitary lesion, or invasion of the chest wall (B-II). Another relative indication for surgery is the resection of a single pulmonary lesion prior to intensive chemotherapy or HSCT (B-II). Although a successful course of voriconazole may preclude the need for surgical resection of pulmonary lesions, adjunctive surgical intervention is usually warranted for treatment of aspergillosis involving the heart,

Condition	Surgical procedure	Comment
Pulmonary lesion in proximity to great vessels or pericardium	Resection of pulmonary lesion	May prevent erosion of pulmonary lesions into great vessels and into pericardial space
Pericardial infection	Pericardiectomy	Pericardiectomy reduces organism burden around heart and prevents tamponade
Invasion of chest wall from con- tiguous pulmonary lesion	Resection of pulmonary lesion	Resection of lesion may relieve pain and prevent pleurocutaneous fistula
Aspergillus empyema	Placement of chest tube	Reduces burden of organism in closed space
Persistent hemoptysis from a single cavitary lesion	Resection of cavity	May prevent exsanguinating hemoptysis; other measures to reduce hemoptysis in- clude embolization of involved blood ves- sel and cauterization; however, recurrence of bleeding is possible
Infection of skin and soft tissues	Debridement, wide margin surgical resection	Surgical judgment used in extent of debride- ment and resection, if indicated
Infected vascular catheters and prosthetic devices	Removal of catheters and devices	Removal of infected catheters and devices provides definitive eradication
Endocarditis	Resection of vegetation and infected valve	Vegetations may be valvular or mural; single mural lesions are resectable, particularly if pedunculated
Osteomyelitis	Debridement of infected bone	Debridement of necrotic and infected bone reduces organism burden and allows bet- ter drug penetration; surgical judgment de- termines extent of debridement
Sinusitis	Resection of infected tissues	Extent of debridement may vary from no in- tervention to wide resection, depending on surgical judgment
Cerebral lesions	Resection of infected tissue	Extent of debridement may vary from no in- tervention to complete resection, depend- ing on location, neurological sequelae, ac- cessibility, and surgical judgment

Table 3. Relative indications for surgery in treatment of invasive aspergillosis.

great vessels, pleural space, and bone. However, recent favorable experience of using secondary antifungal prophylaxis after initial successful primary therapy prior to HSCT in patients with prior invasive aspergillosis suggests that antifungal therapy alone may be effective [159, 160]. Early surgical evaluation and close CT monitoring may be warranted during medical therapy, to intervene if a lesion further encroaches upon a critical structure. Decisions concerning surgical therapy should be individualized to account for a number of variables, including the degree of resection (e.g., wedge resection vs. pneumonectomy), potential impact of delays in chemotherapy, comorbidities, performance status, the goal of antineoplastic therapy (e.g., curative vs. palliative), and unilateral versus bilateral lesions.

Pharmacoeconomics and costs. The complex issues of pharmacoeconomics and fiscal costs of antifungal therapy are beyond the scope of these guidelines; however, these issues often occur in the context of LFABs versus D-AMB. The poor outcomes and fiscal costs of D-AMB–induced renal impairment in compromised hosts are well documented. Whether there is a population for whom D-AMB can be used as first-line therapy is an important question. Some pediatric patients, particularly neonates, may tolerate D-AMB with minimal or reversible renal

impairment. The use of D-AMB in adult patients needs to be assessed on an individual basis for the relative risks and consequences of renal impairment. In many resource-limited settings, D-AMB may be the only agent for primary treatment of invasive aspergillosis and, as such, may be considered to be the standard of care.

TRACHEOBRONCHIAL ASPERGILLOSIS

Early treatment of tracheobronchial aspergillosis may result in the prevention of anastomotic disruption and loss of the lung graft, as well as resolution of ulcerative tracheobronchial lesions in lung transplant recipients.

Key recommendations. Voriconazole is recommended as initial therapy in the treatment of tracheobronchial aspergillosis (B-II). Little experience is available with caspofungin or other echinocandins in treating this infection. Because the use of D-AMB may result in increased nephrotoxicity in association with calcineurin inhibitors, an LFAB is recommended if a polyene is considered in the patient (e.g., lung transplant recipient) (B-III). Bronchoscopic evaluation is the most important aspect of initial diagnosis; CT will assess the

NOTE. Indications depend on multiple variables, severity of lesion, surgical judgment, and the ability of the patient to tolerate the operative procedure, as well as the potential role of alternative medical therapy.

lack of progression to the remainder of the pulmonary tree. Reduction of immunosuppression, where possible, is an important element in improving therapeutic outcome. Aerosolized D-AMB or LFAB may have some benefit for delivering high concentrations of polyene therapy to the infected (often anastomotic) site; however, this approach has not been standardized and remains investigational (C-III). Cases of tracheobronchial aspergillosis in immunocompromised patients who have not received a transplant may be managed with a similar approach.

Evidence. Heart-lung and lung transplant recipients are at high risk for the development of invasive aspergillosis at the site of anastomosis between the recipient trachea and the donor trachea or at the site of the junction of the main bronchus [161, 162]. Tracheobronchial aspergillosis has also been described in the absence of an anastomotic site in other patient populations, including patients who have undergone HSCT and patients with lymphoma, acute leukemia, or AIDS [163, 164]. The spectrum of disease encompasses simple colonization, bronchitis, obstructing trachoebronchitis, ulcerative tracheobronchitis, and pseudomembranous tracheobronchitis. Because this form of pulmonary aspergillosis is not usually associated with pulmonary infiltrates in its initial stages, radiographic images may not identify the infection, which is otherwise easily seen during bronchoscopic examination. Bronchoscopic evaluation is necessary for early diagnosis. Voriconazole and itraconazole have been used successfully in the treatment of this form of pulmonary aspergillosis [116]. Parenteral AMB also has been used in this context. Direct instillation of AMB has been administered as an alternative approach to treatment of this form of pulmonary aspergillosis, in association with systemic therapy [165, 166]. Inhalational AMB in the form of ABLC has also been used for the prevention of invasive aspergillosis in lung transplant recipients, in whom tracheobronchial aspergillosis is especially important [167, 168]. However, this modality remains investigational.

CHRONIC NECROTIZING PULMONARY ASPERGILLOSIS (CNPA; SUBACUTE INVASIVE PULMONARY ASPERGILLOSIS)

Treatment of this infection may prevent progressive destruction of lung tissue in patients who are already experiencing impaired pulmonary function and who may have little pulmonary reserve.

Key recommendations. The greatest body of evidence regarding effective therapy supports the use of orally administered itraconazole (B-III). Although voriconazole (and presumably posaconazole) is also likely to be effective, there is less published information available for its use in CNPA (B-III). Because long-term treatment is required, oral antifungal therapy is preferred over parenteral therapy. *Evidence.* CNPA is a distinct clinical and radiological form of pulmonary aspergillosis that most commonly causes a slowly progressive inflammatory destruction of lung tissue in patients with underlying lung diseases and low grade immunosuppression (e.g., prolonged use of systemic corticosteroids) [169, 170]. The previous literature regarding CNPA included both subacute invasive aspergillosis and other chronic forms of aspergillosis. Because of their underlying primary chronic respiratory disease, these patients are also at risk for succumbing to pulmonary comorbidities.

There are a limited number of small, nonrandomized, openlabel studies that have been conducted for treatment of CNPA [171–175]. Although variable responses have been reported in the small number of patients treated with itraconazole [171], itraconazole appears to be suppressive in CNPA [173]. Other patients with CNPA have been treated with intracavitary instillation of AMB and, more recently, with voriconazole [172, 174, 175]. In general, the principles for treatment of CNPA are similar to those for invasive pulmonary aspergillosis described above, with a greater emphasis on oral therapy.

SINGLE-ORGAN, EXTRAPULMONARY FORMS OF INVASIVE ASPERGILLOSIS

Focal extrapulmonary invasive aspergillosis can develop as a single-organ infection or can occur in the context of disseminated infection. Because these are uncommon infections and occur in a wide spectrum of clinical conditions, no randomized clinical trials have been completed to assess therapeutic approaches in patients with these infections. Thus, there are very limited data on the treatment of these infections, and most involve D-AMB as primary therapy simply because of its longstanding availability. However, based on the strength of the randomized study comparing voriconazole to D-AMB [115], the panel recommends voriconazole for primary treatment of these uncommon manifestations of invasive aspergillosis (B-III). The use of voriconazole in these contexts is further supported by case series and anecdotal cases documenting the efficacy of voriconazole in extrapulmonary infections, some of which have historically been associated with abysmal responses, including CNS infection [176], osteomyelitis [177], and endocarditis [178, 179]. The use of alternative agents and salvage therapy can be approached in a manner similar to that described for invasive pulmonary aspergillosis.

ASPERGILLOSIS OF THE CNS

Treatment of CNS aspergillosis may reduce morbidity associated with neurological deficits and improve survival.

Key recommendations. Aggressive diagnostic and therapeutic intervention is important in patients with otherwise documented invasive pulmonary aspergillosis and signs of neurological deficits or unexplained abnormalities by CT or MRI.

The weight of evidence supports voriconazole as the primary recommendation for systemic antifungal therapy of CNS aspergillosis (A-II). Itraconazole, posaconazole, or LFAB are recommended for patients who are intolerant or refractory to voriconazole (B-III). There are few data supporting the use of echinocandins as a single agent in salvage treatment of CNS aspergillosis. Combination therapy with voriconazole and caspofungin is used for CNS aspergillosis but with minimal data to date. Surgical resection of lesions may be the definitive treatment and may prevent serious neurological sequelae. Surgical resection of lesions that would not result in worsening of neurological deficits also may improve outcome. Treatment of contiguous infections of the paranasal sinuses or vertebral bodies is a necessary part of management of this infection. Reversal of any underlying immune deficits is paramount for successful outcome of CNS aspergillosis. Because there may be progression of neurological deficits, there may be a tendency to use corticosteroids. The role of corticosteroids in this context, however, is deleterious and should be avoided where possible (C-III). The practice of intrathecal or intralesional antifungal chemotherapy is not recommended for treatment of CNS aspergillosis (B-III). Intrathecal administration of AMB does not allow penetration beyond the pia mater and may induce chemical arachnoiditis, seizures, severe headache, and altered mental status. Instead, high-dose systemic antifungal therapy is recommended to achieve higher parenchymal concentrations.

Evidence. Aspergillus dissemination to the CNS is a devastating complication of invasive aspergillosis [2, 180, 181]. This complication of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis has historically been associated with a mortality rate of >90%. Arising most commonly as hematogenous dissemination from a pulmonary focus or from direct extension of paranasal sinus infection, CNS aspergillosis is the most lethal manifestation of infection due to Aspergillus species [180]. Compared with candidiasis or cryptococcosis of the CNS, focal neurological deficits or focal seizures are the most common clinical manifestation of CNS aspergillosis [182]. Direct extension from the paranasal sinuses, particularly the ethmoid sinuses, may also cause involvement in frontal and temporal lobes or involvement of the cavernous sinus and, potentially, the internal carotid artery. Focal neurological deficits may be irreversible once established. Early recognition and treatment may limit neurological injury. Definitive diagnosis of CNS aspergillosis is often presumptive and based on the presence of documented invasive aspergillosis in other sites, in association with the presence of compatible clinical and radiological findings. Recent reports indicate that galactomannan antigen may be detected in CSF, thereby enhancing diagnostic certainty and potentially sparing an invasive neurological procedure for histological diagnosis [43-45].

Most observations of treatment of CNS aspergillosis are based on open-label studies. The one randomized trial for invasive aspergillosis demonstrated a trend toward improvement of CNS aspergillosis in patients who were treated with voriconazole [115]. The open-label studies of voriconazole in adult and pediatric patients also demonstrate activity of the triazole in treatment of CNS aspergillosis [116, 176]. Among patients with CNS aspergillosis who received voriconazole combined with surgical intervention, responses were favorable in 35% (with long-term survival in 31%); thus, voriconazole is the recommended therapy for CNS aspergillosis [176]. Among the LFABs, favorable responses have been achieved in case reports with L-AMB, ABLC, and ABCD [183-185]. Itraconazole and posaconazole have also been successfully used in treatment of CNS aspergillosis [103, 186-188]. The recent open-label, compassionate release study of caspofungin demonstrated response of CNS aspergillosis that was refractory to AMB [127]. The impact of these agents in the management of CNS aspergillosis appears to be beneficial. However, because of continued high rates of mortality, surgical resection of infected lesions may be an important adjunct to improve antifungal therapy (A-II). Several reports underscore the role of surgical resection of CNS aspergillosis [176, 186, 189]. Other strategies for treatment of CNS aspergillosis have included higher doses of single agents, combinations of antifungal agents, and use of immunomodulators [190]; however, there are no data from prospectively controlled clinical studies to suggest the superiority of these approaches, compared with standard single-agent therapy at approved dosages.

Epidural aspergillosis is an unusual manifestation of CNS aspergillosis that most often arises from extension into the epidural space from vertebral abscess [191]. Systemic antifungal therapy and surgical drainage are considered to be standards of practice for management of epidural aspergillosis; however, most of the experience in managing epidural aspergillosis is based on individual case reports and brief case series. *Aspergillus* osteomyelitis is discussed later in this article.

INVASIVE SINONASAL ASPERGILLOSIS

Key recommendations. Early recognition and therapeutic intervention with systemic antifungal therapy and surgical resection and/or debridement (where indicated) is important. The patient's immune status, extent of surgery necessary, concomitant coagulopathy, and morbidity associated with the surgical procedure(s) should be carefully weighed. Although randomized trials are lacking for this indication, AMB, itraconazole, voriconazole, or presumably, posaconazole are reasonable choices for initial therapy. If the infection is known to be due to *Aspergillus* species, voriconazole should be initiated (B-III). If one selects voriconazole or itraconazole as primary therapy, recognition of sinonasal zygomycosis is critical, because these triazoles lack clinical activity against this group of fungal organisms. Thus, if the etiological organism is not known or

histopathologic examination is still pending, an AMB formulation should be initiated in anticipation of possible sinus zygomycosis (A-III). Posaconazole demonstrates salvage activity in extrapulmonary aspergillosis and offers the theoretical advantage of activity against Zygomyetes in this context, although published clinical experience is limited (B-III). There are limited data supporting echinocandin use in *Aspergillus* sinusitis.

Evidence. Sinus aspergillosis is classified as invasive or noninvasive. Noninvasive aspergillosis may be further classified as saprophytic sinus aspergillosis or allergic sinus aspergillosis. This section will address the guidelines for treatment of invasive sinus aspergillosis. Subsequent sections will review the guidelines for management of noninvasive sinus aspergillosis.

Several studies involving immunocompromised patients indicate that this infection may be associated with invasive pulmonary aspergillosis or complicated by CNS aspergillosis [192-194]. Infection of the maxillary sinus may be complicated by direct invasion into the palate, with necrosis and perforation into the oral cavity or perforation of the nasal septum. Aspergillosis of the ethmoid and frontal sinuses carries the ominous implication of direct extension into the veins that drain these structures into the cavernous sinuses, resulting in cranial nerve deficits and internal carotid artery thrombosis. Aspergillosis of the ethmoid sinuses also may result in periorbital infection and extension into the extraocular muscles and globe of the eye, resulting in loss of vision. Infection of the sphenoid sinuses may result in direct extension into the cavernous sinuses. Infection of the mastoid sinus cells may occur as a result of a chronic Aspergillus otitis media. Aspergillosis of the mastoid sinus may subsequently extend into the transverse sinus, resulting in venous thrombosis and severe neurological sequelae.

Although there are no randomized trials investigating systemic antifungal therapy for treatment of invasive sinonasal aspergillosis, general principles emerge from reports using a combination of medical and surgical interventions [194]. The role of surgical therapy, however, is tempered by the extent of resection necessary, the potential hemorrhagic diathesis of the patient, the surgical candidacy of the patient, and the extent of infection. Diagnostic imaging using CT (including bone windows) will define the soft-tissue and bony extent of disease. The presence of sinus air-fluid levels or sinus opacification in an immunocompromised host should prompt otolaryngological evaluation and sinus endoscopic examination. Brushings and culture of necrotic or ulcerative lesions on the turbinates or in the paranasal mucosa may demonstrate Aspergillus species, but the differential diagnosis includes other filamentous fungi, such as the various Zygomycetes, which can appear distinctive histopathologically. Tissue samples should be cultured without homogenization to increase viability of Zygomycetes.

Systemic antifungal therapy is necessary for treatment of

most cases of invasive sinus aspergillosis. Favorable responses have been achieved with AMB [189, 195-197], voriconazole [198], itraconazole [189], and caspofungin [199, 200]. Although surgical debridement occupies an important role in management of invasive Aspergillus sinusitis and may be curative in some circumstances, extensive resections or repeated surgical debridements may increase morbidity and mortality among neutropenic patients. Recent advances in surgery for maxillary and ethmoidal infection may be beneficial and may avoid more-disfiguring surgery. Local irrigations with AMB are often administered by the surgical teams as an adjunct to systemic antifungal therapy after debridement. However, the use of this strategy is unclear in the context of systemic antifungal therapy. As previously mentioned, the reversal of immunosuppression is paramount to successful outcome of this infection and to prevention of extension and dissemination to the CNS.

Chronic invasive sinonasal aspergillosis and chronic granulomatous *Aspergillus* sinusitis have also been documented in immunocompetent patients living in dry-air climates, such as India, Saudi Arabia, and Sudan [201, 202]. Invasive aspergillosis in Sudanese patients has been predominantly due to *A. flavus* and has been treated with surgical drainage in most cases. Invasive sinonasal aspergillosis in such patients tends to progress in a more indolent manner over the course of months to years in relation to its granulomatous histological characteristics. Although it is more indolent, this infection may progress to invasion of the orbit and other craniofacial structures and, ultimately, to intracranial involvement. Aggressive therapy with combined surgical debridement and chronic antifungal therapy is necessary. Because of the propensity for recurrent infections, long-term antifungal therapy for ≥ 1 year may be warranted.

ASPERGILLUS ENDOCARDITIS, PERICARDITIS, AND MYOCARDITIS

Key recommendations. Early recognition, followed by rapid, aggressive medical and surgical intervention is critical to preventing embolic complications and valvular decompensation. **Voriconazole has been successfully used in case reports and may be the preferred agent (B-III)** [179, 180], based on data from a randomized trial data conducted mostly in pulmonary infection. D-AMB historically has been recommended as the preferred initial treatment, and D-AMB therapy should be continued for a minimum of 6 weeks after surgical intervention (B-III). Because of the potential for recurrent infections following replacement of an infected prosthetic valve, strong consideration should be given to lifelong antifungal therapy with an antifungal triazole, such as oral voriconazole or posaconazole (C-III).

Evidence. Cardiac invasion by *Aspergillus* species may present as pericarditis, endocarditis, or myocarditis [203–208]. *Aspergillus* endocarditis may occur as a valvular or mural endocardial infection. Valvular vegetations most commonly develop on prosthetic valves; however, *Aspergillus* endocarditis is reported to occur on normal valves, particularly in injection drug users. Valvular vegetations and, occasionally, mural vegetations may be large and pedunculated, with a high-risk of embolic complications, particularly CNS-related complications. Indeed, embolization to large arteries is a common hallmark of *Aspergillus* endocarditis. When manifesting as mural endocarditis, *Aspergillus* infection of the heart may be the result of dissemination or involvement of the mitral valve annulus.

Aspergillus myocarditis may manifest as myocardial infarction, cardiac arrhythmias, or myoepicarditis [203]. This infection generally occurs in the context of disseminated disease and requires systemic antifungal therapy.

Aspergillus pericarditis arises as the result of direct extension from a contiguous focus of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, extension from a myocardial lesion, or intraoperative contamination [203]. Pericardial tamponade may rapidly ensue, leading to hemodynamic deterioration and cardiac arrest.

The literature of reported cases consistently underscores the poor prognosis of cardiac aspergillosis. The cornerstones of management of Aspergillus endocarditis are antifungal chemotherapy and surgical resection of the infected valve or mural lesion. Attempts to manage cases with medicine alone are rarely successful [203, 209, 210]. As a general principle, in management of fungal endocarditis, early and aggressive surgical resection is endorsed before the onset of valvular destruction, potentially fatal embolic events, or rupture of chordae tendinae leading to acute mitral valvular decompensation [189]. Most cases of Aspergillus endocarditis have been treated with AMB [209, 211, 212]. Because of the relative infrequency with which cardiac aspergillosis occurs, there are insufficient data on the use of antifungal triazoles or echinocandins for this infection, although success of voriconazole in cases of tricuspid and prosthetic valve endocarditis has been reported [178, 179]. An extended course of antifungal therapy postoperatively is recommended to eradicate residual cardiac foci and metastatic lesions.

D-AMB has been used for treatment of most cases of *Aspergillus* pericarditis, often with fatal outcome [203]. Of paramount importance to successful treatment of *Aspergillus* pericarditis is aggressive surgical pericardial resection or drainage to treat the rapid development of pericardial tamponade.

ASPERGILLUS OSTEOMYELITIS AND SEPTIC ARTHRITIS

Key recommendations. Combined medical and surgical intervention is recommended, where feasible, for management of Aspergillus osteomyelitis and arthritis (B-III). Diagnostic imaging with CT and/or MRI is essential for staging disease and for providing a guide for orthopedic and/or neurosurgical intervention. Although there is currently limited experience with voriconazole for treatment of *Aspergillus* osteomyelitis, voriconazole appears to be effective for this indication (B-II). Historically, AMB has been used and would be appropriate therapy in this context (B-II). Treatment for a minimum of 6–8 weeks is warranted in nonimmunocompromised patients. For immunocompromised patients, consideration for long-term suppressive therapy or treatment throughout the duration of immunosuppression is appropriate.

Evidence. Aspergillus osteomyelitis may develop by hematogenous dissemination, traumatic inoculation, direct extension from a visceral focus, or contamination at the time of surgery [213-215]. Hematogenous Aspergillus osteomyelitis may occur, especially in neutropenic patients, injection drug users, and patients with inherited immunodeficiency, such as CGD. The vertebral bodies and intervertebral disks are the most common site of Aspergillus osteomyelitis [216]. Successful outcomes have been achieved with combined surgical debridement and systemic antifungal therapy (B-III). Most cases of successful antifungal therapy have been achieved with AMB [215]. Medical treatment alone (L-AMB, followed by oral itraconazole) has rarely been successful in management of Aspergillus osteomyelitis [217]. Although successful primary itraconazole therapy of Aspergillus osteomyelitis has been reported [218], itraconazole has been more widely used subsequent to a course of AMB [217]. More recently, voriconazole has been successfully used as salvage and primary therapy, either alone or in combination with surgical debridement [177, 219]. There is little reported experience of the use of posaconazole [220] or echinocandins in treatment of Aspergillus osteomyelitis.

Aspergillus arthritis may develop from hematogenous dissemination in immunocompromised patients and in illicit injection drug users or by direct traumatic inoculation in immunocompetent hosts [221]. In many cases, Aspergillus arthritis arises as an extension from a contiguous focus of Aspergillus osteomyelitis [221]. Most of the successfully treated cases of Aspergillus arthritis have responded to combined medical therapy and drainage of the joint [215]. Most reported cases of Aspergillus arthritis have used AMB as primary therapy; azoles have less commonly been used in this role [221].

ASPERGILLUS ENDOPHTHALMITIS AND ASPERGILLUS KERATITIS

Aspergillus endophthalmitis and Aspergillus keratitis are 2 sightthreatening infections that require rapid ophthalmologic and medical intervention to preserve and restore sight. Aspergillus keratitis is an excruciatingly painful process; treatment of this process may also considerably alleviate pain. If not recognized and treated promptly, Aspergillus keratitis may require a corneal transplant or may be complicated by endophthalmitis.

Key recommendations. Following a diagnostic vitreal tap, IV AMB and, where appropriate, intravitreal AMB plus pars

plana vitrectomy may be sight saving in *Aspergillus* endophthalmitis (B-III). Voriconazole administered intravitreally or systemically is an alterative regimen (B-III). Management of *Aspergillus* keratitis requires emergency ophthalmologic intervention with ophthalmologic examination, topical antifungal therapy, and systemic antifungal therapy with AMB, voriconazole, or itraconazole (B-III). Ophthalmologic surgical intervention has been warranted in cases with potential corneal perforation or progression despite medical therapy.

Evidence. Aspergillus endophthalmitis is a devastating infection that may result in irreparable loss of vision and rapid destruction of the eye. Infection may occur by one of several mechanisms: hematogenous dissemination, direct inoculation by trauma, and contamination by surgical procedure [222-224]. Hematogenous dissemination occurs most commonly in injection drug users and immunocompromised patients with disseminated aspergillosis and endophthalmitis. Definitive clinical diagnosis requires direct ophthalmoscopic examination and culture of vitreous humor or aqueous humor specimens. AMB has been used most widely as the systemic agent in treatment of Aspergillus endophthalmitis. Concentrations of AMB-based compounds in the aqueous and vitreous humor are relatively low; intravitreal administration of AMB is also used following pars plana vitrectomy as a standard of care in management of Aspergillus endophthalmitis and has resulted in successful outcomes [223, 225]. Voriconazole has recently been found to be successful in isolated cases of Aspergillus endophthalmitis and has been administered intravitreally and systemically [226, 227]. Panresistant organisms, such as A. ustus, have also been reported [228]. Vitrectomy may be sight saving by removing the bulk of inflammatory debris and infectious organisms. More conservative measures, such as subconjunctival injection, are seldom successful. Direct macular involvement is a poor prognostic indicator for recovery of visual acuity [225]. Itraconazole has been used as systemic therapy, in conjunction with pars plana vitrectomy and AMB intravitreal injection, in a few reported cases. Systemic antifungal therapy with AMB and 5flourocytosine has also been reported in several cases. Although 5-flourocytosine penetrates well into the vitreous humor, its role in enhancing the antifungal combination therapy against aspergillosis is not established, and it has been noted to be antagonistic in vitro against some Aspergillus strains [229].

Aspergillus keratitis is a locally invasive fungal infection of the cornea that is characterized by ocular pain, potentially rapid loss of vision, and potential development of endophthalmitis if not recognized and treated promptly [230–232]. The cornea is the critical structure for visual acuity and integrity of the anterior chamber. *Aspergillus* keratitis most commonly develops as a result of traumatic inoculation of *Aspergillus* into the cornea through injury or surgical procedures [233, 234]. *Aspergillus* keratitis is commonly encountered in agricultural workers, who may suffer abrasions of the cornea from branches and leaves during the course of their work in the fields [230].

Aspergillus keratitis constitutes an ophthalmologic emergency requiring careful slit lamp examination, assessment of the depth of infection, and prompt initiation of topical antifungal therapy. Topical antifungal therapy with AMB drops or pimaricin is most widely used, although there are no controlled data to support their use. Intracameral injection of AMB (i.e., into the anterior chamber) has been reported to be a suitable alternative in patients who are refractory to topical antifungal therapy [235]. Oral itraconazole has been successfully used in the treatment of Aspergillus keratitis, possibly because it penetrates into the deeper corneal layer of the eyes, but itraconazole has also been used as a topical solution [236, 237]. Voriconazole, administered topically, systemically, or via intracameral injection has also been successfully used in Aspergillus keratitis [238, 239] Surgical intervention, which may include debridement, lamellar keratectomy, or a conjunctival flap, is often required. Topical therapy may be unsuccessful, and surgical resection of the infected cornea may be the only recourse. A corneal transplantation may be necessary in the context of progressive Aspergillus keratitis despite medical therapy or if there is a threat of corneal perforation.

CUTANEOUS ASPERGILLOSIS

Cutaneous aspergillosis may develop in the context of hematogenous dissemination or can occur in the context of traumatic or nosocomial device-related infection

Key recommendations. Therapy for secondary cutaneous lesions reflects that of disseminated infection, with systemic voriconazole (A-I) recommended as primary therapy. Alternative agents include L-AMB (A-I), posaconazole, itraconazole, or an echinocandin (B-II). Surgical intervention, particularly for primary cutaneous infection, may be useful; biopsy for confirmation of mycological diagnosis is very important to distinguish other potential pathogens (e.g., *Fusarium* species and Zygomycetes).

Evidence. Cutaneous aspergillosis may be a primary process or, more frequently, may develop as a result of secondary hematogenous dissemination in immunocompromised patients [240–242]. Cutaneous aspergillosis rarely occurs as an infection in immunocompetent patients. Nosocomial cutaneous aspergillosis may also be a sentinel of environmental contamination, as exemplified by cutaneous infections occurring with arm boards, direct contamination of vascular sites in the operating room, contamination of dressings used for burn wounds, and percutaneous infection in newborn infants [241–244]. Itraconazole is concentrated in skin and skin structures, which theoretically may increase its use in treating cutaneous aspergillosis.

ASPERGILLUS PERITONITIS

Key recommendation. Removal of peritoneal dialysis catheter and intraperitoneal dialysis with AMB, in addition to IV administration of AMB, are recommended (B-III). Itraconazole or an extended-spectrum azole (voriconazole or posaconazole) may be used as a salvage therapy (C-III).

Evidence. Aspergillus peritonitis may occur as a complication of chronic ambulatory peritoneal dialysis [245]. Although *Candida* species are the most common cause of fungal peritonitis complicating chronic ambulatory peritoneal dialysis, *Aspergillus* species are a well-established cause of this infection [246]. Removal of the dialysis catheter, combined with the administration of intraperitoneal and IV AMB, has been associated with successful outcome [245, 247]. Itraconazole has also been used for systemic antifungal therapy in the management of *Aspergillus* peritonitis complicating chronic ambulatory peritoneal dialysis [248]. Evidence for other compounds is limited.

ESOPHAGEAL AND GASTROINTESTINAL ASPERGILLOSIS

Key recommendation. Once a diagnosis is established, medical and, where appropriate, surgical therapy is needed to prevent the complications of potentially fatal hemorrhage, perforation, obstruction, and infarction. Systemic antifungal therapy, as used for disseminated invasive aspergillosis, is appropriate.

Evidence. Aspergillosis of the esophagus and gastrointestinal tract has been found to be relatively common in advanced cases of disseminated invasive aspergillosis [249, 250]. Young et al. [250] described the esophagus and gastrointestinal tract as the third most common site of infection in autopsy-documented invasive aspergillosis. The few well-documented cases have been associated with high morbidity and mortality. There is no clear indication of optimal therapy. Because of the paucity of data for esophageal and gastrointestinal aspergillosis, a rational approach is to combine medical and surgical therapy.

HEPATIC ASPERGILLOSIS

Key recommendation. Medical therapy of hepatic aspergillosis should be considered as initial therapy (C-III). For extrahepatic or perihepatic biliary obstruction, surgical intervention is warranted (C-III). For localized lesions that are refractory to medical therapy, surgical consultation is recommended.

Evidence. Occurring as single or multiple parenchymal lesions, hepatic aspergillosis may occur as a process of dissemination from the gastrointestinal tract along the portal venous system or as a component of general systemic dissemination [17, 251]. Hepatic aspergillosis may also develop as a process

of cholangitis [252]. Reports of therapeutic interventions are limited. Medical therapy for hepatic abscesses may be effective and preclude the need for surgical resection.

RENAL ASPERGILLOSIS

Key recommendations. A combined approach of medical and urological management of renal aspergillosis allows flexibility for the various patterns of renal aspergillosis. Nephrostomy may reduce the complications of ureteral obstruction and allow for AMB lavage of the pelvicalyceal system. All of the available antifungal agents with activity against aspergillosis penetrate renal parenchyma. However, because none of these agents is excreted primarily into the pelvis of the kidney or urine, the management of pelvicalyceal and ureteral infection may require nephrostomy with instillation of AMB (C-III).

Evidence. Renal aspergillosis may develop as single or multiple parenchymal abscesses, usually as a result of hematogenous dissemination or, less commonly, as the result of contamination of a surgical procedure or as fungal balls in the pelvis of the kidney [253–256]. This form of *Aspergillus* infection may cause hematuria, ureteral obstruction, perinephric abscess with extension into surrounding tissues, or passing of ≥ 1 fungal ball or fungal elements in the urine. Reports of management are limited to individual cases. Medical management alone may be successful if abscesses are relatively small. Management of larger abscesses may require surgical drainage. Nephrectomy is performed only as a last option.

EMPIRICAL ANTIFUNGAL THERAPY OF NEUTROPENIC PATIENTS WITH PROLONGED FEVER DESPITE ANTIBACTERIAL THERAPY AND PRESUMPTIVE THERAPY FOR INVASIVE ASPERGILLOSIS

Key recommendation. Empirical antifungal therapy with AMB, an LFAB, itraconazole, voriconazole, or caspofungin is recommended for high-risk patients with prolonged neutropenia who remain persistently febrile despite broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy (A-I). Empirical antifungal therapy is not recommended for patients who are anticipated to have short durations of neutropenia (duration of neutropenia, <10 days), unless other findings indicate the presence of an invasive fungal infection (B-III).

Evidence. This area has been reviewed in a related 2002 Guideline from the Infectious Diseases Society of America [257]. Early reports from the National Cancer Institute and the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer underscored the importance of early initiation of D-AMB for treatment of invasive aspergillosis and other invasive fungal infections [258, 259]. These randomized, nonplacebo, openlabel clinical trials demonstrated that neutropenic patients with persistent fever despite broad-spectrum antibacterial therapy have an increased risk of developing an overt invasive fungal infection. In these studies, empirical antifungal therapy reduced the frequency of the development of clinically overt invasive fungal infection and provided prophylaxis against subsequent infections in high-risk neutropenic patients. L-AMB was found to be as effective as but less nephrotoxic than D-AMB in a randomized, double-blind multicenter trial; a secondary analysis demonstrated a significant reduction of invasive fungal infections in the L-AMB arm [260]. A randomized control study of IV and oral formulations of itraconazole also found this agent to be as effective as but less nephrotoxic than D-AMB in empirical antifungal therapy [261]. A randomized, controlled trial of voriconazole versus L-AMB did not fulfill prespecified criteria for the overall population but was comparable to L-AMB in the high-risk neutropenic population, with a significant reduction in the rate of emergent invasive aspergillosis during neutropenia in prespecified secondary analyses [262]. Although not FDA approved for empirical use in patients with fever and neutropenia, the use of voriconazole in treating both infection due to Aspergillus species and infection due to Candida species-the leading fungal pathogens in most patients with fever and neutropenia-provides evidence to support the recommendation for its use in patients at high risk for these infections while a diagnostic evaluation is conducted. Most recently, caspofungin was compared with L-AMB in a randomized, double-blind, multinational trial for empirical antifungal therapy. This trial found that caspofungin was as effective as L-AMB in overall response; prespecified secondary analyses found that caspofungin was more active in prolongation of survival and in primary treatment of baseline invasive fungal infections [263]. Empirical antifungal therapy appears to be most beneficial in patients with prolonged neutropenia (duration of neutropenia, >10 days). The initiation of antifungal therapy still warrants an aggressive approach to establishing a microbiological diagnosis where feasible.

Preemptive antifungal therapy is a logical extension of empirical antifungal therapy, in that it defines a high-risk patient population on the basis of more than persistent fever and neutropenia (i.e., with a surrogate marker of infection, such as abnormal CT findings or a positive result of assay for *Aspergillus* antigen). Because ~40% of patients receiving empirical antifungal therapy have pulmonary infiltrates, there is considerable overlap between the approaches of empirical and preemptive therapy. In an open-label feasibility study, Maertens et al. [55] used serum galactomannan assay and CT to detect invasive aspergillosis in a population of patients with leukemia who received fluconazole prophylaxis. This strategy, which used more extensive serum galactomannan and radiographic monitoring than is typically performed in routine practice, reduced the use of empirical therapy and successfully treated cases of invasive aspergillosis diagnosed using surrogate markers.

For persistently febrile neutropenic patients who may be receiving anti-*Aspergillus* prophylaxis, the causes of persistent fever are less likely to be of a fungal origin [264]. Careful evaluation for nonfungal causes, as well as the possibility of breakthrough invasive fungal infections that are resistant to the prophylactic regimen, should be considered in this patient population. Thus, routine initiation of empirical antifungal therapy in this context merits reevaluation.

PROPHYLAXIS AGAINST INVASIVE ASPERGILLOSIS

Key recommendation. Antifungal prophylaxis with posaconazole can be recommended in HSCT recipients with GVHD who are at high risk for invasive aspergillosis and in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome who are at high risk for invasive aspergillosis (A-I). Itraconazole may be effective, but tolerability limits its use (B-I). Further investigation of antifungal prophylaxis is recommended in this population and other high-risk groups.

Evidence. Prophylactic strategies may be useful in patients who are at high risk for invasive aspergillosis; selection of the patient population in whom this strategy may be applied remains a challenge. Selected high-risk patient groups may include patients with prolonged neutropenia and severe GVHD, lung transplant recipients, patients receiving long-term high-dose corticosteroid therapy, some liver transplant recipients, and those with certain inherited immunodeficiency disorders (e.g., CGD).

A clinical trial of posaconazole therapy has recently been reported that demonstrated its superiority versus fluconazole or itraconazole in prevention of invasive aspergillosis in patients with acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplasia [105]. This study demonstrated higher survival in the posaconazole arm, but there was greater toxicity in recipients of posaconazole than in fluconazole recipients. Because of the heterogeneity of risk for invasive aspergillosis in published series of acute myelogenous leukemia therapy, further study is needed to determine which populations of patients with leukemia and myelodysplasia might benefit most from this approach. Risk factors for invasive aspergillosis during acute myeloid leukemia therapy from published series include the need for >1 treatment course to achieve remission or chemotherapy for relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukemia. A separate study of posaconazole prophylaxis during GVHD in HSCT recipients also found a significant reduction in proven and probable invasive fungal infections and similar toxicity in posaconazole recipients, compared with those receiving fluconazole [104]. Because of the heterogeneity of risk for invasive fungal infection in patients receiving anti-GVHD therapy, further study is needed to define which patients would benefit most from this approach. Risk factors for invasive aspergillosis in patients with GVHD include the need for prolonged highdose steroid therapy (>1 mg/kg/day of prednisone for 2–3 weeks) and the use of certain anti-GVHD therapies, such as infliximab and antithymocyte globulin. Earlier studies of antifungal prophylaxis in hematological malignancies are summarized in a large meta-analyses [265–269].

A key distinction should be made between primary and secondary prophylaxis. Primary prophylaxis involves administration of antifungal chemotherapy to patients who have no evidence of infection but whose epidemiological risk profile indicates a high propensity for the development of invasive aspergillosis. Secondary prophylaxis involves the administration of antifungal therapy to a patient who is undergoing a period of immunosuppression and who has a history of invasive aspergillosis. This section focuses on primary prophylaxis. However, several studies indicate that secondary prophylaxis against invasive aspergillosis can be successful when an anti-*Aspergillus* azole (voriconazole, posaconazole, or itraconazole) or LFAB is given to patients receiving ongoing immunosuppressive therapy following treatment of a documented episode of invasive aspergillosis [113, 270–272].

Among the studies that investigated parenterally administered D-AMB or L-AMB for prophylaxis, most have been historically controlled, and some have suggested a reduction in invasive aspergillosis. Several prospective, randomized trials using polyene therapies have demonstrated a reduction in the number of invasive fungal infections, but none have demonstrated a significant reduction of invasive aspergillosis in a prospective, randomized study [273–276]. Studies of aerosolized AMB have revealed conflicting results, in part because of limitations of study design and selection of patients at risk [277–279].

Itraconazole has been evaluated in several prospective trials, but conclusions regarding efficacy have been limited, because study designs have not included patients at significant risk for aspergillosis [269, 280-284]. Although itraconazole oral capsules are ineffective for prophylaxis because of erratic bioavailability and dose-limiting toxicity, itraconazole oral solution or IV itraconazole in neutropenic patients with hematological dysfunction is partially effective in reducing the incidence of invasive aspergillosis, with a mean hazard ratio of 0.52 (range, 0.3-0.91) [265]. However, the use of itraconazole solution for prophylaxis against Aspergillus is also reduced by dose-limiting toxicity [285, 286]. Although micafungin showed a trend towards a decreased incidence of Aspergillus infection (compared with fluconazole) in HSCT, there were small numbers of breakthrough infections in the patients studied, and the requirement for daily IV therapy further limited widespread use [287]. Itraconazole has been successfully used as prophylaxis in patients

with CGD [288]. Voriconazole has not been studied in this context, although clinical trials are in progress.

CHRONIC AND SAPROPHYTIC FORMS OF ASPERGILLOSIS

ASPERGILLOMA AND CHRONIC PULMONARY ASPERGILLOSIS

Key recommendation. Antifungal chemotherapy with itraconazole, voriconazole, or presumably, posaconazole provides some potential for therapeutic benefit with comparatively minimal risk (B-III). Surgical resection or intracavitary antifungal therapy may be appropriate in selected patients with a single aspergilloma who are carefully evaluated for the risks mentioned below. Long-term, perhaps lifelong, antifungal treatment is required for chronic cavitary pulmonary aspergillosis (CCPA; B-III).

Evidence. One or more pulmonary cavities with detectable serum Aspergillus antibodies are characteristic of pulmonary aspergilloma or chronic pulmonary aspergillosis. Patients usually have underlying pulmonary disease, such as cavitary tuberculosis or histoplasmosis, fibrocystic sarcoidosis, bullous emphysema, or fibrotic lung disease. Among the serious complications of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis are potentially life-threatening hemoptysis, pulmonary fibrosis, and rarely locally, invasive aspergillosis. Pulmonary aspergilloma is defined as a conglomeration of intertwined Aspergillus hyphae, fibrin, mucus, and cellular debris within a pulmonary cavity or an ectatic bronchus [289]. The diagnosis of aspergilloma is usually made clinically and radiographically without a lung biopsy. Pulmonary aspergilloma radiographically appears as a solid rounded mass, sometimes mobile, of water density, within a spherical or ovoid cavity, and separated from the wall of the cavity by an airspace of variable size and shape. Local pleural thickening is highly characteristic. CCPA is defined as the occurrence of multiple cavities, which may or may not contain an aspergilloma, in association with pulmonary and systemic symptoms and raised inflammatory markers. Over years, untreated, these cavities enlarge and coalesce, and aspergillomas may appear or disappear. A distinction between CNPA (previously known as subacute invasive pulmonary aspergillosis) and CCPA is the prolonged time frame and genetic predisposition described in the latter; defects in innate immunity are described in CCPA [290]. Apparent aspergillomas (which is better termed mycotic lung sequestrum) also may develop in consolidated lesions during recovery from neutropenia, but preexisting cavities are not present in these cases.

The data guiding management of single aspergillomas are based on uncontrolled trials and case reports. Therapeutic decisions that involve aspergilloma are predicated on preventing or treating life-threatening hemoptysis. The first major decision in the management of aspergilloma is whether therapy is required.

Surgical resection is a definitive treatment for aspergilloma [156, 291]. However, pulmonary resection for aspergilloma is a difficult surgical procedure. Attempts to resect CCPA (referred to in the surgical literature as complex aspergilloma) have been associated with high morbidity and mortality. Postoperative complications include hemorrhage, bronchopleural fistulae, and *Aspergillus* infection of the pleural space. Further contributing to the high risk of surgical resection of an aspergilloma is the often preexisting poor pulmonary function that may preclude thoracotomy. The optimal candidates for surgical resection are those with a single aspergilloma.

Bronchial artery embolization has been used to occlude the putative vessel that supplies the bleeding site in patients experiencing hemoptysis caused by chronic pulmonary aspergillosis [292]. Unfortunately, bronchial artery embolization is usually unsuccessful or only temporarily effective because of complex collateral vascular channels. Thus, bronchial artery embolization should be considered as a temporizing procedure in a patient with life-threatening hemoptysis who might be eligible for more medical therapy or surgical resection (single aspergilloma) if the hemoptysis were stabilized (B-III). Endobronchial or transthoracic intracavitary resection instillation of antifungal agents, particularly AMB, has been attempted with some success [156, 293]. However, this modality may be difficult in patients with compromised pulmonary function.

Medical therapy has limited activity in treatment of aspergilloma [156]; in some cases, however, it may be of some use [289]. Medical therapy is the standard of care for CCPA [174, 175, 294, 295]. IV administered D-AMB appears to have minimal activity in treatment of aspergilloma. Response in CCPA to systemically administered itraconazole or voriconazole is favorable, with improvement in symptoms and stabilization or improvement in Aspergillus antibody titers and radiologic findings [170, 175]. Terbinafine has been suggested to have activity in one report, but lack of clinical data limits recommendation for its use [296]. The benefits of surgical resection of aspergilloma may offer definitive treatment; however, the risks of compromised pulmonary function, bronchopleural fistula, and infection of the pleural space may outweigh the benefits, depending on the individual patient. Bronchial artery embolization carries modest risk and only transient benefit. Transthoracic, intracavitary instillation of AMB may be effective, but it carries a risk of pneumothorax, hemoptysis, and pleural seeding. Oral systemic antifungal therapy is unnecessary for single aspergilloma but important for CCPA. Adverse events associated with azole antifungal drugs are infrequent but are problematic for those who develop them. If the drug is tolerated, no additional long-term risks of azole therapy have been identified.

ASPERGILLUS OTOMYCOSIS (OTIC ASPERGILLOSIS)

Key recommendations. Topical therapy with irrigating solutions of boric acid, acetic acid, or azole cream may be effective in eradicating *Aspergillus* otomycosis (C-III). For refractory cases and in contexts of perforated tympanic membranes, use of voriconazole, posaconazole, or itraconazole may be appropriate (C-III).

Evidence. Aspergillus otomycosis is a saprophytic process that usually involves the external auditory canal [297]. Symptoms include pruritus, pain, hypoacusis, and otic discharge. Aspergillus otomycosis may involve the middle ear if the tympanic membrane has been perforated. Perforation of the tympanic membrane does not usually occur as a result of Aspergillus otomycosis but more often ensues as the result of recurrent bacterial otitis media. Patients with impaired mucosal or cutaneous immunity, such as those with hypogammaglobulinemia, diabetes mellitus, chronic eczema, or HIV infection and those who receive corticosteroids, are susceptible to recurrent bacterial otitis media, otitis externa, and Aspergillus otomycosis. If the otomycotic process is not successfully treated and the underlying predisposing immune impairment and anatomic defects are not corrected, Aspergillus hyphae and conidia may extend into the mastoid sinus, creating a chronic fungal mastoiditis. Aspergillus otomycosis is most commonly attributable to A. niger and A. fumigatus [297, 298]. A. niger, which is a known cause of in vivo production of oxalic acid, may locally elaborate this toxic metabolite in the necrotic debris of the external auditory canal [299]. Erosion and disruption of the epidermis may serve as a portal of entry for superinfection by opportunistic bacterial infections in immunocompromised patients. Data describing treatment outcomes are anecdotal or uncontrolled. Topical therapy using irrigations with acetic acid or boric acid are described as being beneficial. Topical antifungal creams and ointments are not well studied but may be useful for this condition. Orally administered itraconazole, voriconazole, or posaconazole may be effective; however, there are no published studies that support their use.

ALLERGIC FORMS OF ASPERGILLOSIS

ALLERGIC BRONCHOPULMONARY ASPERGILLOSIS

Key recommendation. Treatment of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (APBA) should consist of a combination of corticosteroids and itraconazole (A-I).

Evidence. APBA is a hypersensitivity disease of the lungs that is associated with inflammatory destruction of airways in response to *Aspergillus* species [300]. ABPA is defined through 7 primary diagnostic criteria: episodic bronchial obstruction

(asthma), peripheral eosinophilia, immediate scratch test reactivity to *Aspergillus* antigen, precipitating antibodies to *Aspergillus* antigen, elevated serum IgE concentrations, history of pulmonary infiltrates (transient or fixed), and central bronchiectasis. Secondary diagnostic criteria include repeated detection of *Aspergillus* species in sputum samples using stain and/or culture, a history of expectoration of brown plugs or flecks, elevated specific IgE concentration directed against *Aspergillus* antigen, and Arthus reaction (late skin reactivity) to *Aspergillus* antigen. ABPA may progress through clinical stages of acute corticosteroid-responsive asthma to corticosteroid-dependent asthma to fibrotic end-stage lung disease with honeycombed lung.

Corticosteroid therapy is the mainstay of therapy for ABPA [301–303]. However, the few studies of corticosteroid therapy for ABPA have involved small numbers of patients and have been neither double-blind nor controlled [304]. Nevertheless, the current findings support the usefulness of corticosteroids in the management of acute ABPA, with improved pulmonary function and fewer episodes of recurrent consolidation. However, because chronic administration of corticosteroids causes severe immune impairment and multiple metabolic abnormalities, alternative approaches to management of ABPA have been developed.

An example of such an approach is to eradicate Aspergillus species from the airways using itraconazole as a corticosteroidsparing agent. The mechanism of this effect is to diminish the antigenic stimulus for bronchial inflammation. Two doubleblind, randomized, placebo-controlled trials for ABPA demonstrated that itraconazole (200 mg twice daily orally for 16 weeks) resulted in significant differences in ability to ameliorate disease, as assessed by the reduction in corticosteroid dose, increased interval between corticosteroid courses, eosinophilic inflammatory parameters, and IgE concentration, as well as improvement in exercise tolerance and pulmonary function [305, 306]. Similar benefits of itraconazole were observed in patients with cystic fibrosis and ABPA [307]. Other azoles (voriconazole and posaconazole) have not been studied in this context. The benefits of short-term corticosteroid treatment of ABPA include reduced frequency of acute exacerbations, preservation of pulmonary function, and improved quality of life. However, the long-term adverse effects of corticosteroid therapy may result in profound immunosuppression and debilitating metabolic abnormalities, including diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, and osteoporosis. Corticosteroid-induced immunosuppression may very rarely result in progression of ABPA to invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Itraconazole spares the effect of corticosteroids but may interact with inhaled corticosteroids, leading to iatrogenic Cushing syndrome in rare cases. The benefits of the addition of itraconazole outweigh the risks of long-term administration of high-dose prednisone.

ALLERGIC ASPERGILLUS SINUSITIS

Key recommendations. Endoscopic drainage may be useful in patients with obstructive symptoms (C-III). Itraconazole is recommended for consideration in allergic Aspergillus sinusitis (AAS; C-III). Nasal or systemic corticosteroids may be useful in some patients (C-III). The benefits of endoscopic surgical sinus drainage outweigh the risks of surgery in cases of AAS that present with complications of sinus obstruction. Systemic corticosteroids are beneficial but may be fraught with serious systemic complications with long-term use. Nasal corticosteroids are partially effective and well absorbed but, when used continuously in high doses, can damage or atrophy the nasal mucosa. The benefits of itraconazole in AAS outweigh the potential for toxicity (C-III). Because patients with either AAS and ABPA may be receiving nonsedating antihistamines, caution is required to assess the potential for adverse drug interactions with some of those agents associated with prolonged QT interval and torsades de pointe.

Evidence. Katzenstein et al. [308] first described the clinical and pathologic features of AAS in 1983 in 7 cases presenting as chronic sinusitis. Most patients were young adults with a history of asthma; all had chronic nasal polyps and opacification of multiple sinuses. Recurrent sinusitis was common. Several patients underwent repeated surgical drainage procedures. A distinct mucinous material containing eosinophils, Charcot-Leyden crystals, and hyphal elements morphologically compatible with Aspergillus species was found histologically in tissue resected from the sinuses. The condition of AAS shares similar histopathological features with ABPA but affects the paranasal sinuses instead of the lung. Waxman et al. [309] later described the immunologic features of AAS to include an immediate cutaneous reactivity to Aspergillus species in 60% of patients, elevation of total serum IgE concentration in 85%, and serum precipitins to Aspergillus species in 85%. The conditions of AAS and ABPA may coexist in some patients. These investigators and others have reported beneficial responses to variable courses and doses of prednisone in nonrandomized, noncontrolled, observational studies [309]. Because of the obstruction caused by inspissated mucinous secretions, surgical drainage and aeration is considered to be an essential component of management, in conjunction with intranasal or systemic corticosteroid therapy. Advanced forms of AAS may present with proptosis and optic neuropathy, necessitating prompt surgical intervention [309]. Fang [310] more recently introduced the use of endoscopic sinus surgery in the management of AAS, thus affording reduced risk, compared with that associated with more-invasive drainage procedures. Recent case reports suggest a benefit of itraconazole in the management of AAS and may spare the use of steroids [311, 312]. Other azoles have not been evaluated.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND GAPS IN KNOWLEDGE IN INVASIVE ASPERGILLOSIS

There are many unanswered and unresolved epidemiological, laboratory, and clinical questions that need to be addressed and understood in the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of aspergillosis. Better diagnostic tests are needed, both to facilitate more accurate identification of patients with invasive aspergillosis and to permit earlier initiation of therapy. The availability of more-active and better-tolerated antifungal agents has significantly improved therapy of patients at risk for serious *Aspergillus* infection. However, critical gaps in knowledge remain regarding management of these infections, including the use of combination therapy, tools for early detection of these infections, evaluation of response, therapy for patients with breakthrough or refractory infection, and the patient population for whom prophylaxis would be most beneficial.

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Mahmoud Ghannoum, John R. Graybill, John R. Perfect, and Jack D. Sobel, for their thoughtful reviews of earlier drafts of the manuscript, and Dr. Tom M. File, for helpful suggestions and support in drafting this document.

Financial support. Infectious Diseases Society of America.

Potential conflicts of interest. T.J.W. has Cooperative Research & Development Agreements with Vicuron (subsequently acquired by Pfizer) and with Fujisawa (Astellas). T.F.P. has had grant support from Astellas Pharma US, Enzon, Nektar Therapeutics, Merck, Pfizer, and Schering-Plough; has been a consultant for Merck, Pfizer, Schering-Plough, Basilea, Nektar Therapeutics, and Stiefel Laboratories; and has been on the speaker's bureau for Merck, Pfizer, and Schering-Plough. E.J.A. has received grant support from Astellas, Curagen, Enzon, Nuvelo, OrthoBiotech, and Pfizer; has been a consultant for Astellas, Gilead Sciences, Merck, Pfizer, and Schering Plough; and has been on the speaker's bureau for Astellas, Gilead Sciences, Merck, and Pfizer. D.W.D. has received grant support from Astellas, Merck, Pfizer, F2G, OrthoBiotech, Sigma-Tau, Indevus, Basilea, Fungal Research Trust, Wellcome Trust, and Moulton Trust; has been an advisor/consultant for Merck, Basilea, Vicuron (now Pfizer), Schering-Plough, Indevus, F2G, Nektar, Daiichi, Sigma Tau, Astellas, and York Pharma; has been paid for speaking on behalf of Astellas, Merck, GSK, Chiron, AstraZenca, and Pfizer; and holds founder shares in F2G and Myconostica. R.H. has been a member of the advisory board for Astellas, Gilead, Merck, Pfizer, and Schering-Plough and has been a member of the speaker's bureau of Gilead, Pfizer, Schering-Plough, and Zeneus. D.P.K. has received research support and honoraria from Schering-Plough, Pfizer, Astellas Pharma, Enzon Pharmaceuticals, and Merck. K.A.M. has served as a consultant for Astellas, Enzon, Basilea, Merck, Nektar Therapeutics, Pfizer, Schering-Plough, Basilea, Merck, and Nektar. V.A.M. is a consultant for Schering-Plough, Berlex, and BiogenIDEC and is on the speaker's bureau for Amgen, Berlex, Celgene, Merck, Pfizer, and Schering-Plough. B.H.S. has received speaker honoraria from Merck and Pfizer; has served as a consultant/advisor for Pfizer, Schering-Plough, Berlex, and Enzon; has been a compensated member of a data review committee for Schering-Plough; and has received laboratory support from Enzon and Pfizer. W.J.S. has served on the speaker's bureau for Pfizer and Astellas and has served as a consultant for Astellas, Merck, and Enzon. D.A.S. has served on the advisory boards for Merck, Schering-Plough, and Gilead; has served as a speaker for Janssen, Enzon, and Astellas; and has received grant support from Merck, Pfizer, Gilead, Schering-Plough, Enzon, and Astellas. J.-A.v.B. has served on the speaker's bureau for Schering-Plough and Astellas; has served as a clinical trial investigator for Schering-Plough, Merck, and Astellas; and has served as a consultant for Merck. J.R.W. has received speaker's honoraria from Pfizer and Merck, has received grants from Merck and Pfizer, and has served as an advisor for Pfizer, Merck, and Schering-Plough.

References

- Stevens DA, Kan VL, Judson MA, et al. Practice guidelines for diseases caused by Aspergillus. Clin Infect Dis 2000; 30:696–709
- Patterson TF, Kirkpatrick WR, White M, et al. Invasive aspergillosis: disease spectrum, treatment practices, and outcomes. I3 Aspergillus Study Group. Medicine (Baltimore) 2000; 79:250–60.
- 3. Denning DW. Invasive aspergillosis. Clin Infect Dis 1998; 26:781-803.
- Marr KA, Patterson T, Denning D. Aspergillosis: pathogenesis, clinical manifestations, and therapy. Infect Dis Clin North Am 2002; 16: 875–94, vi.
- 5. Benjamin DK Jr, Miller WC, Bayliff S, Martel L, Alexander KA, Martin PL. Infections diagnosed in the first year after pediatric stem cell transplantation. Pediatr Infect Dis J **2002**; 21:227–34.
- Cornet M, Fleury L, Maslo C, Bernard JF, Brucker G. Epidemiology of invasive aspergillosis in France: a six-year multicentric survey in the greater Paris area. J Hosp Infect 2002; 51:288–96.
- Grow WB, Moreb JS, Roque D, et al. Late onset of invasive aspergillus infection in bone marrow transplant patients at a university hospital. Bone Marrow Transplant 2002; 29:15–9.
- Marr KA, Carter RA, Boeckh M, Martin P, Corey L. Invasive aspergillosis in allogeneic stem cell transplant recipients: changes in epidemiology and risk factors. Blood 2002; 100:4358–66.
- 9. Montoya JG, Chaparro SV, Celis D, et al. Invasive aspergillosis in the setting of cardiac transplantation. Clin Infect Dis **2003**; 37(Suppl 3): S281–92.
- Paterson DL, Singh N. Invasive aspergillosis in transplant recipients. Medicine (Baltimore) 1999; 78:123–38.
- Wald A, Leisenring W, van Burik J-A, Bowden RA. Epidemiology of *Aspergillus* infections in a large cohort of patients undergoing bone marrow transplantation. J Infect Dis **1997**; 175:1459–66.
- Perfect JR, Cox GM, Lee JY, et al. The impact of culture isolation of *Aspergillus* species: A hospital-based survey of aspergillosis. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 33:1824–33.
- Walsh TJ, Groll AH. Overview: non-fumigatus species of Aspergillus: perspectives on emerging pathogens in immunocompromised hosts. Curr Opin Investig Drugs 2001; 2:1366–7.
- Anaissie E. Opportunistic mycoses in the immunocompromised host: experience at a cancer center and review. Clin Infect Dis 1992; 14(Suppl 1):S43–53.
- Kontoyiannis DP, Lewis RE, May GS, Osherov N, Rinaldi MG. Aspergillus nidulans is frequently resistant to amphotericin B. Mycoses 2002; 45:406–7.
- Lass-Florl C, Rath P, Niederwieser D, et al. Aspergillus terreus infections in haematological malignancies: molecular epidemiology suggests association with in-hospital plants. J Hosp Infect 2000; 46:31–5.
- Segal BH, DeCarlo ES, Kwon-Chung KJ, Malech HL, Gallin JI, Holland SM. *Aspergillus nidulans* infection in chronic granulomatous disease. Medicine (Baltimore) **1998**; 77:345–54.
- Steinbach WJ, Benjamin DK Jr, Kontoyiannis DP, et al. Infections due to Aspergillus terreus: a multicenter retrospective analysis of 83 cases. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39:192–8.
- Sutton DA, Sanche SE, Revankar SG, Fothergill AW, Rinaldi MG. In vitro amphotericin B resistance in clinical isolates of *Aspergillus terreus*, with a head-to-head comparison to voriconazole. J Clin Microbiol 1999; 37:2343–5.
- Walsh TJ, Petraitis V, Petraitiene R, et al. Experimental pulmonary aspergillosis due to *Aspergillus terreus*: pathogenesis and treatment of an emerging fungal pathogen resistant to amphotericin B. J Infect Dis 2003; 188:305–19.
- Barnes PD, Marr KA. Aspergillosis: spectrum of disease, diagnosis, and treatment. Infect Dis Clin North Am 2006; 20:545–61.
- 22. Stevens DA, Moss RB, Kurup VP, et al. Allergic bronchopulmonary

aspergillosis in cystic fibrosis—state of the art: Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Consensus Conference. Clin Infect Dis **2003**; 37(Suppl 3): S225–64.

- 23. Ascioglu S, Rex JH, de Pauw B, et al. Defining opportunistic invasive fungal infections in immunocompromised patients with cancer and hematopoietic stem cell transplants: an international consensus. Clin Infect Dis **2002**; 34:7–14.
- 24. Stevens DA, Lee JY. Analysis of compassionate use itraconazole therapy for invasive aspergillosis by the NIAID Mycoses Study Group criteria. Arch Intern Med **1997**;157:1857–62.
- 25. Munoz P, Alcala L, Sanchez Conde M, et al. The isolation of *Aspergillus fumigatus* from respiratory tract specimens in heart transplant recipients is highly predictive of invasive aspergillosis. Transplantation **2003**; 75:326–9.
- Horvath JA, Dummer S. The use of respiratory-tract cultures in the diagnosis of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Am J Med 1996; 100: 171–8.
- 27. Caillot D, Couaillier JF, Bernard A, et al. Increasing volume and changing characteristics of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis on sequential thoracic computed tomography scans in patients with neutropenia. J Clin Oncol **2001**; 19:253–9.
- Caillot D, Mannone L, Cuisenier B, Couaillier JF. Role of early diagnosis and aggressive surgery in the management of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in neutropenic patients. Clin Microbiol Infect 2001; 7:54–61.
- 29. Greene RE, Schlamm HT, Oestmann JW, et al. Imaging findings in acute invasive pulmonary aspergillosis: clinical significance of the halo sign. Clin Infect Dis **2007**; 44:373–9.
- Kuhlman JE, Fishman EK, Burch PA, Karp JE, Zerhouni EA, Siegelman SS. CT of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1988; 150:1015–20.
- Kuhlman JE, Fishman EK, Siegelman SS. Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in acute leukemia: characteristic findings on CT, the CT halo sign, and the role of CT in early diagnosis. Radiology 1985; 157:611–4.
- 32. Francis P, Lee JW, Hoffman A, et al. Efficacy of unilamellar liposomal amphotericin B in treatment of pulmonary aspergillosis in persistently granulocytopenic rabbits: the potential role of bronchoalveolar lavage D-mannitol and galactomannan as markers of infection. J Infect Dis 1994; 169:356–68.
- Herbrecht R, Letscher-Bru V, Oprea C, et al. Aspergillus galactomannan detection in the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis in cancer patients. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20:1898–906.
- 34. Maertens J, Verhaegen J, Lagrou K, Van Eldere J, Boogaerts M. Screening for circulating galactomannan as a noninvasive diagnostic tool for invasive aspergillosis in prolonged neutropenic patients and stem cell transplantation recipients: a prospective validation. Blood 2001; 97:1604–10.
- 35. Marr KA, Balajee SA, McLaughlin L, Tabouret M, Bentsen C, Walsh TJ. Detection of galactomannan antigenemia by enzyme immunoassay for the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis: variables that affect performance. J Infect Dis 2004; 190:641–9.
- Mennink-Kersten MA, Donnelly JP, Verweij PE. Detection of circulating galactomannan for the diagnosis and management of invasive aspergillosis. Lancet Infect Dis 2004; 4:349–57.
- Mennink-Kersten MA, Verweij PE. Non-culture-based diagnostics for opportunistic fungi. Infect Dis Clin North Am 2006; 20:711–27.
- Patterson T, Miniter P, Ryan J, Andriole V. Effect of immunosuppression and amphotericin B on aspergillus antigenemia in an experimental model. J Infect Dis 1988;158:415–22.
- Stynen D, Goris A, Sarfati J, Latge JP. A new sensitive sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay to detect galactofuran in patients with invasive aspergillosis. J Clin Microbiol 1995; 33:497–500.
- 40. Sulahian A, Tabouret M, Ribaud P, et al. Comparison of an enzyme immunoassay and latex agglutination test for detection of galactomannan in the diagnosis of aspergillosis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis **1996**; 15:139–45.
- 41. Verweij PE, Erjavec Z, Sluiters W, et al. Detection of antigen in sera

of patients with invasive aspergillosis: intra- and interlaboratory reproducibility. J Clin Microbiol **1998**; 36:1612–6.

- Verweij PE, Rijs AJ, De Pauw BE, Horrevorts AM, Hoogkamp-Korstanje JA, Meis JF. Clinical evaluation and reproducibility of the Pastorex *Aspergillus* antigen latex agglutination test for diagnosing invasive aspergillosis. J Clin Pathol **1995**; 48:474–6.
- Machetti M, Zotti M, Veroni L, et al. Antigen detection in the diagnosis and management of a patient with probable cerebral aspergillosis treated with voriconazole. Transpl Infect Dis 2000; 2:140–4.
- Verweij PE, Brinkman K, Kremer HPH, Kullberg BJ, Meis J. Aspergillus meningitis: diagnosis by non-culture-based microbiological methods and management. J Clin Microbiol 1999; 37:1186–9.
- 45. Viscoli C, Machetti M, Gazzola P, et al. *Aspergillus* galactomannan antigen in the cerebrospinal fluid of bone marrow transplant recipients with probable cerebral aspergillosis. J Clin Microbiol **2002**; 40: 1496–9.
- 46. Becker MJ, Lugtenburg EJ, Cornelissen JJ, Van Der Schee C, Hoogsteden HC, De Marie S. Galactomannan detection in computerized tomography–based broncho-alveolar lavage fluid and serum in haematological patients at risk for invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Br J Haematol 2003; 121:448–57.
- 47. Musher B, Fredricks D, Leisenring W, Balajee SA, Smith C, Marr KA. *Aspergillus* galactomannan enzyme immunoassay and quantitative PCR for diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis with bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. J Clin Microbiol **2004**; 42:5517–22.
- Boutboul F, Alberti C, Leblanc T, et al. Invasive aspergillosis in allogeneic stem cell transplant recipients: Increasing antigenemia is associated with progressive disease. Clin Infect Dis 2002; 34:939–43.
- Anaissie EJ. Trial design for mold-active agents: time to break the mold—aspergillosis in neutropenic adults. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 44: 1298–306.
- 50. Maertens J, Glasmacher A, Selleslag D, et al. Evaluation of serum sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for circulating galactomannan during caspofungin therapy: results from the caspofungin invasive aspergillosis study. Clin Infect Dis **2005**; 41:e9–14.
- Maertens J, Van Eldere J, Verhaegen J, Verbeken E, Verschakelen J, Boogaerts M. Use of circulating galactomannan screening for early diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis in allogeneic stem cell transplant recipients. J Infect Dis 2002; 186:1297–306.
- Maertens JA, Klont R, Masson C, et al. Optimization of the cutoff value for the *Aspergillus* double-sandwich enzyme immunoassay. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 44:1329–36.
- Husain S, Kwak EJ, Obman A, et al. Prospective assessment of Platelia Aspergillus galactomannan antigen for the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis in lung transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 2004; 4: 796–802.
- 54. Kwak EJ, Husain S, Obman A, et al. Efficacy of galactomannan antigen in the Platelia *Aspergillus* enzyme immunoassay for diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis in liver transplant recipients. J Clin Microbiol 2004; 42:435–8.
- 55. Maertens J, Theunissen K, Verhoef G, et al. Galactomannan and computed tomography–based preemptive antifungal therapy in neutropenic patients at high risk for invasive fungal infection: a prospective feasibility study. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 41:1242–50.
- Sulahian A, Touratier S, Ribaud P. False positive test for aspergillus antigenemia related to concomitant administration of piperacillin and tazobactam. N Engl J Med 2003; 349:2366–7.
- 57. Viscoli C, Machetti M, Cappellano P, et al. False-positive galactomannan platelia *Aspergillus* test results for patients receiving piperacillin-tazobactam. Clin Infect Dis **2004**; 38:913–6.
- Mennink-Kersten MA, Klont RR, Warris A, Op den Camp HJ, Verweij PE. *Bifidobacterium lipoteichoic* acid and false ELISA reactivity in *Aspergillus* antigen detection. Lancet 2004; 363:325–7.
- 59. Adam O, Auperin A, Wilquin F, Bourhis JH, Gachot B, Chachaty E. Treatment with piperacillin-tazobactam and false-positive *Aspergillus* galactomannan antigen test results for patients with hematological malignancies. Clin Infect Dis **2004**; 38:917–20.

- 60. Singh N, Obman A, Husain S, Aspinall S, Mietzner S, Stout JE. Reactivity of platelia *Aspergillus* galactomannan antigen with piperacillintazobactam: clinical implications based on achievable concentrations in serum. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **2004**; 48:1989–92.
- Verweij PE, Mennink-Kersten MASH. Issues with galactomannan testing. Med Mycol 2006; 44(Suppl 1):S179–83.
- 62. Mitsutake K, Kohno S, Miyazaki T, et al. Detection of (1–3)-beta-Dglucan in a rat model of aspergillosis. J Clin Lab Anal **1995**; 9:119–22.
- 63. Miyazaki T, Kohno S, Mitsutake K, et al. Plasma (1—>3)-beta-Dglucan and fungal antigenemia in patients with candidemia, aspergillosis, and cryptococcosis. J Clin Microbiol **1995**; 33:3115–8.
- Obayashi T, Yoshida M, Mori T, et al. Plasma (1—>3)-beta-D-glucan measurement in diagnosis of invasive deep mycosis and fungal febrile episodes. Lancet 1995; 345:17–20.
- Obayashi T, Yoshida M, Tamura H, Aketagawa J, Tanaka S, Kawai T. Determination of plasma (1—>3)-beta-D-glucan: a new diagnostic aid to deep mycosis. J Med Vet Mycol 1992; 30:275–80.
- Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Alexander BD, Kett DH, et al. Multicenter clinical evaluation of the (1—>3) beta-D-glucan assay as an aid to diagnosis of fungal infections in humans. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 41:654–9.
- Pickering JW, Sant HW, Bowles CA, Roberts WL, Woods GL. Evaluation of a (1—>3)-beta-D-glucan assay for diagnosis of invasive fungal infections. J Clin Microbiol 2005; 43:5957–62.
- Marty FM, Lowry CM, Lempitski SJ, Kubiak DW, Finkelman MA, Baden LR. Reactivity of (1—>3)-beta-D-glucan assay with commonly used intravenous antimicrobials. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006; 50:3450–3.
- 69. Odabasi Z, Mattiuzzi G, Estey E, et al. Beta-D-glucan as a diagnostic adjunct for invasive fungal infections: validation, cutoff development, and performance in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome. Clin Infect Dis **2004**; 39:199–205.
- 70. White PL, Linton CJ, Perry MD, Johnson EM, Barnes RA. The evolution and evaluation of a whole blood polymerase chain reaction assay for the detection of invasive aspergillosis in hematology patients in a routine clinical setting. Clin Infect Dis **2006**; 42:479–86.
- Lass-Florl C, Gunsilius E, Gastl G, Freund M, Dierich MP, Petzer A. Clinical evaluation of *Aspergillus*-PCR for detection of invasive aspergillosis in immunosuppressed patients. Mycoses 2005; 48(Suppl 1): 12–7.
- 72. White PL, Archer AE, Barnes RA. Comparison of non–culture-based methods for detection of systemic fungal infections, with an emphasis on invasive Candida infections. J Clin Microbiol **2005**; 43:2181–7.
- Lass-Florl C, Gunsilius E, Gastl G, et al. Diagnosing invasive aspergillosis during antifungal therapy by PCR analysis of blood samples. J Clin Microbiol 2004; 42:4154–7.
- 74. Verweij PE, Klont RR, Donnelly JP. Validating PCR for detecting invasive aspergillosis. Br J Haematol **2004**; 127:235–6.
- 75. Buchheidt D, Hummel M, Schleiermacher D, et al. Prospective clinical evaluation of a LightCycler-mediated polymerase chain reaction assay, a nested-PCR assay and a galactomannan enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of invasive aspergillosis in neutropenic cancer patients and haematological stem cell transplant recipients. Br J Haematol 2004; 125:196–202.
- 76. Kawazu M, Kanda Y, Nannya Y, et al. Prospective comparison of the diagnostic potential of real-time PCR, double-sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for galactomannan, and a (1—>3)-beta-D-glucan test in weekly screening for invasive aspergillosis in patients with hematological disorders. J Clin Microbiol 2004; 42:2733–41.
- 77. Costa C, Costa JM, Desterke C, Botterel F, Cordonnier C, Bretagne S. Real-time PCR coupled with automated DNA extraction and detection of galactomannan antigen in serum by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. J Clin Microbiol 2002; 40:2224–7.
- Kami M, Fukui T, Ogawa S, et al. Use of real-time PCR on blood samples for diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 33:1504–12.

- 79. Hebart H, Loffler J, Meisner C, et al. Early detection of *Aspergillus* infection after allogeneic stem cell transplantation by polymerase chain reaction screening. J Infect Dis **2000**; 181:1713–9.
- Donnelly JP. Polymerase chain reaction for diagnosing invasive aspergillosis: getting closer but still a ways to go. Clin Infect Dis 2006;42: 487–9.
- Howard SJ, Webster I, Moore CB, et al. Multi-azole resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2006; 28:450–3.
- Verweij PE, Mellado E, Melchers WJ. Multiple-triazole-resistant aspergillosis. N Engl J Med 2007; 356:1481–3.
- Patterson TF. Advances and challenges in management of invasive mycoses. Lancet 2005; 366:1013–25.
- Dodds Ashley ES, Lewis R, Lewis JS, Martin C, Andes D. Pharmacology of systemic antifungal agents. Clin Infect Dis 2006; 43:S28–39.
- Boucher HW, Groll AH, Chiou CC, Walsh TJ. Newer systemic antifungal agents: pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy. Drugs 2004; 64: 1997–2020.
- Steinbach WJ, Stevens DA. Review of newer antifungal and immunomodulatory strategies for invasive aspergillosis. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 37(Suppl 3):S157–87.
- Steinbach WJ, Benjamin DK. New antifungal agents under development in children and neonates. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2005;18: 484–9.
- Steinbach WJ, Walsh TJ. Mycoses in pediatric patients. Infect Dis Clin North Am 2006; 20:663–78.
- Bates DW, Su L, Yu DT, et al. Mortality and costs of acute renal failure associated with amphotericin B therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 32: 686–93.
- Wingard JR, Kubilis P, Lee L, et al. Clinical significance of nephrotoxicity in patients treated with amphotericin B for suspected or proven aspergillosis. Clin Infect Dis 1999; 29:1402–7.
- Walsh TJ, Goodman JL, Pappas P, et al. Safety, tolerance, and pharmacokinetics of high-dose liposomal amphotericin B (AmBisome) in patients infected with *Aspergillus* species and other filamentous fungi: maximum tolerated dose study. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001; 45:3487–96.
- 92. Cornely OA, Maertens J, Bresnik M, et al. Liposomal amphotericin B as initial therapy for invasive mold infection: a randomized trial comparing a high-loading dose regimen with standard dosing (AmBiLoad trial). Clin Infect Dis **2007**; 44:1289–97.
- Denning DW, Venkateswarlu K, Oakley KL, et al. Itraconazole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997; 41:1364–8.
- Walsh TJ, Karlsson MO, Driscoll T, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of intravenous voriconazole in children after single- or multiple-dose administration. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004; 48:2166–72.
- 95. Smith J, Safdar N, Knasinski V, et al. Voriconazole therapeutic drug monitoring. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **2006**; 50:1570–2.
- Slain D, Rogers PD, Cleary JD, Chapman SW. Intravenous itraconazole. Ann Pharmacother 2001; 35:720–9.
- 97. Willems L, van der Geest R, de Beule K. Itraconazole oral solution and intravenous formulations: a review of pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. J Clin Pharm Ther **2001**; 26:159–69.
- De Beule K, Van Gestel J. Pharmacology of itraconazole. Drugs 2001;61:27–37.
- 99. Marr KA, Leisenring W, Crippa F, et al. Cyclophosphamide metabolism is affected by azole antifungals. Blood **2004**;103:1557–9.
- 100. Groll AH, Wood L, Roden M, et al. Safety, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of cyclodextrin itraconazole in pediatric patients with oropharyngeal candidiasis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002; 46:2554–63.
- 101. Kirkpatrick WR, McAtee RK, Fothergill AW, Loebenberg D, Rinaldi MG, Patterson TF. Efficacy of SCH56592 in a rabbit model of invasive aspergillosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000; 44:780–2.
- 102. Petraitiene R, Petraitis V, Groll AH, et al. Antifungal activity and pharmacokinetics of posaconazole (SCH 56592) in treatment and

prevention of experimental invasive pulmonary aspergillosis: correlation with galactomannan antigenemia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **2001**; 45:857–69.

- 103. Walsh TJ, Raad I, Patterson TF, et al. Treatment of invasive aspergillosis with posaconazole in patients who are refractory to or intolerant of conventional therapy: an externally controlled trial. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 44:2–12.
- Ullmann AJ, Lipton JH, Vesole DH, et al. Posaconazole or fluconazole for prophylaxis in severe graft-versus-host disease. N Engl J Med 2007; 356:335–47.
- 105. Cornely OA, Maertens J, Winston DJ, et al. Posaconazole vs. fluconazole or itraconazole prophylaxis in patients with neutropenia. N Engl J Med 2007; 356:348–59.
- 106. Pascual A, Nieth V, Calandra T, et al. Variability of voriconazole plasma levels measured by new high-performance liquid chromatography and bioassay methods. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007; 51:137–43.
- 107. Trifilio S, Pennick G, Pi J, et al. Monitoring plasma voriconazole levels may be necessary to avoid subtherapeutic levels in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Cancer **2007**; 109:1532–5.
- 108. Denning DW. Echinocandin antifungal drugs. Lancet 2003; 362: 1142–51.
- 109. Maertens J, Glasmacher A, Herbrecht R, et al. Multicenter, noncomparative study of caspofungin in combination with other antifungals as salvage therapy in adults with invasive aspergillosis. Cancer 2006; 107:2888–97.
- 110. Walsh TJ, Adamson PC, Seibel NL, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and tolerability of caspofungin in children and adolescents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005; 49:4536–45.
- 111. Denning DW, Marr KA, Lau WM, et al. Micafungin (FK463), alone or in combination with other systemic antifungal agents, for the treatment of acute invasive aspergillosis. J Infect **2006**; 53:337–49.
- 112. Seibel NL, Schwartz C, Arrieta A, et al. Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of micafungin (FK463) in febrile neutropenic pediatric patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **2005**; 49:3317–24.
- 113. Karp JE, Burch PA, Merz WG. An approach to intensive antileukemia therapy in patients with previous invasive aspergillosis. Am J Med 1988; 85:203–6.
- 114. Sipsas NV, Kontoyiannis DP. Clinical issues regarding relapsing aspergillosis and the efficacy of secondary antifungal prophylaxis in patients with hematological malignancies. Clin Infect Dis **2006**; 42: 1584–91.
- 115. Herbrecht R, Denning DW, Patterson TF, et al. Voriconazole versus amphotericin B for primary therapy of invasive aspergillosis. N Engl J Med 2002; 347:408–15.
- Denning DW, Ribaud P, Milpied N, et al. Efficacy and safety of voriconazole in the treatment of acute invasive aspergillosis. Clin Infect Dis 2002; 34:563–71.
- 117. Perfect JR, Marr KA, Walsh TJ, et al. Voriconazole treatment for lesscommon, emerging, or refractory fungal infections. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 36:1122–31.
- 118. Walsh TJ, Lutsar I, Driscoll T, et al. Voriconazole in the treatment of aspergillosis, scedosporiosis and other invasive fungal infections in children. Pediat Infect Dis J **2002**; 21:240–8.
- 119. Bowden R, Chandrasekar P, White MH, et al. A double-blind, randomized, controlled trial of amphotericin B colloidal dispersion versus amphotericin B for treatment of invasive aspergillosis in immunocompromised patients. Clin Infect Dis **2002**; 35:359–66.
- 120. Ellis M, Spence D, de Pauw B, et al. An EORTC international multicenter randomized trial (EORTC number 19923) comparing two dosages of liposomal amphotericin B for treatment of invasive aspergillosis. Clin Infect Dis **1998**; 27:1406–12.
- 121. Patterson TF, Miniter P, Dijkstra J, Szoka FC, Ryan JL, Andriole VT. Treatment of experimental invasive aspergillosis with novel amphotericin B/cholestrol-sulfate complexes. J Infect Dis **1989**; 159:717–21.
- 122. White MH, Anaissie EJ, Kusne S, et al. Amphotericin B colloidal

dispersion vs. amphotericin B as therapy for invasive aspergillosis. Clin Infect Dis **1997**; 24:635–42.

- 123. Leenders AC, Daenen S, Jansen RLH, et al. Liposomal amphotericin B compared with amphotericin B deoxycholate in the treatment of documented and suspected neutropenia-associated invasive fungal infections. Br J Haematol **1998**; 103:205–12.
- 124. Walsh TJ, Hiemenz JW, Seibel NL, et al. Amphotericin B lipid complex for invasive fungal infections: analysis of safety and efficacy in 556 cases. Clin Infect Dis **1998**; 26:1383–96.
- 125. Ng TT, Denning DW. Liposomal amphotericin B (AmBisome) therapy in invasive fungal infections: evaluation of United Kingdom compassionate use data. Arch Intern Med **1995**; 155:1093–8.
- Herbrecht R, Letscher V, Andres E, Cavalier A. Safety and efficacy of amphotericin B colloidal dispersion—an overview. Chemotherapy 1999; 45:67–76.
- 127. Maertens J, Raad I, Petrikkos G, et al. Efficacy and safety of caspofungin for treatment of invasive aspergillosis in patients refractory to or intolerant of conventional antifungal therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39:1563–71.
- Denning DW, Lee JY, Hostetler JS, et al. NIAID Mycoses Study Group multicenter trial of oral itraconazole therapy for invasive aspergillosis. Am J Med 1994;97:135–44.
- 129. Caillot D. Intravenous itraconazole followed by oral itraconazole for the treatment of amphotericin-B-refractory invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Acta Haematol 2003; 109:111–8.
- 130. Caillot D, Bassaris H, McGeer A, et al. Intravenous itraconazole followed by oral itraconazole in the treatment of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in patients with hematologic malignancies, chronic granulomatous disease, or AIDS. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 33:83–90.
- 131. Steinbach WJ, Stevens DA, Denning DW. Combination and sequential antifungal therapy for invasive aspergillosis: review of published in vitro and in vivo interactions and 6281 clinical cases from 1966 to 2001. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 37(Suppl 3):S188–224.
- 132. Kontoyiannis DP, Hachem R, Lewis RE, et al. Efficacy and toxicity of caspofungin in combination with liposomal amphotericin B as primary or salvage treatment of invasive aspergillosis in patients with hematologic malignancies. Cancer 2003; 98:292–9.
- 133. Aliff TB, Maslak PG, Jurcic JG, et al. Refractory *Aspergillus* pneumonia in patients with acute leukemia: successful therapy with combination caspofungin and liposomal amphotericin. Cancer 2003; 97:1025–32.
- Marr KA, Boeckh M, Carter RA, Kim HW, Corey L. Combination antifungal therapy for invasive aspergillosis. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39: 797–802.
- 135. Kirkpatrick WR, Perea S, Coco BJ, Patterson TF. Efficacy of caspofungin alone and in combination with voriconazole in a guinea pig model of invasive aspergillosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002; 46:2564–8.
- 136. Petraitis V, Petraitiene R, Sarafandi AA, et al. Combination therapy in treatment of experimental pulmonary aspergillosis: synergistic interaction between an antifungal triazole and an echinocandin. J Infect Dis 2003; 187:1834–43.
- 137. Singh N, Limaye AP, Forrest G, et al. Combination of voriconazole and caspofungin as primary therapy for invasive aspergillosis in solid organ transplant recipients: a prospective, multicenter, observational study. Transplantation 2006; 81:320–6.
- 138. Lewis RE, Prince RA, Chi J, Kontoyiannis DP. Itraconazole preexposure attenuates the efficacy of subsequent amphotericin B therapy in a murine model of acute invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002; 46:3208–14.
- 139. Meletiadis J, te Dorsthorst DT, Verweij PE. The concentration-dependent nature of in vitro amphotericin B-itraconazole interaction against *Aspergillus fumigatus:* isobolographic and response surface analysis of complex pharmacodynamic interactions. Int J Antimicrob Agents **2006**; 28:439–49.
- 140. Denning DW, Radford SA, Oakley KL, Hall L, Johnson EM, Warnock DW. Correlation between in-vitro susceptibility testing to itraconazole

and in-vivo outcome of *Aspergillus fumigatus* infection. J Antimicrob Chemother **1997**; 40:401–14.

- 141. Martino R, Subira M, Rovira M, et al. Invasive fungal infections after allogeneic peripheral blood stem cell transplantation: incidence and risk factors in 395 patients. Br J Haematol **2002**; 116:475–82.
- 142. Rowe JM, Andersen JW, Mazza JJ, et al. A randomized placebocontrolled phase III study of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor in adult patients (>55 to 70 years of age) with acute myelogenous leukemia: a study of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (E1490). Blood **1995**; 86:457–62.
- 143. Roilides E, Katsifa H, Walsh TJ. Pulmonary host defences against *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Res Immunol **1998**; 149:454–65.
- 144. Stevens DA. Th1/Th2 in aspergillosis. Med Mycol **2006**; 44(Suppl 1): S229–35.
- Ezekowitz RA. Update on chronic granulomatous disease: the concept of the near-normal host. Curr Clin Top Infect Dis 2000; 20:325–34.
- 146. The International Chronic Granulomatous Disease Cooperative Study Group. A controlled trial of interferon gamma to prevent infection in chronic granulomatous disease. N Engl J Med 1991; 324:509–16.
- 147. Dignani MC, Anaissie EJ, Hester JP, et al. Treatment of neutropeniarelated fungal infections with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor–elicited white blood cell transfusions: a pilot study. Leukemia 1997; 11:1621–30.
- 148. Schiffer CA. Granulocyte transfusion therapy 2006: the comeback kid? Med Mycol 2006; 44(Suppl):383–6.
- Nagai H, Guo J, Choi H, Kurup V. Interferon-gamma and tumor necrosis factor-alpha protect mice from invasive aspergillosis. J Infect Dis 1995; 172:1554–60.
- Warris A, Bjorneklett A, Gaustad P. Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis associated with infliximab therapy. N Engl J Med 2001; 344:1099–100.
- 151. De Rosa FG, Shaz D, Campagna AC, Dellaripa PE, Khettry U, Craven DE. Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis soon after therapy with infliximab, a tumor necrosis factor-alpha–neutralizing antibody: a possible healthcare-associated case? Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2003; 24: 477–82.
- 152. Pagano L, Ricci P, Nosari A, et al. Fatal haemoptysis in pulmonary filamentous mycosis: an underevaluated cause of death in patients with acute leukemia in haematological complete remission: a retrospective study and review of the literature. Br J Haematol 1995; 89: 500–5.
- 153. Todeschini G, Murari C, Bonesi R, et al. Invasive aspergillosis in neutropenic patients: rapid neutrophil recovery is a risk factor for severe pulmonary complications. Eur J Clin Invest 1999; 29:453–7.
- 154. Yeghen T, Kibbler CC, Prentice HG, et al. Management of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in hematology patients: a review of 87 consecutive cases at a single institution. Clin Infect Dis 2000; 31:859–68.
- 155. Pogrebniak HW, Gallin JI, Malech HL, et al. Surgical management of pulmonary infections in chronic granulomatous disease of childhood. Ann Thorac Surg 1993; 55:844–9.
- 156. Kauffman CA. Quandary about treatment of aspergillomas persists. Lancet **1996**; 347:1640.
- 157. Gossot D, Validire P, Vaillancourt R, et al. Full thoracoscopic approach for surgical management of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Ann Thorac Surg 2002; 73:240–4.
- 158. Bernard A, Caillot D, Couaillier JF, Casasnovas O, Guy H, Favre JP. Surgical management of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in neutropenic patients. Ann Thorac Surg 1997;64:1441–7.
- 159. Fukuda T, Boeckh M, Carter RA, et al. Risks and outcomes of invasive fungal infections in recipients of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplants after nonmyeloablative conditioning. Blood **2003**; 102: 827–33.
- 160. Martino R, Parody R, Fukuda T, et al. Impact of the intensity of the pretransplantation conditioning regimen in patients with prior invasive aspergillosis undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a retrospective survey of the Infectious Diseases Working Party of the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Blood **2006**; 108:2928–36.

- 161. Kramer MR, Denning DW, Marshall SE, et al. Ulcerative tracheobronchitis following lung transplantation: a new form of invasive aspergillosis. Am Rev Resp Dis 1991; 144:552–6.
- 162. Singh N, Husain S. *Aspergillus* infections after lung transplantation: clinical differences in type of transplant and implications for management. J Heart Lung Transplant **2003**; 22:258–66.
- 163. Kemper CA, Hostetler JS, Follansbee SE, et al. Ulcerative and plaquelike tracheobronchitis due to infection with *Aspergillus* in patients with AIDS. Clin Infect Dis 1993; 17:344–52.
- 164. Machida U, Kami M, Kanda Y, et al. Aspergillus tracheobronchitis after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 1999; 24:1145–9.
- 165. Hadjiliadis D, Howell DN, Davis RD, et al. Anastomotic infections in lung transplant recipients. Ann Transplant **2000**; 5:13–9.
- 166. Boettcher H, Bewig B, Hirt SW, Moller F, Cremer J. Topical amphotericin B application in severe bronchial aspergillosis after lung transplantation: report of experiences in 3 cases. J Heart Lung Transplant 2000; 19:1224–7.
- 167. Alexander BD, Dodds Ashley ES, Addison RM, Alspaugh JA, Chao NJ, Perfect JR. Non-comparative evaluation of the safety of aerosolized amphotericin B lipid complex in patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Transpl Infect Dis 2006; 8: 13–20.
- Corcoran TE, Venkataramanan R, Mihelc KM, et al. Aerosol deposition of lipid complex amphotericin-B (Abelcet) in lung transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 2006; 6:2765–73.
- 169. Hope WW, Walsh TJ, Denning DW. The invasive and saprophytic syndromes due to Aspergillus spp. Med Mycol 2005;43(Suppl 1): S207–38.
- Denning DW. Chronic forms of pulmonary aspergillosis. Clin Microbiol Infect 2001;7:25–31.
- 171. Dupont B. Itraconazole therapy in aspergillosis: study in 49 patients. J Am Acad Dermatol **1990**; 23:607–14.
- 172. Matsumoto K, Komori A, Harada N, et al. Successful treatment of chronic necrotizing pulmonary aspergillosis with intracavitary instillation of amphotericin B—a case report. Fukuoka Igaku Zasshi 1995; 86:99–104.
- 173. Caras WE, Pluss JL. Chronic necrotizing pulmonary aspergillosis: pathologic outcome after itraconazole therapy. Mayo Clin Proc 1996; 71:25–30.
- Camuset J, Nunes H, Dombret MC, et al. Treatment of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis by voriconazole in non-immunocompromised patients. Chest 2007; 131:1435–41.
- 175. Sambatakou H, Dupont B, Lode H, Denning DW. Voriconazole treatment for subacute invasive and chronic pulmonary aspergillosis. Am J Med 2006; 119:527.e17–24.
- 176. Schwartz S, Ruhnke M, Ribaud P, et al. Improved outcome in central nervous system aspergillosis, using voriconazole treatment. Blood 2005; 106:2641–5.
- 177. Mouas H, Lutsar I, Dupont B, et al. Voriconazole for invasive bone aspergillosis: a worldwide experience of 20 cases. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 40:1141–7.
- Reis LJ, Barton TD, Pochettino A, et al. Successful treatment of *Aspergillus* prosthetic valve endocarditis with oral voriconazole. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 41:752–3.
- 179. Vassiloyanakopoulos A, Falagas ME, Allamani M, Michalopoulos A. Aspergillus fumigatus tricuspid native valve endocarditis in a nonintravenous drug user. J Med Microbiol 2006; 55:635–8.
- Walsh TJ, Hier DB, Caplan LR. Aspergillosis of the central nervous system: clinicopathological analysis of 17 patients. Ann Neurol 1985; 18:574–82.
- 181. Lin SJ, Schranz J, Teutsch SM. Aspergillosis case-fatality rate: systematic review of the literature. Clin Infect Dis **2001**; 32:358–66.
- 182. Walsh TJ, Hier DB, Caplan LR. Fungal infections of the central nervous system: comparative analysis of risk factors and clinical signs in 57 patients. Neurology **1985**; 35:1654–7.
- 183. Ng A, Gadong N, Kelsey A, Denning DW, Leggate J, Eden OB. Suc-

cessful treatment of *Aspergillus* brain abscess in a child with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatr Hematol Oncol **2000**; 17:497–504.

- Khoury H, Adkins D, Miller G, Goodnough L, Brown R, DiPersio J. Resolution of invasive central nervous system aspergillosis in a transplant recipient. Bone Marrow Transplant 1997; 20:179–80.
- 185. Coleman J, Hogg G, Rosenfeld J, Waters K. Invasive central nervous system aspergillosis: cure with liposomal amphotericin B, itraconazole, and radical surgery—case report and review of the literature. Neurosurgery 1995; 36:858–63.
- 186. Imai T, Yamamoto T, Tanaka S, et al. Successful treatment of cerebral aspergillosis with a high oral dose of itraconazole after excisional surgery. Intern Med 1999; 38:829–32.
- 187. Sanchez C, Mauri E, Dalmau D, Quintana S, Aparicio A, Garau J. Treatment of cerebral aspergillosis with itraconazole: do high doses improve the prognosis? Clin Infect Dis 1995;21:1485–7.
- Pitisuttithum P, Negroni R, Graybill JR, et al. Activity of posaconazole in the treatment of central nervous system fungal infections. J Antimicrob Chemother 2005; 56:745–55.
- Denning DW, Stevens DA. Antifungal and surgical treatment of invasive aspergillosis: review of 2121 published cases. Rev Infect Dis 1990; 12:1147–201.
- 190. Clemons KV, Espiritu M, Parmar R, Stevens DA. Comparative efficacies of conventional amphotericin b, liposomal amphotericin B (AmBisome), caspofungin, micafungin, and voriconazole alone and in combination against experimental murine central nervous system aspergillosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005; 49:4867–75.
- 191. Dubbeld P, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Twinjstra A, Schouten HC. Spinal epidural abscess due to *Aspergillus* infection of the vertebrae: report of 3 cases. Neth J Med **1996**; 48:18–23.
- 192. Ashdown B, Tien R, Felsberg G. Aspergillosis of the brain and paranasal sinuses in immunocompromised patients: CT and MR imaging findings. AJR Am J Roentgenol **1994**;162:155–9.
- Clancy CJ, Nguyen MH. Invasive sinus aspergillosis in apparently immunocompetent hosts. J Infect 1998; 37:229–40.
- 194. de Carpentier J, Ramamurthy M, Taylor P, Denning D. An algorithmic approach to Aspergillus sinusitis. J Laryngol Otol 1994; 108:314–8.
- 195. Hospenthal DR, Byrd JC, Weiss RB. Successful treatment of invasive aspergillosis complicating prolonged treatment-related neutropenia in acute myelogenous leukemia with amphotericin B lipid complex. Med Pediatr Oncol **1995**; 25:119–22.
- 196. Verschraegen CF, van Besien KW, Dignani C, Hester JP, Andersson BS, Anaissie E. Invasive Aspergillus sinusitis during bone marrow transplantation. Scand J Infect Dis 1997; 29:436–8.
- 197. Weber RS, Lopez-Berestein G. Treatment of invasive Aspergillus sinusitis with liposomal-amphotericin B. Laryngoscope 1987;97: 937–41.
- Denning DW, Griffiths CE. Muco-cutaneous retinoid-effects and facial erythema related to the novel triazole antifungal agent voriconazole. Clin Exp Dermatol 2001; 26:648–53.
- 199. Said T, Nampoory MR, Nair MP, et al. Safety of caspofungin for treating invasive nasal sinus aspergillosis in a kidney transplant recipient. Transplant Proc 2005; 37:3038–40.
- 200. Tsiodras S, Zafiropoulou R, Giotakis J, Imbrios G, Antoniades A, Manesis EK. Deep sinus aspergillosis in a liver transplant recipient successfully treated with a combination of caspofungin and voriconazole. Transpl Infect Dis 2004; 6:37–40.
- Yagi HI, Gumaa SA, Shumo AI, Abdalla N, Gadir AA. Nasosinus aspergillosis in Sudanese patients: clinical features, pathology, diagnosis, and treatment. J Otolaryngol 1999; 28:90–4.
- 202. Alrajhi AA, Enani M, Mahasin Z, Al-Omran K. Chronic invasive aspergillosis of the paranasal sinuses in immunocompetent hosts from Saudi Arabia. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2001;65:83–6.
- Walsh T, Bulkley B. Aspergillus pericarditis: clinical and pathologic features in the immunocompromised patient. Cancer 1982; 49:48–54.
- 204. Kammer RB, Utz JP. *Aspergillus* species endocarditis: the new face of a not so rare disease. Am J Med **1974**; 56:506–21.

- Lawrence T, Shockman AT, MacVaugh H III. Aspergillus infection of prosthetic aortic valves. Chest 1971; 60:406–14.
- Mehta G. Aspergillus endocarditis after open heart surgery: an epidemiological investigation. J Hosp Infect 1990; 15:245–53.
- 207. Petrosillo N, Pellicelli AM, Cicalini S, Conte A, Goletti D, Palmieri F. Endocarditis caused by *Aspergillus* species in injection drug users. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 33:97–9.
- Walsh TJ, Hutchins GM. Aspergillus mural endocarditis. Am J Clin Pathol 1979; 71:640–4.
- Rao K, Saha V. Medical management of Aspergillus flavus endocarditis. Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2000; 17:425–7.
- 210. Cox JN, di Dio F, Pizzolato GP, Lerch R, Pochon N. Aspergillus endocarditis and myocarditis in a patient with the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS): a review of the literature. Virchows Arch A Pathol Anat Histopathol **1990**; 417:255–9.
- Gumbo T, Taege AJ, Mawhorter S, et al. Aspergillus valve endocarditis in patients without prior cardiac surgery. Medicine 2000; 79:261–8.
- Wagner DK, Werner PH, Bonchek LI, Shimshak T, Rytel MW. Successful treatment of post–mitral valve annuloplasty *Aspergillus flavus* endocarditis. Am J Med **1985**; 79:777–80.
- 213. Vinas PC, King PK, Diaz FG. Spinal aspergillus osteomyelitis. Clin Infect Dis **1999**; 28:1223–9.
- Tack KJ, Rhame FS, Brown B, Thompson RC Jr. *Aspergillus* osteomyelitis: report of four cases and review of the literature. Am J Med 1982; 73:295–300.
- 215. Kirby A, Hassan I, Burnie J. Recommendations for managing *Asper-gillus* osteomyelitis and joint infections based on a review of the literature. J Infect **2006**; 52:405–14.
- Vaishya S, Sharma MS. Spinal *Aspergillus* vertebral osteomyelitis with extradural abscess: case report and review of literature. Surg Neurol 2004; 61:551–5; discussion 555.
- 217. Tang TJ, Janssen HL, van der Vlies CH, et al. Aspergillus osteomyelitis after liver transplantation: conservative or surgical treatment? Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2000; 12:123–6.
- 218. Witzig R, Greer D, Hyslop NJ. *Aspergillus flavus* mycetoma and epidural abscess successfully treated with itraconazole. J Med Vet Mycol **1996**; 34:133–7.
- 219. Stratov I, Korman TM, Johnson PD. Management of *Aspergillus* osteomyelitis: report of failure of liposomal amphotericin B and response to voriconazole in an immunocompetent host and literature review. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis **2003**; 22:277–83.
- 220. Lodge BA, Ashley ED, Steele MP, Perfect JR. *Aspergillus fumigatus* empyema, arthritis, and calcaneal osteomyelitis in a lung transplant patient successfully treated with posaconazole. J Clin Microbiol **2004**; 342:1376–8.
- 221. Kumashi PR, Safdar A, Chamilos G, Chemaly RF, Raad II, Kontoyiannis DP. Fungal osteoarticular infections in patients treated at a comprehensive cancer centre: a 10-year retrospective review. Clin Microbiol Infect 2006; 12:621–6.
- 222. Aziz AA, Bullock JD, McGuire TW, Elder BL, Funkhouser JW. Aspergillus endophthalmitis: a clinical and experimental study. Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc 1992; 90:317–42; discussion 42–6.
- 223. Callanan D, Scott IU, Murray TG, Oxford KW, Bowman CB, Flynn HW Jr. Early onset endophthalmitis caused by *Aspergillus* species following cataract surgery. Am J Ophthalmol **2006**; 142:509–11.
- 224. Demicco DD, Reichman RC, Violette EJ, Winn WC Jr. Disseminated aspergillosis presenting with endophthalmitis: a case report and a review of the literature. Cancer **1984**; 53:1995–2001.
- Weishaar PD, Flynn HW Jr, Murray TG, et al. Endogenous Aspergillus endophthalmitis: clinical features and treatment outcomes. Ophthalmology 1998; 105:57–65.
- 226. Thiel MA, Zinkernagel AS, Burhenne J, Kaufmann C, Haefeli WE. Voriconazole concentration in human aqueous humor and plasma during topical or combined topical and systemic administration for fungal keratitis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007; 51:239–44.
- 227. Sen P, Gopal L, Sen PR. Intravitreal voriconazole for drug-resistant fungal endophthalmitis: case series. Retina **2006**; 26:935–9.

- 228. Yildiran ST, Mutlu FM, Saracli MA, et al. Fungal endophthalmitis caused by *Aspergillus ustus* in a patient following cataract surgery. Med Mycol **2006**; 44:665–9.
- 229. Denning DW, Hanson LH, Perlman AM, Stevens DA. In vitro susceptibility and synergy studies of *Aspergillus* species to conventional and new agents. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis **1992**; 15:21–34.
- 230. Gopinathan U, Garg P, Fernandes M, Sharma S, Athmanathan S, Rao GN. The epidemiological features and laboratory results of fungal keratitis: a 10-year review at a referral eye care center in South India. Cornea 2002; 21:555–9.
- 231. Vemuganti GK, Garg P, Gopinathan U, et al. Evaluation of agent and host factors in progression of mycotic keratitis: a histologic and microbiologic study of 167 corneal buttons. Ophthalmology **2002**; 109: 1538–46.
- 232. Iyer SA, Tuli SS, Wagoner RC. Fungal keratitis: emerging trends and treatment outcomes. Eye Contact Lens **2006**; 32:267–71.
- 233. Rahimi F, Hashemian MN, Rajabi MT. Aspergillus fumigatus keratitis after laser in situ keratomileusis: a case report and review of post-LASIK fungal keratitis. Eye 2007; 21:843–5.
- 234. Kuo IC, Margolis TP, Cevallos V, Hwang DG. *Aspergillus fumigatus* keratitis after laser in situ keratomileusis. Cornea **2001**; 20:342–4.
- 235. Kaushik S, Ram J, Brar GS, Jain AK, Chakraborti A, Gupta A. Intracameral amphotericin B: initial experience in severe keratomycosis. Cornea **2001**; 20:715–9.
- 236. Thomas PA, Abraham DJ, Kalavathy CM, Rajasekaran J. Oral itraconazole therapy for mycotic keratitis. Mycoses **1988**; 31:271–9.
- 237. Kalavathy CM, Parmar P, Kaliamurthy J, et al. Comparison of topical itraconazole 1% with topical natamycin 5% for the treatment of filamentous fungal keratitis. Cornea 2005; 24:449–52.
- 238. Bunya VY, Hammersmith KM, Rapuano CJ, Ayres BD, Cohen EJ. Topical and oral voriconazole in the treatment of fungal keratitis. Am J Ophthalmol 2007; 143:151–3.
- Jurkunas UV, Langston DP, Colby K. Use of voriconazole in the treatment of fungal keratitis. Int Ophthalmol Clin 2007; 47:47–59.
- Mays SR, Bogle MA, Bodey GP. Cutaneous fungal infections in the oncology patient: recognition and management. Am J Clin Dermatol 2006; 7:31–43.
- Walsh TJ. Primary cutaneous aspergillosis—an emerging infection among immunocompromised patients. Clin Infect Dis 1998; 27: 453–7.
- 242. Woodruff CA, Hebert AA. Neonatal primary cutaneous aspergillosis: case report and review of the literature. Pediatr Dermatol **2002**; 19: 439–44.
- 243. Bretagne S, Bart-Delabesse E, Wechsler J, Kuentz M, Dhedin N, Cordonnier C. Fatal primary cutaneous aspergillosis in a bone marrow transplant recipient: nosocomial acquisition in a laminar-air flow room. J Hosp Infect **1997**; 36:235–9.
- 244. Bryce EA, Walker M, Scharf S, et al. An outbreak of cutaneous aspergillosis in a tertiary-care hospital. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol **1996**; 17:170–2.
- 245. Nannini EC, Paphitou NI, Ostrosky-Zeichner L. Peritonitis due to Aspergillus and zygomycetes in patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis: report of 2 cases and review of the literature. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2003; 46:49–54.
- 246. Manzano-Gayosso P, Hernandez-Hernandez F, Mendez-Tovar LJ, Gonzalez-Monroy J, Lopez-Martinez R. Fungal peritonitis in 15 patients on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD). Mycoses **2003**; 46:425–9.
- 247. Ide L, De Laere E, Verlinde A, Surmont I. A case of Aspergillus fumigatus peritonitis in a patient undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD): diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. J Clin Pathol 2005; 58:559.
- 248. Kitiyakara C, Sakulsaengprapha A, Domrongkitchaiporn S. The role of surgery and itraconazole in *Aspergillus* peritonitis in CAPD. Nephrol Dial Transplant **1996**; 11:1498.
- 249. Eggimann P, Chevrolet JC, Starobinski M, et al. Primary invasive

aspergillosis of the digestive tract: report of two cases and review of the literature. Infection **2006**; 34:333–8.

- 250. Young RC, Bennett JE, Vogel CL, Carbone PP, DeVita VT. Aspergillosis: the spectrum of the disease in 98 patients. Medicine **1970**; 49: 147–73.
- 251. van der Velden WJ, Blijlevens NM, Klont RR, Donnelly JP, Verweij PE. Primary hepatic invasive aspergillosis with progression after rituximab therapy for a post transplantation lymphoproliferative disorder. Ann Hematol 2006; 85:621–3.
- Erdman SH, Barber BJ, Barton LL. Aspergillus cholangitis: a late complication after Kasai portoenterostomy. J Pediatr Surg 2002; 37:923–5.
- 253. Lisson SW, Hellinger WC, Parra RO. Primary bilateral parenchymal renal *Aspergillus* infection. Urology **2002**; 60:345.
- 254. Perez-Arellano JL, Angel-Moreno A, Belon E, Frances A, Santana OE, Martin-Sanchez AM. Isolated renoureteric aspergilloma due to Aspergillus flavus: case report and review of the literature. J Infect 2001; 42:163–5.
- 255. Khan ZU, Gopalakrishnan G, al-Awadi K, et al. Renal aspergilloma due to *Aspergillus flavus*. Clin Infect Dis **1995**; 21:210–2.
- 256. Viale P, Di Matteo A, Sisti M, Voltolini F, Paties C, Alberici F. Isolated kidney localization of invasive aspergillosis in a patient with AIDS. Scand J Infect Dis **1994**; 26:767–70.
- 257. Hughes WT, Armstrong D, Bodey GP, et al. 2002 Guidelines for the use of antimicrobial agents in neutropenic patients with cancer. Clin Infect Dis 2002; 34:730–51.
- 258. EORTC International Antimicrobial Therapy Cooperative Group. Empiric antifungal therapy in febrile granulocytopenic patients. Am J Med **1989**; 86:668–72.
- 259. Pizzo PA, Robichaud KJ, Gill FA, Witebsky FG. Empiric antibiotics and antifungal therapy for cancer patients with prolonged fever and granulocytopenia. Am J Med **1982**; 72:101–11.
- 260. Walsh TJ, Finberg RW, Arndt C, et al. Liposomal amphotericin B for empirical therapy in patients with persistent fever and neutropenia. N Engl J Med 1999; 340:764–71.
- 261. Boogaerts M, Winston DJ, Bow EJ, et al. Intravenous and oral itraconazole versus intravenous amphotericin B deoxycholate as empirical antifungal therapy for persistent fever in neutropenic patients with cancer who are receiving broad-spectrum antibacterial therapy: a randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med **2001**; 135:412–22.
- 262. Walsh TJ, Pappas P, Winston DJ, et al. Voriconazole compared with liposomal amphotericin B for empirical antifungal therapy in patients with neutropenia and persistent fever. N Engl J Med **2002**; 346:225–34.
- 263. Walsh TJ, Teppler H, Donowitz GR, et al. Caspofungin versus liposomal amphotericin B for empirical antifungal therapy in patients with persistent fever and neutropenia. N Engl J Med 2004; 351: 1391–402.
- 264. Segal BH, Almyroudis NG, Battiwalla M, et al. Prevention and early treatment of invasive fungal infection in patients with cancer and neutropenia and in stem cell transplant recipients in the era of newer broad-spectrum antifungal agents and diagnostic adjuncts. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 44:402–9.
- 265. Glasmacher A, Prentice AG. Evidence-based review of antifungal prophylaxis in neutropenic patients with haematological malignancies. J Antimicrob Chemother **2005**; 56(Suppl 1):i23–32.
- 266. Vardakas KZ, Michalopoulos A, Falagas ME. Fluconazole versus itraconazole for antifungal prophylaxis in neutropenic patients with haematological malignancies: a meta-analysis of randomised-controlled trials. Br J Haematol 2005; 131:22–8.
- 267. Falagas ME, Vardakas KZ. Liposomal amphotericin B as antifungal prophylaxis in bone marrow transplant patients. Am J Hematol **2006**; 81:299–300.
- 268. Glasmacher A, Prentice A, Gorschluter M, et al. Itraconazole prevents invasive fungal infections in neutropenic patients treated for hematologic malignancies: evidence from a meta-analysis of 3,597 patients. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21:4615–26.
- 269. Bow EJ, Laverdiere M, Lussier N, Rotstein C, Cheang MS, Ioannou

S. Antifungal prophylaxis for severely neutropenic chemotherapy recipients: a meta analysis of randomized-controlled clinical trials. Cancer **2002**; 94:3230–46.

- 270. Martino R, Nomdedéu J, Altés A, et al. Successful bone marrow transplantation in patients with previous invasive fungal infections: report of four cases. Bone Marrow Transplant **1994**; 13:265–9.
- Offner F, Cordonnier C, Ljungman P, et al. Impact of previous aspergillosis on the outcome of bone marrow transplantation. Clin Infect Dis 1998; 26:1098–103.
- 272. Cowie F, Meller ST, Cushing P, Pinkerton R. Chemoprophylaxis for pulmonary aspergillosis during intensive chemotherapy. Arch Dis Child **1994**;70:136–8.
- 273. Tollemar J, Hockerstedt K, Ericzon BG, Jalanko H, Ringden O. Liposomal amphotericin B prevents invasive fungal infections in liver transplant recipients: a randomized, placebo-controlled study. Transplantation 1995; 59:45–50.
- 274. Rousey S, Russler S, Gottlieb M, Ash R. Low-dose amphotericin B prophylaxis against invasive *Aspergillus* infections in allogeneic marrow transplantation. Am J Med **1991**; 91:484–9.
- 275. De Laurenzi A, Matteocci A, Lanti A, Pescador L, Blandino F, Papetti C. Amphotericin B prophylaxis against invasive fungal infections in neutropenic patients: a single center experience from 1980 to 1995. Infection 1996; 24:361–6.
- 276. Perfect JR, Klotman ME, Gilbert CC, et al. Prophylactic intravenous amphotericin B in neutropenic autologous bone marrow transplant recipients. J Infect Dis **1992**; 165:891–7.
- 277. Monforte V, Roman A, Gavalda J, et al. Nebulized amphotericin B prophylaxis for *Aspergillus* infection in lung transplantation: study of risk factors. J Heart Lung Transplant **2001**; 20:1274–81.
- 278. Palmer SM, Drew RH, Whitehouse JD, et al. Safety of aerosolized amphotericin B lipid complex in lung transplant recipients. Transplantation **2001**; 72:545–8.
- 279. Schwartz S, Behre G, Heinemann V, et al. Aerosolized amphotericin B inhalations as prophylaxis of invasive aspergillus infections during prolonged neutropenia: results of a prospective randomized multicenter trial. Blood **1999**; 93:3654–61.
- 280. Morgenstern GR, Prentice AG, Prentice HG, et al. A randomized controlled trial of itraconazole versus fluconazole for the prevention of fungal infections in patients with haematological malignancies. U.K. Multicentre Antifungal Prophylaxis Study Group. Br J Haematol 1999; 105:901–11.
- 281. Harousseau JL, Dekker AW, Stamatoullas-Bastard A, et al. Itraconazole oral solution for primary prophylaxis of fungal infections in patients with hematological malignancy and profound neutropenia: a randomized, double-blind, double-placebo, multicenter trial comparing itraconazole and amphotericin B. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000; 44:1887–93.
- Nucci M, Biasoli I, Akiti T, et al. A double-blind, randomized, placebocontrolled trial of itraconazole capsules as antifungal prophylaxis for neutropenic patients. Clin Infect Dis 2000; 30:300–5.
- 283. Todeschini G, Murari C, Bonesi R, et al. Oral itraconazole plus nasal amphotericin B for prophylaxis of invasive aspergillosis in patients with hematological malignancies. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1993; 12:614–8.
- Winston DJ, Busuttil RW. Randomized controlled trial of oral itraconazole solution versus intravenous/oral fluconazole for prevention of fungal infections in liver transplant recipients. Transplantation 2002; 74:688–95.
- Marr KA, Crippa F, Leisenring W, et al. Itraconazole versus fluconazole for prevention of fungal infections in patients receiving allogeneic stem cell transplants. Blood 2004; 103:1527–33.
- 286. Winston DJ, Maziarz RT, Chandrasekar PH, et al. Intravenous and oral itraconazole versus intravenous and oral fluconazole for long-term antifungal prophylaxis in allogeneic hematopoietic stem-cell transplant recipients: a multicenter, randomized trial. Ann Intern Med **2003**; 138:705–13.

- 287. van Burik JA, Ratanatharathorn V, Stepan DE, et al. Micafungin versus fluconazole for prophylaxis against invasive fungal infections during neutropenia in patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39:1407–16.
- Gallin JI, Alling DW, Malech HL, et al. Itraconazole to prevent fungal infections in chronic granulomatous disease. N Engl J Med 2003; 348: 2416–22.
- Judson MA, Stevens DA. The treatment of pulmonary aspergilloma. Curr Opin Investig Drugs 2001; 2:1375–7.
- 290. Vaid M, Kaur S, Sambatakou H, Madan T, Denning DW, Sarma PU. Distinct alleles of mannose-binding lectin (MBL) and surfactant proteins A (SP-A) in patients with chronic cavitary pulmonary aspergillosis and allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. Clin Chem Lab Med **2007**; 45:183–6.
- 291. Regnard JF, Icard P, Nicolosi M, et al. Aspergilloma: a series of 89 surgical cases. Ann Thorac Surg **2000**; 69:898–903.
- 292. Kato A, Kudo S, Matsumoto K, et al. Bronchial artery embolization for hemoptysis due to benign diseases: immediate and long-term results. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol **2000**; 23:351–7.
- 293. Itoh T, Yamada H, Yamaguchi A, et al. Percutaneous intracavitary antifungals for a patient with pulmonary aspergilloma: with a special reference to in vivo efficacies and in vitro susceptibility results. Intern Med 1995; 34:85–8.
- 294. Denning DW, Riniotis K, Dobrashian R, Sambatakou H. Chronic cavitary and fibrosing pulmonary and pleural aspergillosis: case series, proposed nomenclature change, and review. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 37(Suppl 3):S265–80.
- Jain LR, Denning DW. The efficacy and tolerability of voriconazole in the treatment of chronic cavitary pulmonary aspergillosis. J Infect 2006; 52:e133–7.
- Schiraldi GF, Cicero SL, Colombo MD, Rossato D, Ferrarese M, Soresi E. Refractory pulmonary aspergillosis: compassionate trial with terbinafine. Br J Dermatol 1996; 134(Suppl 46):25–9; discussion 39–40.
- 297. Kaur R, Mittal N, Kakkar M, Aggarwal AK, Mathur MD. Otomycosis: a clinicomycologic study. Ear Nose Throat J **2000**; 79:606–9.
- 298. Paulose KO, Al Khalifa S, Shenoy P, Sharma RK. Mycotic infection of the ear (otomycosis): a prospective study. J Laryngol Otol **1989**; 103:30–5.
- Landry MM, Parkins CW. Calcium oxalate crystal deposition in necrotizing otomycosis caused by *Aspergillus niger*. Mod Pathol 1993; 6: 493–6.
- Greenberger PA. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2002; 110:685–92.
- Greenberger PA. Diagnosis and management of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. Allergy Proc 1994; 15:335–9.
- Imbeault B, Cormier Y. Usefulness of inhaled high-dose corticosteroids in allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. Chest 1993; 103: 1614–7.
- 303. Patterson R, Greenberger PA, Lee TM, et al. Prolonged evaluation of patients with corticosteroid-dependent asthma stage of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1987; 80:663–8.
- Moss RB. Critique of trials in allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis and fungal allergy. Med Mycol 2006; 44(Suppl 1):S267–72.
- 305. Stevens DA, Schwartz HJ, Lee JY, et al. A randomized trial of itraconazole in allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. N Engl J Med 2000; 342:756–62.
- 306. Wark PA, Hensley MJ, Saltos N, et al. Anti-inflammatory effect of itraconazole in stable allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis: a randomized controlled trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003; 111:952–7.
- Skov M, Hoiby N, Koch C. Itraconazole treatment of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis in patients with cystic fibrosis. Allergy 2002; 57:723–8.
- Katzenstein A-L, Sale S, Greenberger P. Allergic *Aspergillus* sinusitis: a newly recognised form of sinusitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1983; 72:89–93.
- 309. Waxman JE, Spector JG, Sale SR, Katzenstein AL. Allergic Aspergillus

sinusitis: concepts in diagnosis and treatment of a new clinical entity. Laryngoscope **1987**; 97:261–6.

- Fang SY. Recovery of non-invasive Aspergillus sinusitis by endoscopic sinus surgery. Rhinology 1997; 35:84–8.
- 311. Andes D, Proctor R, Bush RK, Pasic TR. Report of successful pro-

longed antifungal therapy for refractory allergic fungal sinusitis. Clin Infect Dis **2000**; 31:202–4.

312. Fadl FA, Hassan KM, Faizuddin M. Allergic fungal rhinosinusitis: report of 4 cases from Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J **2000**; 21:581–4.