Continuous Clindamycin Infusion, an Innovative Approach to Treating Bone and Joint Infections

Valérie Zeller,1,2,3* Arnaud Dzeing-Ella,2 Marie-Dominique Kitzis,5 Jean-Marc Ziza,2,3 Patrick Mamoudy,1,3 and Nicole Desplaces1,3,4

Service de Chirurgie Osseuse et Traumatologique,1 Service de Médecine Interne et Rhumatologie,2 Centre de Référence des Infections Ostéo-Articulaires,3 and Laboratoire de Biologie Médicale,6 Groupe Hospitalier Diaconesses—Croix Saint-Simon, 125, rue d’Avron, Paris 75020, France, and Laboratoire de Microbiologie, Groupe Hospitalier Paris Saint-Joseph, 68, rue des Plantes, Paris 75014, France6

Received 1 August 2009/Returned for modification 11 August 2009/Accepted 10 October 2009

The feasibility, safety, and efficacy of prolonged, continuous, intravenous clindamycin therapy were retrospectively evaluated for 70 patients treated for bone and joint infections, 40% of whom were treated as outpatients. The median treatment duration was 40 days, the median daily clindamycin dose was 2,400 mg, and three moderate-grade adverse events occurred. The median serum clindamycin concentrations on days 3 to 14 and days 8 to 28 were 5 and 6.2 mg/liter, respectively; the median concentration was significantly lower (P < 0.02) in patients treated with rifampin (5.3 mg/liter) than in those not treated with rifampin (8.9 mg/liter). Among 53 patients with a median follow-up of 30 months (range, 24 to 53 months), 49 (92%) were considered cured (1 patient had a relapse, and 3 patients had reinfections).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients. This retrospective cohort study included all the patients treated in our center for a bone and/or joint infection with continuous intravenous clindamycin for ≥1 week and for whom at least one clindamycin concentration determination was performed. All the patients gave written informed consent before inclusion.

All the pathogens were clindamycin susceptible, as determined by the standard disk diffusion method of the Société Française de Microbiologie (MIC < 2 mg/liter), and none of them had inducible resistance to clindamycin. All the Staphylococcus strains were erythromycin susceptible.

Drug administration. Clindamycin, administered intravenously through a central venous catheter, was initiated in our referral center with a 600-mg loading dose infused over 60 min, followed immediately by the continuous infusion of 30 to 40 mg/kg of body weight/day. For the continuous infusion, clindamycin was dissolved in 50 ml of 5% dextrose and was administered over a 12-h period twice daily via an infusion pump. All but one patient received two antibiotics; one patient received clindamycin alone.

In our Referral Center for the Treatment of Bone and Joint Infections, clindamycin is a drug of choice for the treatment of susceptible staphylococci, streptococci, and anaerobic bacteria (25); has high levels of joint and bone penetration (7, 9, 11, 21, 22, 23, 27); inhibits biofilm formation and bacterial adherence (6, 18, 19); and is well tolerated (11, 12). Its efficacy has been established in several experimental models (13, 16), but only a few series on the clindamycin treatment of human bone and joint infections have been reported (10–12).

The aim of this study was to evaluate retrospectively the feasibility, tolerability, and efficacy of prolonged administration of continuous intravenous clindamycin in our cohort of patients and to determine the serum clindamycin concentrations. Because serum clindamycin concentrations were frequently low in patients also receiving rifampin, we compared the serum concentrations of patients receiving combined therapy with and without rifampin.
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The following events, defined previously (30), were recorded: relapse, reinfec-
tion, and death.

Statistical analysis. Two patient groups were established: those who received
cinamycin with rifampin or those who received cindamycin without rifampin.
Student’s t test was used to compare quantitative variables, with P values of
<0.05 considered significant.

RESULTS

Patient and treatment characteristics. Seventy consecutive patients hospitalized between August 2004 and May 2007 were
cluded in the study; 67 underwent surgery for their bone and/or joint infections. Their demographic and clinical characteristics and creatinine clearances are given in Table 1, the infection site and the pathogen(s) isolated are given in Table 2, the cinamycin treatment characteristics are given in Table 3, and the serum steady-state concentrations are given in Fig. 1A.

Three patients experienced cindamycin-related AEs, all of which were classified as Common Terminology Criteria of Ad-
verse Events grade 2. These AEs were an allergic rash, non-

| Table 1. Main demographic and clinical characteristics of the 70 patients treated with continuous intravenous cindamycin |
|------------------|-------------------------------|
| Characteristic   | Value                         |
| Demographic      |                               |
| No. of males     | 37                            |
| Median (range) age (yr) | 62 (18–88)               |
| Clinical         |                               |
| No. of patients with American Society of Anesthesiology score of $\geq 3^a$ | 11                        |
| Median (range) wt (kg) | 74 (45–114)               |
| Median (range) creatinine clearance (ml/min) | 101 (10–200) |
| No. of patients with the following comorbidities: | 34                        |
| Cardiovascular disease | 11                        |
| Diabetes mellitus | 10                          |
| Chronic inflammatory rheumatic disease | 6                         |
| Malignancy | 3                           |
| Obesity (body mass index $\geq 30$) | 4                         |
| Chronic viral hepatitis/cirrhosis | 1/1                     |
| Neuropsychiatric impairment | 6                      |
| Other | 4                           |

$^a$ See reference 8.

$^b$ Thirteen patients had two comorbidities.

Pathogen isolated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen isolated</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulase-negative <em>staphylococci</em></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus spp.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-positive anaerobic bacteria</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymicrobial</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Propionibacterium acnes and others.

No. of patients receiving the following antibiotic in combination with cindamycin:

- Gentamicin followed by rifampin
- Rifampin
- Other

No. of patients receiving a dose adaptation

Increase

Decrease

No. of patients receiving outpatient parenteral antibiotic therapy

No. of patients with adverse events

C. difficile-related diarrhea, and cytolytic hepatitis. After the withdrawal of cindamycin, their AEs disappeared.

Outcomes. Sixty patients received continuous intravenous cindamycin for $\geq 2$ weeks. Among them, three died from un-
related causes (cardiac insufficiency, septic shock after trans-
urethral resection of the prostate, pancreatic cancer) within 2 years. Four other patients received long-term suppressive an-
tibiotic therapy. The data for these seven patients were ex-
cluded from the outcome analysis.

Among the 53 remaining patients, the median duration of follow-up was 30 months (range, 24 to 53 months). One pa-
ient’s prosthetic knee infection relapsed with the same methi-
cillin-resistant *S. aureus* strain that had become cindamycin

antibiotic therapy. The data for these seven patients were ex-
cluded from the outcome analysis.

Three patients with knee arthroplasty infections developed prosthesis reinfections. No infection or treatment-related death occurred.

Overall, 49 (92%) patients were considered to be cured.

Statistical analysis. The median cindamycin steady-state concentration was significantly lower with combined therapy with cindamycin and rifampin than with cindamycin without rifampin (Fig. 1B).

DISCUSSION

The results of our study of 70 consecutive patients treated with cindamycin as a prolonged continuous intravenous infusion showed that this mode of administration is feasible, con-
venient, well tolerated, and safe. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the use of this way of administration and one of the largest cohorts to have received cindamycin for the treatment of bone and joint infections. Only three reports of retrospective studies of cindamycin treatment for these infections have been published to date (10–12). Two studies, published $>30$ years ago, gave cindamycin monotherapy to 48
children (11) and to 54 adults (12). More recently, El Samad et al. (10) described 61 patients treated with combination antibi-otic therapy, including clindamycin. In those three studies, clindamycin (20 to 30 mg/kg/day) was intermittently infused for several days, and this was followed by oral intake for several weeks. Considering only cure of the initial infection, our patients’ outcomes were better than theirs (1/53 versus 5/56 relapses), but comparison of these very different studies and affirmation of one regimen’s superiority over the other in the absence of a comparative randomized trial are not possible. In our opinion, oral administration raises several uncertainties, including uncertainties related to the observation of drug dosing, drug absorption, and gastrointestinal tolerance. As noted by El Sayad et al. (10), their patients reported frequent nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea. These AEs can lead to drug non- or malabsorption and treatment failure, especially during the first weeks, when a high degree of efficacy is required.

We chose to administer clindamycin by continuous infusion, as the pattern of in vitro bactericidal activity for clindamycin is time dependent (1, 5). However, the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters that correlate with antibacterial efficacy in animal models is the 24-h area under concentration-time curve/MIC (1), which can be considered a combination of time and concentration dependence. By using continuous infusion, we maintained high serum clindamycin concentrations, i.e., concentrations 50 times greater than the MIC of susceptible *S. aureus* strains, throughout the treatment duration. To determine the target range (5 to 8 mg/liter), we considered (i) an in vitro MIC of 0.1 mg/liter for methicillin-susceptible staphylococcal strains (25) and a minimal bactericidal concen-

![Fig. 1. Individual (points) and median (horizontal lines) clindamycin steady-state concentrations at the first determination (days 3 to 14; median concentration, 6.6 mg/liter; first and third quartile concentrations, 4 and 9.8 mg/liter, respectively) and the second determination (days 8 to 28; median concentration, 6.2 mg/liter; first and third quartile concentrations, 4.2 and 8.9 mg/liter, respectively) (A) and in patients treated with clindamycin without rifampin (median concentration, 8.9 mg/liter; first and third quartile concentrations, 6.2 and 11.9 mg/liter, respectively) and clindamycin with rifampin (median concentration, 5.3; first and third quartile concentrations, 3.2 and 8.1 mg/liter, respectively) (P < 0.02) (B).](image-url)
tration of >32 mg/liter; (ii) the demonstration by Weinstein et al. that peak serum bactericidal titers of ≥1/16 and trough titers of ≥1/4 predicted cure of chronic osteomyelitis, whereas respective titers of <1/16 and <1/2 predicted failure (29); (iii) reported clindamycin bone penetration rates of 30 to 50% (7, 9, 11); (iv) that a bone concentration/MIC ratio of 5 is required for antibiotics with time-dependent killing (5); and (v) our observation of no clindamycin toxicity when concentrations are continuously <10 mg/liter. Even though our approach to the determination of target serum clindamycin levels can be discussed, fundamental pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic parameters (5) were applied to go beyond published medical findings to try get the upper hand over these difficult-to-treat infections.

We observed that serum clindamycin concentrations were significantly lower in patients treated with rifampin than in those not treated with rifampin (Fig. 1B). Because rifampin is a potent hepatic cytochrome P-450 inducer (20, 24), its interactions with other drugs are well-known. However, we found no previous report on this specific and significant drug interaction. As shown in the present study, the rifampin treatment-associated lower serum clindamycin steady-state levels were still >10 times greater than the MIC of susceptible staphylococci. In our experience, comparable clindamycin levels were not obtained with the oral clindamycin-rifampin combination; indeed, we observed very low trough levels (<1 mg/liter) and peak levels (<2.5 mg/liter) (data not shown).

Continuous intravenous clindamycin infusion has potential benefits in managing these difficult-to-treat infections, but it also a practical advantage, as it avoids the need for repeated intermittent injections because the drug is stable over 24 h (17). The latter is a major advantage for parenteral outpatient therapy, which is a recognized modality for the treatment of patients receiving prolonged intravenous regimens (3, 28). Importantly, all our patients had a portable electronic infusion pump rather than an elastomeric infusion system to ensure maximum flow regulation-related safety; this precaution was justified by two case reports of the induction of cardiac arrest by the rapid intravenous injection of clindamycin (2, 4).

Our study has several limitations. First, the retrospective design, the heterogeneity of the population in terms of the type of infection, the surgical treatment performed and the pathogen(s) isolated, and the lack of a control group treated with a more conventional mode, such as intermittent intravenous administration, limit our ability to draw definitive conclusions concerning drug efficacy. Furthermore, the concomitant use of another active antibiotic in nearly all the patients once again limits the ability to analyze the efficacy of the drug. Second, in this retrospective study, we might have missed some AEs. However, all our patients were managed according to standardized local protocols, were visited daily by a physician or nurse, and had blood tests performed at least once a week. Finally, our data on serum clindamycin concentrations and clindamycin-rifampin interactions are rather crude, and more consistent data on the serum concentrations obtained after oral intake are lacking.

In conclusion, combination therapy with continuous intravenous clindamycin is a valid alternative treatment for joint and bone infections due to susceptible gram-positive cocci and anaerobic bacteria. To confirm these preliminary findings, prospective clinical studies are needed to evaluate drug efficacy and pharmacological studies are needed to describe more precisely these drug interactions and the serum clindamycin levels obtained by the use of the intravenous and oral routes.
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