# PEDIATRIC ANTIRETROVIRAL DRUG INFORMATION

# OVERVIEW: PEDIATRIC ANTIRETROVIRAL DRUGS

Members of the Working Group on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of HIV-Infected Children have developed this Pediatric Antiretroviral Drug Information Supplement. As new information becomes available, the supplement is updated. This document contains detailed information about the different classes of antiretrovirals (ARVs), and should be used in conjunction with the Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Pediatric HIV Infection (http://AIDSinfo.nih.gov/). Dosing information can be found in the **Appendix** to those guidelines. Additionally, antiretroviral drug information updates, labeling changes, and safety warnings may be accessed by subscribing to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) HIV/AIDS e-mail list at: http://www.fda.gov/oashi/aids/email.html.

Over the last two decades, therapeutic strategies for the treatment of pediatric patients with HIV infection have expanded dramatically from treatment with a single medication to combination therapy that includes up to five different classes of antiretroviral agents. As of February 2009, a total of 25 antiretroviral drugs have been approved for use in HIV-infected adults and adolescents; 17 of these have an approved pediatric treatment indication (noted with \* below), and 16 are available as a pediatric formulation or capsule size. These agents are the CCR5 antagonist (maraviroc) and fusion inhibitor (enfuvirtide\*), which prevent viral entry; the nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (abacavir\*, didanosine\*, emtricitabine\*, lamivudine\*, stavudine\*, tenofovir, zalcitabine, and zidovudine\*) and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (delayirdine, efavirenz\*, etrayirine, and nevirapine\*), which act at the early stage of replication, prior to viral integration into the host genome; one inhibitor of viral genome integration into host genetic material (raltegravir); and the protease inhibitors (amprenavir\*, atazanavir\*, darunavir\*, fosamprenavir\*, indinavir, lopinavir/ritonavir\*, nelfinavir\*, ritonavir\*, saquinavir, and tipranavir\*), which exert their effects when the integrated HIV genome is subsequently expressed, by interfering with cleavage of HIV proteins by the viral protease. New classes of antiretroviral agents, such as maturation inhibitors, are currently under investigation.

Of the 25 ARVs that have been approved, 3 are no longer being manufactured either because of the development of improved formulations (i.e., amprenavir\* replaced by fosamprenavir\*) or because of limited use (i.e., delayirdine and zalcitabine).

## **Entry and Fusion Inhibitors**

Two antiretroviral drugs that interfere with sequential steps involved in the penetration of target cells by HIV are now available as therapeutic options for treatment-experienced patients. HIV enters target cells via a multistep process that begins when HIV binds to the CD4 receptor, leading to conformational changes in the viral gp120 envelope protein. Next, gp120 binds to chemokine receptor molecules, which function as coreceptors for HIV. Chemokine receptor engagement triggers conformational changes in the HIV gp41 envelope protein, leading to fusion of the membranes surrounding HIV and the target cell, resulting in delivery of the viral core into the cytoplasm.

Maraviroc is an oral agent that has been recently licensed for use in treatment-experienced HIVinfected adults. It binds to and alters the structure of the CCR5 chemokine receptor, preventing it from being used as a coreceptor by HIV. Because some strains of HIV can also infect cells by using the CXCR4 chemokine receptor moleclule as a coreceptor, maraviroc is ineffective in individuals who currently harbor CXCR4-tropic or dual-tropic (CCR5 and CXCR4-using) virus. Consequently, determining the tropism of virus infecting an individual should be determined before instituting therapy with a maraviroc-containing regimen. Maraviroc is a cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A and pglycoprotein (Pgp) substrate, and extensive pharmacokinetic studies in adults have shown that dosage adjustments are needed when it is administered in concert with CYP- or Pgpmodulating medications. Experience with maraviroc is limited, but its safety profile appears similar to most other antiretroviral agents. Hepatotoxicity has been reported with use of maraviroc; evidence of a systemic allergic reaction (e.g., pruritic rash, eosinophilia, or elevated immunoglobulin E [IgE]) may occur prior to the development of hepatotoxicity [1].

Enfuvirtide (T-20) is the first drug of the fusion inhibitor class of antiretroviral drugs to be approved; this drug interacts with components of the HIV envelope to prevent fusion of the virus with the host cell membrane. Enfuvirtide requires twice-daily subcutaneous injections. The high incidence of local injection site reactions (98%) limits the use of the fusion inhibitors in pediatric patients.

# **Nucleoside and Nucleotide Analogue Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors**

The nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) were the first class of antiretroviral drugs available for the treatment of HIV infection. The NRTIs are potent inhibitors of the HIV reverse transcriptase (RT) enzyme, which is responsible for the reverse transcription of viral RNA into DNA; this process occurs prior to integration of viral DNA into the chromosomes of the host cell. The antiviral activity of NRTIs depends upon intracellular serial phosphorylation by host cellular kinases to the active triphosphate drug [2]. The phosphorylated drug competitively inhibits viral reverse transcriptase and, following incorporation of the drug into the growing DNA chain, terminates further elongation of viral DNA. Because these drugs act at a pre-integration step in the viral life cycle, they have little to no effect on chronically infected cells, in which proviral DNA has already been integrated into cellular chromosomes. Like the NRTIs, nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NtRTIs) also competitively inhibit the viral reverse transcriptase, but because the nucleotide drugs already possess a phosphate molecule (the NRTIs do not), the nucleotide drugs bypass the rate-limiting initial phosphorylation step required for activation of NRTIs.

Although resistance to these agents eventually develops during the course of long-term single-drug therapy, combination therapy with these drugs may prevent, delay, or reverse the development of resistance [3]. Notable exceptions are lamivudine (3TC) and emtricitabine (FTC), with which a single point mutation can confer resistance in as little as 4 to 8 weeks when given as monotherapy or in combination with an antiretroviral regimen that does not fully suppress viral replication (e.g., dual NRTI therapy with zidovudine [ZDV]/3TC).

Evidence suggests that polymerase gamma, the DNA polymerase present in mitochondria, is inhibited by

NRTIs/NtRTIs [4-6]. It is thought that this leads to depletion of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) through inhibition of mtDNA synthesis. This depletion may contribute to many of the toxicities associated with NRTIs/NtRTIs. Unusual, but significant, serious toxicities that can occur in patients exposed to these agents include lactic acidosis, hepatic steatosis, pancreatitis, myopathy, cardiomyopathy, peripheral neuropathy, and rapidly ascending muscular weakness. Interestingly, although some toxicities (e.g., lactic acidosis) may occur with all NRTI drugs, other toxicities (such as peripheral neuropathy) may predominantly occur with specific NRTIs, suggesting diverse mitochondrial effects of the drugs that may be dependent on varying ability to penetrate particular cell types. The relative potency of the NRTIs/NtRTIs in inhibiting polymerase gamma in vitro is highest for zalcitabine (ddC); followed by didanosine (ddI), stavudine (d4T), and ZDV; with the lowest potency for 3TC, abacavir (ABC), and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) [6,7]. The prevalence of mitochondrial-associated adverse effects in children is unknown.

A potentially fatal hypersensitivity reaction occurs in approximately 5% of adults and children receiving ABC. Before using ABC, patients must be cautioned about the risk of a serious hypersensitivity reaction and how to recognize symptoms. A genetic predisposition to this syndrome has been identified (HLA-B\*5701) and patients with this HLA type should not be treated with ABC.

# Non-Nucleoside Analogue Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

The non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) have substantial and specific activity against HIV-1, but not HIV-2 or other retroviruses. Unlike the NRTIs, which require intracellular phosphorylation to become active and then cause premature termination of viral DNA synthesis, NNRTIs inhibit HIV DNA polymerase activities by noncompetitively binding to and disrupting a unique catalytic site of the RT enzyme [8]. There are currently four NNRTIs approved for the treatment of HIV infection: nevirapine (NVP), delavirdine (DLV) (which is no longer commercially available), efavirenz (EFV), and etravirine (ETR). All members of this class are metabolized by CYP450 enzymes, particularly CYP34A, and depending on the agent,

may induce or inhibit the metabolism of other medications.

NNRTIs rapidly reduce viral load. However, drug resistance develops rapidly after initiation of NNRTI monotherapy or with use in a nonsuppressive combination regimen, and cross-resistance readily occurs between EFV and NVP [9]. Sustained suppression of viral load has been achieved in patients who have been treated with regimens combining NNRTIs plus NRTIs or NNRTIs plus protease inhibitors (PIs). A two-dose intrapartum/newborn NVP regimen has been shown to reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission by nearly 50% compared to an ultrashort intrapartum/1-week infant ZDV regimen [10].

NNRTIs are associated with several types of hepatic toxicity, including asymptomatic elevation in transaminases, clinical hepatitis, and hypersensitivity reaction with hepatitis [11]. In HIV-infected adults, risk factors for NVP hepatic toxicity include elevated baseline serum transaminases, hepatitis B or C infection, female gender, and higher CD4 cell counts (particularly women with CD4 cell counts >250 cells/mm³) [12]. However, in contrast to what has been reported in adults, serious liver dysfunction appears much less common in pediatric patients receiving NVP therapy [13].

Hypersensitivity reactions are reported more commonly with the NNRTIs than with other antiretroviral agents. EFV can cause adverse central nervous system (CNS) effects, including confusion, hallucinations, and nightmares. EFV has been classified as FDA Pregnancy Class D (positive evidence of human fetal risk). Use of EFV in the first trimester of pregnancy should be avoided, and before initiating EFV therapy, adult and adolescent women of childbearing potential should undergo pregnancy testing as well as counseling about the risk to a fetus and the need to avoid pregnancy.

ETR recently received FDA approval for use in combination with other antiretroviral agents in treatment-experienced adult patients who have resistance to EFV and/or NVP. In patients with a history of virologic failure on an NNRTI-containing regimen, ETR should not be used in combination with only NRTIs or NtRTIs. There are insufficient data to recommend the use of ETR in pediatric patients or treatment-naïve adult patients. ETR is an inducer of CYP3A4 and an inhibitor of CYP2C9 and CYP2C19,

and there are many potential drug interactions, including with other ARVs. ETR should not be coadministered with other NNRTIs; unboosted PIs; RTV alone; or with the following boosted PIs: tipranavir (TPV)/RTV, fosamprenavir (f-APV)/RTV, or atazanavir (ATV)/RTV. The presence of the K103N resistance mutation associated with NVP and EFV resistance, did not affect the response rate to ETR in clinical trials. The presence at baseline of three or more International AIDS Society-USA (IAS-USA)-defined NNRTI substitutions results in decreased virologic response [14].

# **Integrase Inhibitors**

A key event in replication of HIV and other retroviruses is the insertion of a DNA copy of the viral genome into the host cell chromosome, a process known as integration. A viral protein, integrase, is essential to this process. Integrase modifies the ends of newly reverse transcribed DNA, introduces breaks into chromosomal DNA, and transfers and joins the ends of the viral DNA to chromosomal DNA. Raltegravir, the first integrase inhibitor to receive approval for clinical use, is an oral agent that interferes with the strand transfer activity of integrase, blocking integration. When used in concert with other antiretroviral agents, including the fusion inhibitor enfuvirtide, marked suppression of HIV replication has been seen in studies involving treatment-experienced adults infected with virus with extensive drug resistance involving reverse transcriptase and protease inhibitors [15,16]. Early results involving treatmentnaïve adults have also been promising, with most patients achieving suppression of HIV plasma viremia [16]. The pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy of raltegravir are currently being studied in children (IMPAACT P1066).

#### **Protease Inhibitors**

PIs inhibit the HIV protease enzyme, which is required to cleave viral polyprotein precursors and generate functional viral proteins. The protease enzyme is crucial for the assembly stage of the viral life cycle, which occurs after transcription of proviral DNA to viral RNA and translation of the RNA into viral proteins. Because PIs act at a postintegration step of the viral life cycle, they are effective in inhibiting replication in both newly infected and chronically infected cells [17]. The PIs are potent antiretroviral agents, especially when used in

combination with NRTI and/or NNRTI therapy [17]. Unlike the NRTI drugs, intracellular conversion of the parent compound is not required for activity of any of the PIs.

Resistance has been reported with all PIs when used as monotherapy and can develop rapidly even with combination therapy if there is persistent viremia due to subtherapeutic drug concentrations (as can occur when there is inadequate dosing, poor drug absorption, rapid drug clearance, or inadequate adherence to the prescribed drug regimen). The patterns of resistance mutations are more complex than observed with the NRTIs and NNRTIs. A larger number of genotypic mutation sites are observed, and there is greater variability in the temporal pattern of development of these mutations and in the combination of mutations that lead to drug resistance. The mutation patterns associated with PI resistance overlap; resistance to one drug may result in reduced susceptibility to some or all of the other currently available PIs.

"Boosted" therapeutic regimens consisting of two PIs (e.g., ritonavir [RTV] plus atazanavir [ATV], darunavir [DRV], fosamprenavir [f-APV] indinavir [IDV], or saquinavir [SQV]) combined with one or two NRTIs are frequently used in adults with good results, especially in PI-experienced patients. For pediatric patients there are approved dosing regimens for the coformulated PI lopinavir/ritonavir (Kaletra) and for f-APV, ATV, DRV, and TPV boosted with RTV.

New onset diabetes mellitus, exacerbation of preexisting diabetes mellitus, and hyperglycemia have been reported in HIV-infected patients treated with any of the currently available PIs [18-21]. In some cases, diabetic ketoacidosis has occurred. The PIs have been associated with fat redistribution, lipodystrophy syndrome, and hyperlipidemia in both adults and children [22]. A potentially increased risk of cardiovascular disease and of bone disorders, such as osteoporosis and avascular necrosis, are currently being investigated.

PIs are metabolized in the liver via the CYP450 enzyme system. Clinically significant drug interactions may occur when a PI is administered concomitantly with other agents metabolized by the CYP450 system, especially those metabolized by CYP3A, CYP2D6, CYP2C9, and CYP2C19 and to a lesser extent by CYP2A6, CYP1A2, and CYP2E1. Increased or

decreased plasma concentrations of either drug may occur and consequent clinical abnormalities may be seen. See <u>Tables 15a-e and 16a-b</u> from the <u>Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in HIV-I-Infected Adults and Adolescents</u> for a list of contraindicated medications. A complete list of potential drug interactions is provided by the PI manufacturer in the prescribing information, which should be consulted prior to initiating PI therapy or starting any new concomitant therapy in patients receiving PI-based regimens.

- Food and Drug Administration. FDA Selzentry Prescribing Information, 08/02/07. Available at: <a href="http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2007/022128lb">http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2007/022128lb</a> l.pdf. Accessed 08/07/07.
- Furman PA, Fyfe JA, St Clair MH, et al. Phosphorylation of 3'-azido-3'-deoxythymidine and selective interaction of the 5'-triphosphate with human immunodeficiency virus reverse transcriptase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 1986. 83(21):8333-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2430286
- Torres RA, Barr MR. Combination antiretroviral therapy for HIVinfection. *Infect Med*, 1997. 14(2):142-60.
- Brinkman K, Smeitink JA, Romijn JA, Reiss P. Mitochondrial toxicity induced by nucleosideanalogue reverse-transcriptase inhibitors is a key factor in the pathogenesis of antiretroviraltherapy-related lipodystrophy. *Lancet*, 1999. 354(9184):1112-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10509516
- Lichenstein KA, Corales RB. Nucleoside and nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors in the treatment of HIV:Focus on safety. <a href="http://www.medscape.com/viewprogram/2854.A">http://www.medscape.com/viewprogram/2854.A</a> ccessed on July 22, 2005.
- Birkus G, Hitchcock MJ, Cihlar T. Assessment of mitochondrial toxicity in human cells treated with tenofovir: comparison with other nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.*, 2002. 46(3):716-23. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11850253">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11850253</a>
- Martin JL, Brown CE, Matthews-Davis N, Reardon JE. Effects of antiviral nucleoside analogs on human DNA polymerases and mitochondrial DNA synthesis. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemother*, 1994. 38(12):2743-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7695256

- 8. De Clercq E. Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) for the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) infections: strategies to overcome drug resistance development. *Med Res Rev.*, 1996. 16(2):125-57. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8656777
- Murphy RF. Nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. AIDS Clin Care, 1997. 9(10):75-7, 79. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11364757">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11364757</a>
- Guay LA, Musoke P, Fleming T, et al. Intrapartum and neonatal single-dose nevirapine compared with zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Kampala, Uganda: HIVNET 012 randomised trial. *Lancet*, 1999. 354(9181):795-802. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10485720">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10485720</a>
- 11. Kontorinis N, Dieterich DT. Toxicity of nonnucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *Semin Liver Dis*, 2003. 23(2):173-82. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12800070
- 12. Boehringer-Ingelheim. Dear Health Care Professional Letter. "Clarification of risk factors for severe, life-threatening and fatal hepatotoxicity with VIRAMMUNE (nevirapine). Boehringer-Ingelheim. Feb 2004.
- 13. Baylor MS, Johann-Liang R. Hepatotoxicity associated with nevirapine use. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2004. 35(5):538-9. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15021321">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15021321</a>
- 14. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Intelence Prescribing Information, January, 2008. Available at:

  <a href="http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2008/022187lbl.pdf">http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2008/022187lbl.pdf</a>. Accessed 02/19/08.
- Grinsztejn B, Nguyen BY, Katlama C, et al. Safety and efficacy of the HIV-1 integrase inhibitor raltegravir (MK-0518) in treatment-experienced patients with multidrug-resistant virus: a phase II randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*, 2007. 369(9569):1261-9. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17434401">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17434401</a>
- 16. Markowitz M, Nguyen BY, Gotuzzo E, et al. Rapid and durable antiretroviral effect of the HIV-1 Integrase inhibitor raltegravir as part of combination therapy in treatment-naive patients with HIV-1 infection: results of a 48-week controlled study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2007. 46(2):125-33. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17721395
- 17. Lewis JS 2nd, Terriff CM, Coulston DR, Garrison MW. Protease inhibitors: a therapeutic breakthrough for the treatment of patients with human immunodeficiency virus. *Clin Ther*, 1997.

- 19(2):187-214. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9152561
- 18. Eastone JA, Decker CF. New-onset diabetes mellitus associated with use of protease inhibitor. *Ann Intern Med*, 1997. 127(10):948. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9382376
- Visnegarwala F, Krause KL, Musher DM. Severe diabetes associated with protease inhibitor therapy. *Ann Intern Med*, 1997. 127(10):947. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9382374
- Dube MP, Johnson DL, Currier JS, Leedom JM. Protease inhibitor-associated hyperglycaemia. *Lancet*, 1997. 350(9079):713-4. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9291911">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9291911</a>
- 21. Ginsburg C, Salmon-Ceron S, Vassilief D, et al. Unusual occurrence of spontaneous haematomas in three asymptomatic HIV-infected haemophilia patients a few days after the onset of ritonavir treatment. *AIDS*, 1997. 11(3):388-9. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9147435">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9147435</a>
- 22. Arpadi SM, Cuff PA, Horlick M, et al. Lipodystrophy in HIV-infected children is associated with high viral load and low CD4+ lymphocyte count and CD4+ -lymphocyte percentage at baseline and use of protease inhibitors and stavudine. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2001. 27(1):30-4. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11404517

# SPECIFIC PEDIATRIC ANTIRETROVIRAL DRUG INFORMATION BY DRUG CLASS

# Nucleoside and Nucleotide Analogue Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

Abacavir (ABC, Ziagen®)

URL:

http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm

See Also: Appendix B: Characteristics of Available Antiretroviral Drugs

(Revised February 23, 2009)

#### Overview

In December 1998, abacavir (ABC) was approved by the FDA for combination therapy in adults and children age 3 months or older, based on controlled trials in adults and children. The combination of ABC, lamivudine (3TC), and zidovudine (ZDV) in a single tablet formulation (Trizivir) for twice-daily dosing in adults became available in November 2000. A new formulation combining ABC and 3TC (Epzicom) for administration as a single daily dose for adults was approved in August 2004. A scored 300 mg tablet has recently been approved and should be available in the upcoming months, along with weight band pediatric dosing.

ABC is a guanosine analogue NRTI. ABC is anabolized intracellularly to carbovir triphosphate by enzymatic pathways distinct from other NRTIs [1]. Preliminary studies of carbovir triphosphate suggest persistence in lymphocytes, consistent with single daily ABC dose regimens approved for use in adults [2]. ABC crosses the blood-brain barrier, with a cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)-to-plasma concentration ratio of 36% [3]. Bioavailability is 83%, and mean systemic half-life is 1.5 hours. In humans, CYP450 enzymes do not significantly metabolize ABC, and it in turn does not inhibit human CYP3A4, CYP2D6, or CYP2C activity at clinically relevant concentrations. The primary routes of elimination are metabolism by alcohol dehydrogenase and glucuronyl transferase.

#### Resistance

ABC resistance mutations have been seen at RT gene codons K65R, L74V, Y115F, and M184V both *in vitro* and in patients taking ABC [4,5]. At least 2–3 mutations are required to reduce susceptibility by 10-fold. Mutations at codons M184V and L74V were most

frequently observed in clinical isolates. ABCresistant virus will be resistant to 3TC. Although virus resistant to ZDV or 3TC alone may remain susceptible to ABC, virus resistant to both ZDV and 3TC is more likely to be cross-resistant to ABC. The combination of M184V with ZDV mutations gives rise to high-level ABC resistance [4]. Although ABC may be included as a component of a treatment regimen for children who have failed prior antiretroviral therapy, it should be recognized that it is less likely to be active in children with extensive prior treatment with NRTIs. High rates of clinical failure and an accelerated selection of M184V and K65R have been reported when ABC is given in combination with 3TC and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) as part of a triple NRTI-only regimen [6,7].

#### Adverse Effects

Nausea and vomiting alone may occur in as many as one-third of children receiving ABC in combination with other antiretroviral agents. Lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis, including fatal cases, have been reported with the use of nucleoside analogues alone or in combination, including ABC.

A potentially fatal hypersensitivity reaction occurs in approximately 5% of adults and children receiving ABC. Symptoms include flu-like symptoms, respiratory symptoms, fever, rash, fatigue, malaise, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and abdominal pain. Patients developing these symptoms should have ABC stopped and not restarted because hypotension and death have occurred with rechallenge. In a randomized study comparing ABC/ZDV/3TC to ZDV/3TC alone, 4 of 146 children receiving ABC and 2 of 44 children receiving ZDV/3TC and who switched to open label ABC therapy developed a hypersensitivity reaction, which resolved upon discontinuation of therapy [8]. Onset of the hypersensitivity reaction occurred 1–2 weeks after ABC was started.

Studies show that development of the ABC hypersensitivity reaction is associated with certain HLA genotypes (e.g., HLA-B\*5701 genotype) [9,10]. In a study in HIV-infected adults, pretreatment screening for HLA-B\*5701 prior to initiation of ABC treatment, with initiation of abacavir only in adults who were negative for this HLA genotype, resulted in a significant reduction in the rate of ABC hypersensitivity reaction [11]. Although the incidence of hypersensitivity is lower

in non-Caucasian populations, subsequent studies have confirmed the utility of genetic screening for the HLA-B\*5701 allele in black as well as white populations [12]. Thus genetic screening for HLA-B\*5701 is recommended prior to initiation of ABC-based therapy. If HLA-B\*5701 testing is positive, ABC should not be given and increased potential for ABC hypersensitivity should be noted in the medical record.

When ABC is used, parents and patients must be cautioned about the risk of a serious hypersensitivity reaction (including patients who are HLA-B\*5701 negative, because the risk is not completely eliminated); a medication guide and warning card should be provided to parents. Patients should also be advised to consult their physicians immediately if signs or symptoms consistent with a hypersensitivity reaction occur. Children experiencing a hypersensitivity reaction should be reported to the Abacavir Hypersensitivity Registry (1-800-270-0425).

Recently, concern has been raised regarding potential increased cardiovascular risks for patients on abacavircontaining regimens. Three large analyses have evaluated these potential risks. The D.A.D. group reported an increased risk (RR=1.9; 95 % confidence interval [CI] 1.5–2.6) for myocardial infarction in subjects with current or recent abacavir use in a total cohort of 33,345 participants with 157,912 personyears of follow-up [13]. The SMART study also found an increased risk of MI (RR=4.3; 95 % CI 1.4–13.0) in subjects taking abacavir compared to subjects taking regimens that did not include it [14]. Both studies found the increased risk predominantly in subjects with multiple cardiac risk factors. In contrast, a pooled analysis from multiple clinical trials including 9,639 participants taking abacavir-containing highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) and 5.044 taking HAART without abacavir found no increased risk for those on abacavir (RR=0.9; 95 % CI 0.4–1.9) [15].

#### Pediatric Experience

ABC has been studied in HIV-infected children both separately and in combination with other antiretroviral drugs [3,8,16-24]. In the PENTA-5 trial, 130 HIV-infected antiretroviral-naïve children were randomly assigned to 1 of 3 different nucleoside analogue regimens: ZDV/3TC, ABC/ZDV, and ABC/3TC, with and without nelfinavir (NFV) [17]. The 2 ABC-containing regimens were associated with greater mean viral load decreases after 48 weeks of therapy than the ZDV/3TC regimen (-1.71, -2.17, and -2.63 copies/mL with ZDV/3TC, ABC/ZDV, and ABC/3TC,

respectively). In this study, 4 children (3%) stopped ABC due to a possible hypersensitivity reaction. After 5 years of follow-up in the PENTA-5 study, children who were treated with ABC/3TC were significantly more likely to have HIV RNA levels <50 copies/mL than children treated with ZDV/3TC or ABC/ZDV (63% vs. 25 % or 32%, p = 0.003) and had significantly better improvement in height for age and weight for age [24].

Pharmacokinetic studies of ABC in children <12 vears of age have demonstrated that pediatric doses approximately twice the directly scaled adult dose may be necessary to achieve similar systemic exposure [18]. A study of the pharmacokinetics of ABC in adolescents and young adults aged 13–25 years of age (P1052) showed no significant difference in plasma area under the curve (AUC) or half-life between those older than 18 and those younger than 18 [25]. These data support the use of the adult 300 mg BID dose in adolescents 13 and older. No data exist evaluating once-a-day dosing in this age group. The PENTA-13 trial studied oncedaily versus twice-daily dosing of ABC in combination with 3TC in 24 children aged 2–13 years, showing equivalent AUC<sub>0-24</sub> for both drugs and improved acceptability in the once-daily dosing arm [20]. However, trough concentrations were lower for both ABC and 3TC in younger children (ages 2–6 years) receiving the once-daily regimen [20]. More pharmacokinetic studies are needed to confirm that once-daily dosing of ABC and 3TC can be safely used in children.

ABC has been studied as part of a PI-sparing 3-drug NRTI regimen (ZDV, 3TC, and ABC) in antiretroviral-experienced children. In a study of 205 treatment-experienced children ranging in age from 0.7–13 years, only 10% of 102 children receiving ABC/ZDV/3TC had HIV RNA concentrations <400 copies/mL after 48 weeks of therapy. The combination of ABC/ZDV/3TC did result in a greater fall in viral load and increase in CD4 cell count than did ZDV/3TC [8]. In pediatric populations, triple NRTI-only combinations should be used only in special circumstances. A randomized trial in antiretroviral-naïve adults has shown that the combination of ZDV, 3TC, and ABC is virologically inferior when compared to the NNRTI efavirenz combined with 2-3 NRTIs [26]. Other trials involving triple NRTI regimens in antiretroviralnaïve adults have also shown decreased virologic potency, raising concern about the routine use of

triple NRTI therapies, at least with the currently available drugs [7,27,28].

- Hervey PS, Perry CM. Abacavir: a review of its clinical potential in patients with HIV infection. *Drugs*, 2000. 60(2):447-79. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10983741
- Hawkins T, Veikley W, St Claire R, et al.
   Intracellular pharmacokinetics of tenofovir DP and carbovir TP in patients receiving triple nucleoside regimens. 5<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV Therapy; April 1-3, 2004; Rome, Italy. Abstract 2.4.
- Capparelli EV, Letendre SL, Ellis RJ, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of abacavir in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid. *Antimicrob Agents* Chemother, 2005. 49(6):2504-6. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15917556">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15917556</a>
- Walter H, Schmidt B, Werwein M, et al. Prediction of abacavir resistance from genotypic data: impact of zidovudine and lamivudine resistance in vitro and in vivo. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2002. 46(1):89-94. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11751116">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11751116</a>
- Brun-Vezinet F, Descamps D, Ruffault A, et al. Clinically relevant interpretation of genotype for resistance to abacavir. *AIDS*, 2003. 17(12):1795-802. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12891065
- FDA Approves Lexiva (Fosamprenavir Calcium). October 21, 2003. Available at <a href="http://www.thebody.com/fda/lexiva.html?m18">http://www.thebody.com/fda/lexiva.html?m18</a>. Accessed December 12, 2003.
- Gallant JE, Rodríguez AE, Weinberg WG, et al. Early virologic nonresponse to tenofovir, abacavir, and lamivudine in HIV-infected antiretroviral-naive subjects. *J Infect Dis*, 2005. 192(11):1921-30. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16267763">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16267763</a>
- 8. Saez-Llorens X, Nelson RP Jr, Emmanuel P, et al. A randomized, double-blind study of triple nucleoside therapy of abacavir, lamivudine, and zidovudine versus lamivudine and zidovudine in previously treated human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children. The CNAA3006 Study Team. *Pediatrics*, 2001. 107(1):E4. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11134468
- 9. Hetherington S, Hughes AR, Mosteller M, et al. Genetic variations in HLA-B region and hypersensitivity reactions to abacavir. *Lancet*, 2002. 359(9312):1121-2. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11943262

- Mallal S, Nolan D, Witt C, et al. Association between presence of HLA-B\*5701, HLA-DR7, and HLA-DQ3 and hypersensitivity to HIV-1 reverse-transcriptase inhibitor abacavir. *Lancet*, 2002. 359(9308):727-32. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11888582
- 11. Mallal S, Phillips E, Carosi G, et al. HLA-B\*5701 screening for hypersensitivity to abacavir. *N Engl J Med*, 2008. 358(6):568-79. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18256392
- 12. Saag M, Balu R, Phillips E, et al. High sensitivity of human leukocyte antigen-b\*5701 as a marker for immunologically confirmed abacavir hypersensitivity in white and black patients. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2008. 46(7):1111-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18444831
- 13. D:A:D Study Group, Sabin CA, Worm SW, et al. Use of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected patients enrolled in the D:A:D study: a multicohort collaboration. *Lancet*, 2008. 9622(1417-26). http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18387667
- Strategies for Management of Anti-Retroviral Therapy/INSIGHT; DAD Study Groups. Use of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected patients. AIDS, 2008. 22(14):F17-24. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18753925
- 15. Cutrell A, Hernandez J, Yeo J, et al. Is abacavir (ABC)-containing combination antiretroviral therapy (CART) associated with myocardial infarction (MI)? No association identified in pooled summary of 54 clinical trials. 17<sup>th</sup> International AIDS Conference; Aug 3-8, 2008; Mexico City, Mexico. Abstract THAB0305.
- 16. Kline MW, Blanchard S, Fletcher CV, et al. A phase I study of abacavir (1592U89) alone and in combination with other antiretroviral agents in infants and children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. AIDS Clinical Trials Group 330 Team. *Pediatrics*, 1999. 103(4):e47. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10103339
- 17. Paediatric European Network for Treatment of AIDS (PENTA). Comparison of dual nucleosideanalogue reverse-transcriptase inhibitor regimens with and without nelfinavir in children with HIV-1 who have not previously been treated: the PENTA 5 randomised trial. *Lancet*, 2002. 359(9308):733-40. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11888583
- 18. Hughes W, McDowell JA, Shenep J, et al. Safety and single-dose pharmacokinetics of abacavir

- (1592U89) in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children. *Antimicrobial Agents Chemother*, 1999. 43(3):609-15. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10049275
- Rodman JH, Cross WJ, D'Angelo LJ, et al. Abacavir systemic clearance in children is highly influenced by glucuronidation phenotype. Presented at the 14<sup>th</sup> International AIDS Conference; July 5-12, 2002; Barcelona, Spain. Abstract MoPpB2010.
- Bergshoeff AS, Fraaij PL, Ndagijimana J, et al. Increased dose of lopinavir/ritonavir compensates for efavirenz-induced drug-drug interaction in HIV-1-infected children. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr, 2005. 39(1):63-8. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15851915">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15851915</a>
- 21. LePrevost M, Green H, Flynn J, et al. Adherence and acceptability of once daily lamivudine and abacavir in human immunodeficiency virus type-1 infected children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2006. 25(6):533-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16732152
- 22. Bergshoeff A, Burger D, Verweij C, et al. Plasma pharmacokinetics of once- versus twice-daily lamivudine and abacavir: simplification of combination treatment in HIV-1-infected children (PENTA-13). *Antivir Ther*, 2005. 10(2):239-46. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15865218">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15865218</a>
- 23. McKinney RE Jr, Rodman J, Hu C, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of a once-daily regimen of emtricitabine, didanosine, and efavirenz in HIV-infected, therapy-naive children and adolescents: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol P1021. *Pediatrics*, 2007. 120(2):e416-23. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17646352">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17646352</a>
- Green H, Gibb DM, Walker AS, et al.
   Lamivudine/abacavir maintains virological superiority over zidovudine/lamivudine and zidovudine/abacavir beyond 5 years in children.

   AIDS, 2007. 21(8):947-55.
   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457088">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457088</a>
- 25. Sleasman J, Robbins B, Cross S, et al. Abacavir Pharmacokinetics During Chronic Therapy in HIV-1-Infected Adolescents and Young Adults. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*, 2008. [Epub ahead of print]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19118380
- Gulick RM, Ribaudo HJ, Shikuma CM, et al. Triple-nucleoside regimens versus efavirenzcontaining regimens for the initial treatment of HIV-1 infection. N Engl J Med, 2004. 350(18):1850-61. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15115831">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15115831</a>

- 27. Khanlou H, Yeh V, Guyer B, Farthing C. Early virologic failure in a pilot study evaluating the efficacy of therapy containing once-daily abacavir, lamivudine, and tenofovir DF in treatment-naive HIV-infected patients. AIDS Patient Care STDS, 2005. 19(3):135-40. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15798380">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15798380</a>
- 28. Gerstoft J, Kirk O, Obel N, et al. Low efficacy and high frequency of adverse events in a randomized trial of the triple nucleoside regimen abacavir, stavudine and didanosine. *AIDS*, 2003. 17(14):2045-52. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14502007

#### Didanosine (ddI, Videx®)

URL: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/</a>

See Also: <u>Appendix B: Characteristics of Available</u> <u>Antiretroviral Drugs</u>

#### Overview

Didanosine (ddI) received FDA approval in 1991 for adults and for pediatric patients older than 6 months of age with advanced HIV infection who were intolerant to or deteriorating on zidovudine (ZDV). Since that time, the indications have been broadened and new formulations developed. In October 2000, a new delayed-release formulation of enteric-coated beadlets was approved for use in adults, allowing for once-daily ddI administration in selected patients. In December 2004, a generic formulation of ddI delayed-release capsules for once-daily administration was approved by the FDA. Recently, delayed-release capsules have been approved for use in children who are ≥6 years of age, weigh ≥20 kg, and are able to swallow capsules.

ddI is a purine dideoxynucleoside analogue that requires intracellular phosphorylation in resting cells to become active. Despite lower CSF penetration than ZDV (CSF-to-plasma concentration ratio of 5%), early pediatric studies of ddI monotherapy demonstrated a 46% (range 12%–85%) improvement in neuropsychometric testing scores observed in some children; the improvement was correlated with ddI plasma concentration [1,2]. ddI is unstable at acidic pH and is rapidly degraded unless given as the enteric formulation or combined with buffering agents or antacids. Bioavailability ranges from 20%–40% depending upon the formulation used. ddI's plasma half-life is 0.5 to 1 hour, with renal elimination and metabolism by purine nucleoside

phosphorylase. The intracellular half-life of ddI is 25–40 hours, and the long intracellular half-life allows for an extended dosing interval. Data from PACTG 144 suggest that systemic exposure (i.e., the AUC) to ddI in children remains similar in both the presence and absence of food [3]. This may allow for the relaxation of fasting state requirement in certain instances.

#### Resistance

Genotypic mutations at RT gene codons K65R, L74V, and M184V have been associated with ddI resistance. The most common mutation, L74V, is most frequently associated with diminished antiviral activity of ddI. Interestingly, isolates with this resistance mutation have increased susceptibility to ZDV [4]. Lamivudine (3TC)-resistant virus may have reduced susceptibility to ddI, but cross-resistance is not complete. High rates of clinical failure and an accelerated selection of M184V and K65R have been reported when ddI is given in combination with 3TC and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) [5].

#### Adverse Effects

Fatal and nonfatal pancreatitis has occurred during therapy with ddI used alone or in combination regimens in both treatment-naïve and treatmentexperienced patients, regardless of degree of immunosuppression. ddI should be suspended in patients with suspected pancreatitis and discontinued in patients with confirmed pancreatitis. Pancreatitis appears to be more common in adult patients and may be dose related. It has occurred more commonly in patients with predisposing factors, including a prior history of pancreatitis, baseline elevation of serum transaminases, and concurrent administration of other drugs known to cause pancreatitis, such as pentamidine and d4T [6]. Hydroxyurea appears to increase the risk of pancreatitis when coadministered with ddI; this combination is not recommended.

ddI may cause peripheral sensory neuropathy. Asymptomatic peripheral retinal depigmentation has been observed in <5% of children receiving ddI, is not associated with loss of vision, and appears to reverse with discontinuation of therapy [7]. Diarrhea has been reported, and may be more related to the antacid/buffer with which the drug is formulated than to ddI itself. Lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis, including fatal cases, have been reported with the use of nucleoside analogues alone or in combination, including ddI; the combination of d4T and ddI in pregnant women has been associated with fatal lactic

acidosis and should only be used if no other alternatives are available.

Coadministration of TDF with ddI increases peak ddI concentrations and systemic exposure significantly and there is an increased risk of ddI-related toxicities when these drugs are administered together [8-10]. In addition, ddI in combination with lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/RTV) and TDF may enhance the nephrotoxic potential of TDF [11,12]. Perhaps because of increased exposure to ddI and resultant lymphocyte toxicity, the combination of ddI plus TDF has been associated with a decline in CD4 cell counts, even when plasma virus load remains low [13]. There are no data on coadministration of TDF and ddI in children except for a recent case report of a 12-yearold girl who developed nephrogenic diabetes insipidus, renal insufficiency, and Fanconi-like syndrome while taking TDF with both LPV/RTV and ddI [12].

#### Pediatric Experience

ddI has been studied in HIV-infected children as monotherapy and in combination with other antiretroviral drugs [2,3,14-30]. Recommended ddI doses in children have traditionally been between 90–150 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area per dose twice daily. Doses higher than 180 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area are associated with increased toxicity [2]. In a simulation based on ddI concentration data from 16 children, a dose of 90 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area was predicted to result in adequate drug exposure in only 57% of pediatric patients, compared to 88% of patients predicted at a dose of 120 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area [14]. This dose of 120 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area per dose twice daily has therefore become the "standard" dose of ddI for older infants and children. Data from multiple pediatric studies of ddI alone or in combination with other drugs, including a study of long-term ddI use (median duration of almost 2 years), show that ddI appears safe and is associated with clinical improvement, increase in CD4 count, and decrease in viral load [15-21].

Several studies evaluating infant dosing (2 weeks to 8 months of age) have utilized dosing regimens ranging from 50 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area twice daily to 100 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area twice daily [23,24]. It appears that the 100 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area twice daily achieves target AUCs.

Although the prescribing information recommends taking ddI on an empty stomach, this is impractical for infants who feed frequently and may decrease medication compliance by increasing regimen complexity. A comparison of ddI given with or without food in children found that systemic exposure was similar, but with slower and more prolonged absorption [3]. To improve compliance, some practitioners recommend administration without regard to timing of meals for young children. However, there are inadequate data to allow a strong recommendation at this time, and it is preferred that ddI be administered under fasting conditions when possible.

Enteric-coated ddI (Videx EC) administered as a single dose of 240 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area has been shown to have similar plasma AUC (although lower peak plasma concentrations) compared to the equivalent dose of buffered ddI [24]. The resultant intracellular (active) drug concentrations are unknown. In 24 children with HIV infection, ddI at a dose of 180 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area once daily was compared to 90 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area twice daily, and the AUC was actually higher in the oncedaily group than in the twice-daily group [25]. In fact, in 53 children with advanced symptomatic HIV infection, once-versus twice-daily ddI at a dose of 270 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area per day showed no difference in surrogate marker or clinical endpoints, except that weight gain was poorer in the children given once-daily therapy [26]. A recent European trial of once-daily HAART in 36 children aged 3-11 years that included ddI at a dose of 200–240 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> demonstrated safety and efficacy with 96 weeks of follow-up data [31].

In a study in the United States, long-term virologic suppression with a once-daily regimen of efavirenz (EFV), emtricitabine (FTC), and ddI was reported in 37 treatment-naïve children, 3–21 years of age, participating in PACTG 1021 [32]. Eighty-five percent of subjects were able to achieve HIV RNA <400 copies/mL and 72% maintained HIV RNA suppression to <50 copies/mL through 96 weeks of therapy.

- Balis FM, Pizzo PA, Butler KM, et al. Clinical pharmacology of 2',3'-dideoxyinosine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. *J Infect Dis*, 1992. 165(1):99-104. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1727902
- Butler KM, Husson RN, Balis FM, et al. Dideoxyinosine in children with symptomatic

- human immunodeficiency virus infection. *N Engl J Med*, 1991. 324(3):137-44. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1670591
- Stevens RC, Rodman JH, Yong FH, et al. Effect of food and pharmacokinetic variability on didanosine systemic exposure in HIV-infected children. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 144 Study Team. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses, 2000. 16(5):415-21. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10772527
- St Clair MH, Martin JL, Tudor-Williams G, et al. Resistance to ddI and sensitivity to AZT induced by a mutation in HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. Science, 1991. 253(5027):1557-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1716788
- FDA Warning Letter from Gilead Sciences. High
  rate of virologic failure in patients with HIV
  infection treated with a once daily triple daily
  NRTI regimen containing didanosine,
  lamivudine and tenofovir. October 14, 2003.
- Butler KM, Venzon D, Henry N, et al. Pancreatitis in human immunodeficiency virusinfected children receiving dideoxyinosine. *Pediatrics*, 1993. 91(4):747-51. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7681940">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7681940</a>
- 7. Whitcup SM, Butler KM, Caruso R, et al. Retinal toxicity in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children treated with 2',3'-dideoxyinosine. *Am J Ophthalmol*, 1992. 113(1):1-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1728133
- 8. Pecora Fulco P, Kirian MA. Effect of tenofovir on didanosine absorption in patients with HIV. *Ann Pharmacother*, 2003. 37(9):1325-8. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12921517">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12921517</a>
- Murphy MD, O'Hearn M, Chou S. Fatal lactic acidosis and acute renal failure after addition of tenofovir to an antiretroviral regimen containing didanosine. Clin Infect Dis, 2003. 36(8):1082-5. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12684925">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12684925</a>
- Martinez E, Milinkovic A, de Lazzari E, et al. Pancreatic toxic effects associated with coadministration of didanosine and tenofovir in HIV-infected adults. *Lancet*, 2004. 364(9428):65-7. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15234858">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15234858</a>
- 11. Rollot F, Nazal EM, Chauvelot-Moachon L, et al. Tenofovir-related Fanconi syndrome with nephrogenic diabetes insipidus in a patient with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome: the role of lopinavir-ritonavir-didanosine. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2003. 37(12):e174-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14689363

- Hussain S, Khayat A, Tolaymat A, Rathore M. Nephrotoxicity in a child with perinatal HIV on tenofovir, didanosine and lopinavir/ritonavir. *Pediatr Nephrol*, 2006. 21(7):1034-6. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16773419">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16773419</a>
- Negredo E, Molto J, Burger D, et al. Unexpected CD4 cell count decline in patients receiving didanosine and tenofovir-based regimens despite undetectable viral load. AIDS, 2004. 18(3):459-63. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15090798">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15090798</a>
- Fletcher CV, Brundage RC, Remmel RP, et al. Pharmacologic characteristics of indinavir, didanosine, and stavudine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children receiving combination therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2000. 44(4):1029-34. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10722507
- Englund JA, Baker CJ, Raskino C, et al. Zidovudine, didanosine, or both as the initial treatment for symptomatic HIV-infected children. AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) Study 152 Team. N Engl J Med, 1997. 336(24):1704-12. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9182213">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9182213</a>
- 16. McKinney RE Jr, Johnson GM, Stanley K, et al. A randomized study of combined zidovudine-lamivudine versus didanosine monotherapy in children with symptomatic therapy-naive HIV-1 infection. The Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 300 Study Team. *J Pediatr*, 1998. 133(4):500-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9787687
- Kline MW, Van Dyke RB, Lindsey JC, et al. Combination therapy with stavudine (d4T) plus didanosine (ddI) in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. The Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 327 Team. *Pediatrics*, 1999. 103(5):e62. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10224206">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10224206</a>
- Mueller BU, Nelson RPJr, Sleasman J, et al. A phase I/II study of the protease inhibitor ritonavir in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatrics*, 1998. 101(3 Pt 1):335-43. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9480994">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9480994</a>
- 19. Funk MB, Linde R, Wintergerst U, et al. Preliminary experiences with triple therapy including nelfinavir and two reverse transcriptase inhibitors in previously untreated HIV-infected children. *AIDS*, 1999. 13(13):1653-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10509566
- 20. Gibb D, Barry M, Ormesher S, et al. Pharmacokinetics of zidovudine and dideoxyinosine alone and in combination in children with HIV infection. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*,

- 1995. 39(5):527-30. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7669489
- Luzuriaga K, Bryson Y, Krogstad P, et al.
   Combination treatment with zidovudine, didanosine, and nevirapine in infants with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. N Engl J Med, 1997. 336(19):1343-9.
   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9134874">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9134874</a>
- 22. Rongkavilit C, Thaithumyanon P, Chuenyam T, et al. Pharmacokinetics of stavudine and didanosine coadministered with nelfinavir in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed neonates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2001. 45(12):3585-90. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11709344
- 23. Kovacs A, Cowles MK, Britto P, et al. Pharmacokinetics of didanosine and drug resistance mutations in infants exposed to zidovudine during gestation or postnatally and treated with didanosine or zidovudine in the first three months of life. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2005. 24(6):503-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15933559
- 24. King JR, Nachman S, Yogev R, et al. Single-dose pharmacokinetics of enteric-coated didanosine in HIV-infected children. *Antivir Ther*, 2002. 7(4):267-70. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12553481
- Abreu T, Plaisance K, Rexroad V, et al. Bioavailability of once- and twice-daily regimens of didanosine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2000. 44(5):1375-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10770783
- Marchisio P, Principi N, Gabiano C, et al. Once versus twice daily administration of didanosine in children with symptomatic HIV-associated disease who were intolerant to or clinically deteriorated on zidovudine. The Italian Pediatric Collaborative Study Group on Didanosine.
   Antivir Ther, 1997. 2(1):47-55.
   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11322266
- 27. Palacios GC, Palafox VL, Alvarez-Munoz MT, et al. Response to two consecutive protease inhibitor combination therapy regimens in a cohort of HIV-1-infected children. *Scand J Infect Dis*, 2002. 34(1):41-4. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11874163
- 28. de Mendoza C, Ramos JT, Ciria L, et al. Efficacy and safety of stavudine plus didanosine in asymptomatic HIV-infected children with plasma HIV RNA below 50,000 copies per

milliliter. *HIV Clin Trials*, 2002. 3(1):9-16. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11819180

- 29. Mueller BU, Butler KM, Stocker VL, et al. Clinical and pharmacokinetic evaluation of long-term therapy with didanosine in children with HIV infection. *Pediatrics*, 1994. 94(5):724-31. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7936903
- King JR, Nachman S, Yogev R, et al. Efficacy, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of two Nelfinavir-based regimens in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children and adolescents: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 403. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2005. 24(10):880-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16220085
- 31. Scherpbier HJ, Bekker V, Pajkrt D, et al. Oncedaily highly active antiretroviral therapy for HIV-infected children: safety and efficacy of an efavirenz-containing regimen. *Pediatrics*, 2007. 119(3):e705-15. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17308244
- 32. McKinney RE Jr, Rodman J, Hu C, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of a once-daily regimen of emtricitabine, didanosine, and efavirenz in HIV-infected, therapy-naive children and adolescents: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol P1021. *Pediatrics*, 2007. 120(2):e416-23. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17646352

# Emtricitabine (FTC, Emtriva<sup>TM</sup>)

URL: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/</a>

See Also: <u>Appendix B: Characteristics of Available</u> <u>Antiretroviral Drugs</u>

#### Overview

Emtricitabine (FTC) was approved in July 2003 for treatment of HIV infection in adults aged ≥18 years and in September 2005 for treatment in children 3 months to 17 years of age. In August 2004, it was approved as a fixed-dose combination formulation of FTC and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) (Truvada) for adults ≥18 years of age. In a study of antiretroviral-naïve adults, through week 48, FTC, TDF, and efavirenz (EFV) was superior to zidovudine (ZDV), lamivudine (3TC), and EFV in virologic suppression, CD4 response, and adverse events requiring discontinuation of study drugs [1]. Similar results were demonstrated through week 96 [2]. In July 2006, it was approved as a fixed-dose combination of FTC, TDF, and EFV (Atripla) for adults ≥18 years of

age [3]. FTC is available as an oral solution of 10 mg/mL.

FTC is a synthetic cytosine nucleoside analogue (2'deoxycytidine). It differs only slightly in structure from 3TC (5-fluoro substitution), although its potency is on average five times higher in in vitro tests against HIV strains from primary clinical isolates [4,5], and it may be more effective in vivo as well [6]. The 50% inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) of FTC ranged from 0.0013 to 0.64 µM when assessed in lymphoblastoid cell lines, the MAGI-CCR5 cell line, and peripheral blood mononuclear cells against laboratory and clinical isolates of HIV. Like other NRTI drugs, FTC requires intracellular phosphorylation to become active. FTC is metabolized intracellularly and its primary route of elimination is via renal excretion without significant metabolic interactions with other antiretroviral drugs.

FTC is well absorbed rapidly following oral administration. Systemic exposure (AUC) is unaffected by administration of FTC with food. FTC pharmacokinetics are linear over a wide dosage range. The terminal half-life of FTC in plasma is 8 to 10 hours [7,8].

Like 3TC, it is active against hepatitis B virus, but FTC has not been approved for use in patients with chronic hepatitis B or in patients coinfected with hepatitis B virus and HIV [9,10]. "Flare-ups" of hepatitis B have been reported in HIV/hepatitis B-coinfected patients after discontinuation of FTC therapy. Coinfected patients should be closely monitored with both clinical and laboratory follow-up for at least several months after stopping FTC treatment [6].

#### Resistance

Like 3TC, resistance to FTC is associated with a single genotypic mutation at RT gene codon 184 [11]. Because *in vitro* data have shown that resistance to 3TC confers cross-resistance to FTC, FTC is not indicated after 3TC failure. FTC-resistant isolates are also cross-resistant to 3TC and ddC but retain sensitivity to ABC, ddI, d4T, TDF, ZDV, and NNRTI drugs. Moreover, the M184V mutation enhances HIV susceptibility to ZDV, d4T, and TDF [12,13]. HIV-1 isolates containing the K65R mutation, selected *in vivo* by ABC, ddI, TDF, and ddC, have reduced susceptibility to FTC [14]. An *in vitro* study of the drug resistance selection profile of FTC and TDF in combination showed that at drug

concentrations set to closely mimic plasma drug concentrations in treated patients, an M184I mutation was observed first, followed directly by a double mutation, K65R and M184V [15].

#### Adverse Effects

FTC is well tolerated. The most common adverse events reported in clinical trials were headache, diarrhea, nausea, and rash, which were generally of mild-to-moderate severity and required drug discontinuation in only 1% of patients. Three patients were reported to have gastrointestinal intolerance to Truvada but were able to tolerate FTC or 3TC in combination with TDF [16]. Skin discoloration, manifested by hyperpigmentation of the palms and/or soles, has been observed, predominantly in non-Caucasian patients. Lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis, including fatal cases, have been reported with the use of nucleoside analogues alone or in combination, including FTC.

#### Pediatric Experience

A single-dose pharmacokinetic study of FTC liquid solution and capsules was performed in 25 HIV-infected children 2–17 years of age [17]. FTC was found to be well absorbed following oral administration, with a mean elimination half-life of 11 hours (range 9.7 to 11.6 hours). Plasma concentrations in children receiving the 6 mg/kg FTC once-daily dose were approximately equivalent to those in adults receiving the standard 200 mg dose.

Based on this dose-finding study, FTC was given at a dose of 6 mg/kg once daily in combination with other antiretroviral drugs [18,19]. In a pediatric phase II study, 51 antiretroviral-naïve children received FTC plus d4T and LPV/RTV while 31 treatmentexperienced children were maintained on their initial regimens, but changed from 3TC to FTC [19]. Pharmacokinetic results were similar to the previous dose-finding study [17] although children <2 years of age may have more rapid absorption and more rapid clearance, resulting in lower trough levels [19]. Follow up data demonstrated that at week 48, 90% of the antiretroviral-naïve and 81% of the antiretroviralexperienced children achieved and/or maintained suppression of plasma HIV RNA ≤400 copies/mL [20]. There were 6 adverse events possibly or probably related to study drug and 8 grade 3 or 4 laboratory abnormalities.

FTC was studied in PACTG P1021 at a dose of 6 mg/kg (maximum 240 mg/day as liquid or 200 mg/day

as capsules) in combination with ddI and EFV, all given once daily, in 37 antiretroviral-naïve HIV-infected children aged 3 months to 21 years [18]. This regimen was well tolerated, and FTC and ddI concentrations met the desired target study concentrations. Eighty-five percent of subjects were able to achieve HIV RNA <400 copies/mL and 72% maintained HIV RNA suppression to <50 copies/mL through 96 weeks of therapy. The median CD4 count rose by 329 cells/mm³ at week 96.

A study in South Africa evaluated the pharmacokinetics of FTC in 20 HIV-exposed infants age <3 months, given as 3 mg/kg once daily for two 4-day courses, separated by an interval of ≥2 weeks [21]. FTC exposure (AUC) in neonates receiving 3 mg/kg FTC once daily was in the range of pediatric patients age >3 months receiving the recommended dose of 6 mg/kg once daily and adults receiving the once-daily recommended 200 mg FTC dose (AUC approximately 10 hr\*ug/mL). FTC AUC decreased with increasing age over the first 3 months of life, correlating with an increase in total body clearance of the drug.

- Gallant JE, DeJesus E, Arribas JR, et al.
   Tenofovir DF, emtricitabine, and efavirenz vs.
   zidovudine, lamivudine, and efavirenz for HIV.
   N Engl J Med, 2006. 354(3):251-60.
   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16421366">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16421366</a>
- Pozniak AL, Gallant JE, DeJesus E, et al.
   Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, emtricitabine,
   and efavirenz versus fixed-dose
   zidovudine/lamivudine and efavirenz in
   antiretroviral-naive patients: virologic,
   immunologic, and morphologic changes--a 96 week analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*,
   2006. 43(5):535-40.
   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17057609
- 3. Goicoechea M, Best B. Efavirenz/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate fixed-dose combination: first-line therapy for all? *Expert Opin Pharmacother*, 2007. 8(3):371-82. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17266471">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17266471</a>
- 4. Schinazi RF. Assessment of the relative potency of emtricitabine and lamivudine. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2003. 34(2):243-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14526216
- 5. Hazen R, Lanier ER. Relative anti-HIV-1 efficacy of lamivudine and emtricitabine in vitro is dependent on cell type. *J Acquir Immune Defic*

- *Syndr*, 2003. 32(3):255-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12626884
- Rousseau FS, Wakeford C, Mommeja-Marin H, et al. Prospective randomized trial of emtricitabine versus lamivudine short-term monotherapy in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. *J Infect Dis*, 2003. 188(11):1652-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14639535
- Back DJ, Burger DM, Flexner CW, et al. The pharmacology of antiretroviral nucleoside and nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors: implications for once-daily dosing. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2005. 39(Suppl 1):S1-23. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15990598
- 8. Saag MS. Emtricitabine, a new antiretroviral agent with activity against HIV and hepatitis B virus. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2006. 42(1):126-31. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16323102">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16323102</a>
- Nunez M, Soriano V. Management of patients coinfected with hepatitis B virus and HIV. Lancet Infect Dis, 2005. 5(6):374-82. Lancet Infect Dis, 2005. 5(6):374-82. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15919623">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15919623</a>
- 10. Levy V, Grant RM. Antiretroviral therapy for hepatitis B virus-HIV-coinfected patients: promises and pitfalls. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2006. 43(7):904-10. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16941375">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16941375</a>
- 11. Gallant JE. The M184V mutation: what it does, how to prevent it, and what to do with it when it's there. *AIDS Read*, 2006. 16(10):556-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17096474
- 12. Miller MD, Margot NA, Hertogs K, et al. Antiviral activity of tenofovir (PMPA) against nucleosideresistant clinical HIV samples. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids*, 2001. 20(4-7):1025-8. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11562951">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11562951</a>
- 13. Borroto-Esoda K, Vela JE, Myrick F, et al. In vitro evaluation of the anti-HIV activity and metabolic interactions of tenofovir and emtricitabine. *Antivir Ther*, 2006. 11(3):377-84. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16759055">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16759055</a>
- 14. Feng JY, Myrick FT, Margot NA, et al. Virologic and enzymatic studies revealing the mechanism of K65R- and Q151M-associated HIV-1 drug resistance towards emtricitabine and lamivudine. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids*, 2006. 25(1):89-107. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16440988
- 15. Margot NA, Waters JM and Miller MD. In vitro human immunodeficiency virus type 1 resistance selections with combinations of tenofovir and emtricitabine or abacavir and lamivudine.

- Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2006. 50(12):4087-95. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16982781
- 16. Vemuri S, Bratberg J, Burke K, et al. Truvada intolerance. *AIDS*, 2007. 21(3):382-3. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17255751
- 17. Wang LH, Wiznia AA, Rathore MH, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of single oral doses of emtricitabine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2004. 183(1):183-91. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14693538
- McKinney RE Jr, Rodman J, Hu C, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of a once-daily regimen of emtricitabine, didanosine, and efavirenz in HIV-infected, therapy-naive children and adolescents: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol P1021. *Pediatrics*, 2007. 120(2):e416-23. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17646352
- Saez-Llorens X, Violari A, Ndiweni D, et al.
   Once daily emtricitabine in HIV-infected
   pediatric patients with other antiretroviral agents.
   10<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and
   Opportunistic Infections; February 10-14, 2003;
   Boston, MA. Abstract 5872.
- Violari A, Wiznia A, Ndiweni D, et al. Once daily (QD) emtricitabine (FTC) with other antiretroviral agents (ART) in HIV-infected pediatric patients at 48 Weeks. XV International AIDS Conference. July 11-16, 2004, Bangkok, Thailand. Abstract TuPeB4431.
- 21. Blum MR, Ndiweni D, Chittick G, et al. Steady state pharmacokinetic evaluation of emtricitabine in neonates exposed to HIV in utero. 13<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 5-9, 2006; Denver, CO. Abstract 568.

# Lamivudine (3TC, Epivir®)

URL: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/</a>

See Also: <u>Appendix B: Characteristics of Available</u> <u>Antiretroviral Drugs</u>

#### Overview

Lamivudine (3TC) was approved in November 1995 for use in children and infants >3 months of age based on efficacy studies in adults in conjunction with safety and pharmacokinetic studies in children. In September 1997, it was approved as a fixed

combination of 3TC/zidovudine (ZDV) (Combivir) for adults and adolescents >12 years old. In November 2000, it was approved as a fixed-dose combination of 3TC/ZDV/abacavir (ABC) (Trizivir) for adolescents and adults weighing >40 kg. In August 2004, it was approved as a fixed-dose combination of 3TC/ABC (Epzicom) for once-daily dosing in adults. A scored 150 mg tablet formulation of 3TC that allows use in pediatric patients who weigh >14 kg and can swallow tablets was approved in January 2008.

3TC is the negative enantiomer of a synthetic cytidine analogue. 3TC requires intracellular phosphorylation to become active and, like ddI and ddC, does so preferentially in resting cells. 3TC has activity against HIV-1, HIV-2, and hepatitis B virus. The CSF-toplasma concentration ratio in children is relatively low (0.11) compared with that of ZDV (0.25), but it is higher than that of ddI (0.05) /11. The bioavailability is approximately 66% in children and 86% in adolescents and adults. Its plasma half-life is 2 hours and its intracellular half-life is 10 to 15 hours, allowing oncedaily dosing in adults. The pharmacokinetics of 3TC are age dependent, with decreased plasma maximum, minimum, and AUC concentrations in children ≤6 years of age compared with those of children >7 years through adulthood; whether these observations are explained by younger children having lower drug bioavailability, increased clearance, increased volume of distribution, or combinations thereof is unknown [2]. However, this lower 3TC exposure in children receiving equal mg/kg dosing compared to that of older children does not appear to be related to reduced virologic activity, because the response to 3TC-based combination regimens remains very good (see below). 3TC's primary route of elimination is via renal excretion, without significant metabolic interactions with other antiretroviral drugs.

3TC is active against hepatitis B virus, and "flare-ups" of hepatitis B have been reported in HIV/hepatitis B-coinfected patients after discontinuation of 3TC therapy. Coinfected patients should be closely monitored with both clinical and laboratory follow-up for at least several months after stopping 3TC treatment.

#### Resistance

When 3TC is administered as monotherapy, resistance emerges rapidly and is associated with a single genotypic mutation at RT gene codon 184. Resistance also develops rapidly (within weeks) when 3TC is used in nonsuppressive combination antiretroviral regimens,

such as dual NRTI therapy with ZDV/3TC [3]. Therefore, optimal use of 3TC is in a combination of three or more antiretroviral medications capable of providing full suppression of viral replication. 3TCresistant virus may be partially cross-resistant to ddI and ddC. In vitro, development of the codon 184 mutation is associated with increased fidelity of the viral RT enzyme for its substrate [4]. It is speculated that this could influence the evolution of the virus and may prevent or delay the generation of drugresistant variants. For example, the 184 mutation is reported to suppress ZDV resistance in vitro. The 184 mutation suppresses the effect of some ZDV, d4T, and TDF resistance mutations, and the emergence of M184V may slow the development of resistance to these agents [5,6]. Additionally, the M184I/V mutation is associated with diminished viral replicative fitness [7].

#### Adverse Effects

3TC is very well tolerated. The major reported toxicities are pancreatitis and peripheral neuropathy [1]. However, most reports of pancreatitis and neuropathy are from older studies in which children with advanced HIV were treated with other additional medications; many experts believe that the coadministered medications were more likely causative of toxicity than was 3TC. Headache, fatigue, and gastrointestinal upset have been described upon initiation of 3TC therapy but appear to lessen with use over time. Rarely, concurrent use of 3TC and ZDV has been associated with brisk, severe anemia [8]. Lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis, including fatal cases, have been reported with the use of nucleoside analogues alone or in combination, including 3TC.

#### Pediatric Experience

3TC has been studied in HIV-infected children alone and in combination with other antiretroviral drugs, and extensive data demonstrate that 3TC appears safe and is associated with clinical improvement and virologic response [1,9-23]. 3TC is commonly used in HIV-infected children as a component of a dual NRTI backbone, most often with ZDV or d4T, as part of HAART [13-17,19-21,23]. In one study, the NRTI background components of 3TC/ABC were superior to ZDV/3TC or ZDV/ABC in long-term virologic efficacy [24]. Because of its safety profile and availability in a liquid formulation, 3TC has been given to infants during the first 6 weeks of life [21]. 3TC has become an important component of fixed-dose combination tablets with d4T and nevirapine that

are available in some areas outside the United States [25,26]. These fixed-dose combination tablets facilitate scaling-up of combination antiretroviral therapy in resource-poor areas because of their low pill burden, affordability, and potency but can also limit treatment options for patients with virologic treatment failure [26].

Few data are available regarding once-daily administration of 3TC in children. The pharmacokinetics of once-daily versus twice-daily dosing of 3TC (8 mg/kg once daily vs. 4 mg/kg twice daily) and ABC (16 mg/kg once daily vs. 8 mg/kg twice daily) were evaluated in 20 HIV-infected children aged 2–13 years in the PENTA-13 trial (all were stable on twice-daily therapy prior to randomization); the plasma AUC<sub>0-24</sub> for both drugs was similar with once- and twice-daily administration, but trough concentrations were lower for both ABC and 3TC in younger children (ages 2–6 years) receiving the once-daily regimen, as were peak (C<sub>max</sub>) concentrations for 3TC [12]. No major toxicities were noted, and there was improved acceptability of the once-daily dosing regimen [12]. At this time, once-daily dosing of 3TC is only recommended for adolescents  $\geq 16$  years and  $\geq 50$ kg. More pharmacokinetic studies are needed to confirm that once-daily dosing of ABC and 3TC can be safely used in children.

The dose of 3TC should be decreased in patients with renal insufficiency. 3TC should not be used concurrently with FTC because of the similar resistance profiles and lack of potential additive benefits. It is possible that pharmacogenetic data will guide 3TC dosing in the future; a pilot study has shown that certain multi-drug resistance-associated protein genotypes are predictive of higher intracellular 3TC triphosphate concentrations [27].

- Lewis LL, Venzon D, Church J, et al. Lamivudine in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection: a phase I/II study. The National Cancer Institute Pediatric Branch-Human Immunodeficiency Virus Working Group. *Journal* of Infectious Disease, 1996. 174(1):16-25. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8655986
- 2. Burger DM, Verweel G, Rakhmanina N, et al. Age-dependent pharmacokinetics of lamivudine in HIV-infected children. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*, 2007. 81(4):517-20. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17329994
- 3. Kuritzkes DR, Quinn JB, Benoit SL, et al. Drug resistance and virologic response in NUCA 3001, a

- randomized trial of lamivudine (3TC) versus zidovudine (ZDV) versus ZDV plus 3TC in previously untreated patients. *AIDS*, 1996. 10(9):975-81. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8853730
- Wainberg MA, Drosopoulos WC, Salomon H, et al. Enhanced fidelity of 3TC-selected mutant HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. *Science*, 1996. 271(5253):1282-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8638110
- 5. Nijhuis M, Schuurman R, de Jong D, et al. Lamivudine-resistant human immunodeficiency virus type 1 variants (184V) require multiple amino acid changes to become co-resistant to zidovudine in vivo. *J Infect Dis*, 1997. 176(2):398-405. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9237704
- Ross L, Parkin N, Chappey C, et al. Phenotypic impact of HIV reverse transcriptase M184I/V mutations in combination with single thymidine analog mutations on nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor resistance. AIDS, 2004. 18(12):1691-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15280780
- Devereux HL, Emery VC, Johnson MA, Loveday C. Replicative fitness in vivo of HIV-1 variants with multiple drug resistance-associated mutations. *Journal of Medical Virolology*, 2001. 65(2):218-24. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11536226">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11536226</a>
- 8. Watson WJ, Stevens TP, Weinberg GA. Profound anemia in a newborn infant of a mother receiving antiretroviral therapy. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 1998.17:435-6. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9613665">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9613665</a>
- McKinney RE Jr, Johnson GM, Stanley K, et al. A randomized study of combined zidovudinelamivudine versus didanosine monotherapy in children with symptomatic therapy-naive HIV-1 infection. The Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 300 Study Team. *J Pediatr*, 1998. 133(4):500-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9787687
- PENTA. A randomized double-blind trial of the addition of lamivudine or matching placebo to current nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor therapy in HIV-infected children: the PENTA-4 trial. Paediatric European Network for Treatment of AIDS. AIDS, 1998. 12(14):F151-60. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9792371
- 11. Horneff G, Adams O, Wahn V. Pilot study of zidovudine-lamivudine combination therapy in

- vertically HIV-infected antiretroviral-naive children. *AIDS*, 1998. 12(5):489-94. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9543447
- Bergshoeff A, Burger D, Verweij C, et al. Plasma pharmacokinetics of once- versus twice-daily lamivudine and abacavir: simplification of combination treatment in HIV-1-infected children (PENTA-13). *Antivir Ther*, 2005. 10(2):239-46. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15865218">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15865218</a>
- Krogstad P, Lee S, Johnson G, et al; Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 377 Study Team. Nucleoside-analogue reverse-transcriptase inhibitors plus nevirapine, nelfinavir, or ritonavir for pretreated children infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Clin Infect Dis, 2002. 34(7):991-1001. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11880966
- 14. van Rossum AM, Geelen SP, Hartwig NG, et al. Results of 2 years of treatment with proteaseinhibitor--containing antiretroviral therapy in dutch children infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Clin Infect Dis, 2002. 34(7):1008-16. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11880968">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11880968</a>
- Jankelevich S, Mueller BU, Mackall CL, et al. Long-term virologic and immunologic responses in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children treated with indinavir, zidovudine, and lamivudine. *J Infect Dis*, 2001. 183(7):1116-20. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11237839
- Nachman SA, Stanley K, Yogev R, et al. Nucleoside analogs plus ritonavir in stable antiretroviral therapy-experienced HIV-infected children: a randomized controlled trial. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 338 Study Team. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2000. 283(4):492-8. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10659875">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10659875</a>
- Mueller BU, Lewis LL, Yuen GJ, et al. Serum and cerebrospinal fluid pharmacokinetics of intravenous and oral lamivudine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children.
   Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 1998. 42(12):3187-92. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9835513">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9835513</a>
- Mueller BU, Sleasman J, Nelson RP, et al. A phase I/II study of the protease inhibitor indinavir in children with HIV infection. *Pediatrics*, 1998. 102(1 Pt 1):101-9. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9651421">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9651421</a>
- 19. Chadwick EG, Rodman JH, Britto P, et al. Ritonavir-based highly active antiretroviral therapy in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected infants younger than 24 months of age. *Pediatr*

- *Infect Dis J*, 2005. 24(9):793-800. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16148846
- 20. Chaix ML, Rouet F, Kouakoussui KA, et al. Genotypic human immunodeficiency virus type 1 drug resistance in highly active antiretroviral therapy-treated children in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2005. 24(12):1072-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16371868
- 21. Mirochnick M, Stek A, Acevedo M, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir coadministered with zidovudine and lamivudine in infants during the first 6 weeks of life. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2005. 39(2):189-94. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15905735
- 22. LePrevost M, Green H, Flynn J, et al. Adherence and acceptability of once daily lamivudine and abacavir in human immunodeficiency virus type-1 infected children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2006. 25(6):533-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16732152
- Scherpbier HJ, Bekker V, van Leth F, et al. Long-term experience with combination antiretroviral therapy that contains nelfinavir for up to 7 years in a pediatric cohort. *Pediatrics*, 2006. 117(3):e528-36. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16481448
- 24. Green H, Gibb DM, Walker AS, et al. Lamivudine/abacavir maintains virological superiority over zidovudine/lamivudine and zidovudine/abacavir beyond 5 years in children. *AIDS*, 2007. 21(8):947-55. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457088
- 25. Calmy A, Pinoges L, Szumilin E, et al. Generic fixed-dose combination antiretroviral treatment in resource-poor settings: multicentric observational cohort. *AIDS*, 2006. 20(8):1163-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16691068
- 26. Sungkanuparph S, Manosuthi W, Kiertiburanakul S, et al. Options for a secondline antiretroviral regimen for HIV type 1infected patients whose initial regimen of a fixed-dose combination of stavudine, lamivudine, and nevirapine fails. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2007. 44(3):447-52. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17205457
- 27. Anderson PL, Lamba J, Aquilante CL, et al. Pharmacogenetic characteristics of indinavir, zidovudine, and lamivudine therapy in HIV-infected adults: a pilot study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2006. 42(4):441-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16791115

# Stavudine (d4T, Zerit®)

URL: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/d">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/d</a> rugsatfda/

See Also: <u>Appendix B: Characteristics of Available</u> <u>Antiretroviral Drugs</u>

#### Overview

Stavudine (d4T) was approved in September 1996 for use in infants and children >6 months of age based on evidence from controlled trials in adults and on safety and pharmacokinetic data from children.

d4T, like zidovudine (ZDV), is a thymidine analogue. It is preferentially phosphorylated and exerts more potent antiviral activity in activated rather than in resting cells. CSF concentrations of d4T varied widely (16%–97% of plasma concentrations) in a study of eight pediatric patients receiving chronic dosing [1]. Drug absorption is reliable, with oral bioavailability >80%. The plasma half-life in adults is 1.4 hours and the intracellular half-life is 3.5–7 hours [2]. In pediatric patients, the plasma half-life is approximately 1.0 hour [1,3]. ZDV is a potent inhibitor of the intracellular phosphorylation of d4T in vitro, and at least one adult clinical trial indicates that there may also be clinical in vivo antagonism associated with this combination [4,5]. Therefore, d4T and ZDV should not be coadministered. d4T is eliminated by renal and nonrenal mechanisms.

#### Resistance

No single mutation in the RT gene is associated with high-level resistance to d4T. The presence of multiple RT mutations is associated with reduced susceptibility to d4T, including the mutations known as the thymidine analogue mutations (TAMs) that convey resistance to multiple NRTIs. This accounts for emergence of mutations associated with ZDV resistance in ZDV-naïve individuals receiving d4T [6]. Mutations associated with reduced susceptibility to d4T include the TAMs (41, 67, 70, 210, 215, and 219); the 69 insertion complex (41, 62, 69, 70); and the 151 complex (62, 75, 77, 116, and 151). Susceptibility to d4T (as well as to ZDV and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate [TDF]) may be enhanced by the M184V mutation in the RT gene in the presence of a mutation that would usually decrease d4T susceptibility [7]. The emergence of M184V slows the development of highlevel d4T resistance.

#### Adverse Effects

d4T is associated with a higher rate of adverse events than ZDV in adults and children receiving combination

therapy [8-10]. One of the most significant toxicities associated with d4T is peripheral neuropathy, but this appears to be less common in children than in adults [1,11]. Elevated hepatic transaminases are seen in about 11% and pancreatitis in 1% of adults enrolled in clinical trials of d4T. d4T has been studied in pediatric patients in combination with didanosine (ddI); no pharmacokinetic interactions were observed and there were no cases of peripheral neuropathy [12]. Lipodystrophy, and specifically lipoatrophy (loss of subcutaneous fat), are toxicities associated with the use of NRTIs, particularly d4T. in adults and children [13-15]. Among 39 children receiving d4T, lamivudine (3TC), and nelfinavir (NFV), lipodystrophy developed in 11 (28%) after a median of 49 months of therapy; 9 demonstrated lipoatrophy [16]. Further research concerning body habitus changes associated with NRTI use in pediatric patients is ongoing. Lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis, including fatal cases, have been reported with the use of nucleoside analogues, including d4T, alone or in combination [17.18]. The combination of d4T and ddI in pregnant women has been associated with fatal lactic acidosis and should be used during pregnancy only if no other alternatives are available. Many of these adverse events are believed to be due to mitochondrial toxicity resulting from inhibition of mitochondrial DNA polymerase gamma, with depletion of mitochondrial DNA demonstrated in fat, muscle, peripheral blood mononuclear cells, and other tissues [17,19-21]. Although the World Health Organization (WHO) has chosen to limit the maximum dose of d4T to 30 mg (WHO guidelines www.who.int/hiv/art/ARTadultsaddendum.pdf), the availability of alternatives in the United States and concerns of some Working Group members about suboptimal therapy with a lower dose support switching to another agent, rather than lowering the

#### Pediatric Experience

d4T has been studied in HIV-infected children as monotherapy and in combination with other antiretroviral drugs [1,3,11,12,16,22-27]. Data from multiple pediatric studies of d4T alone or in combination with other antiretrovirals demonstrate that d4T appears safe and is associated with clinical and virologic response [1,3,11,12,16,22-25]. In HIV-infected children, d4T is commonly used as a component of a dual NRTI backbone (most often with 3TC or ddI) as part of HAART. In treatment-experienced children, the combination of d4T, NFV,

dose of d4T, to manage or reduce the risk of toxicity.

and NVP was less effective in reducing plasma viral load than the combination of ddI, NFV, and ritonavir (RTV) [3]. Unfortunately, most subjects were previously treated with ZDV or d4T and viral sensitivity testing was not performed in this study. The rates of adverse events in the two groups were similar.

Many clinicians use d4T as a component of a second regimen after treatment failure or as a replacement for ZDV if the patient develops anemia. In a phase II comparison study of d4T and ZDV, they were largely comparable in terms of safety and tolerability, although neutropenia occurred significantly less often among children receiving d4T [11].

Early initiation of triple therapy with d4T, ddI, and NFV was evaluated in 20 infants starting therapy at <3 months of age (median age at initiation, 2.5 months) [25]. Therapy was generally well tolerated; 7 infants (35%) experienced 11 events considered possibly related to study drugs, although only 3 such events required drug modification (these 3 events were rash, diarrhea, and neutropenia). At least 1 episode of grade 1 hypertriglyceridemia was observed in 19 of 20 (95%) infants; 9 of 12 (75%) infants with cholesterol measured after baseline had at least 1 episode of grade 1 hypercholesterolemia. However, no infant had grade 2 or higher triglyceride or cholesterol concentrations. Seventy percent of infants had incomplete viral suppression, which was associated with genotypic resistance mutations in 6 (30%) of these infants. However, only 2 infants developed resistance mutations to d4T, and 1 of these infants had preexisting TAMs present at baseline.

- Kline MW, Dunkle LM, Church JA, et al. A phase I/II evaluation of stavudine (d4T) in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatrics*, 1995. 96(2 Pt 1 (Aug)):247-52. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7630678">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7630678</a>
- Becher F, Landman R, Mboup S, et al. Monitoring of didanosine and stavudine intracellular trisphosphorylated anabolite concentrations in HIV-infected patients. AIDS, 2004. 18(2):181-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15075534
- 3. King JR, Nachman S, Yogev R, et al. Efficacy, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of two Nelfinavir-based regimens in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children and adolescents: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 403. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2005.

- 24(10):880-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16220085
- 4. Hoggard PG, Kewn S, Barry MG, et al. Effects of drugs on 2',3'-dideoxy-2',3'-didehydrothymidine phosphorylation in vitro. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 1997. 41(6):1231-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9174176
- 5. Hirsch MS. Selecting combination therapy using data from in vitro studies. *AIDS Reader*, 1997. July/August:116-9.
- Coakley EP, Gillis JM, Hammer SM. Phenotypic and genotypic resistance patterns of HIV-1 isolates derived from individuals treated with didanosine and stavudine. AIDS, 2000. 14(2):F9-15. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10708277">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10708277</a>
- Ross L, Parkin N, Chappey C, et al. Phenotypic impact of HIV reverse transcriptase M184I/V mutations in combination with single thymidine analog mutations on nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor resistance. AIDS, 2004. 18(12):1691-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15280780
- Robbins GK, De Gruttola V, Shafer RW, et al. Comparison of sequential three-drug regimens as initial therapy for HIV-1 infection. *NEJM*, 2003. 349(24):2293-303. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14668455">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14668455</a>
- Shafer RW, Smeaton LM, Robbins GK, et al. Comparison of four-drug regimens and pairs of sequential three-drug regimens as initial therapy for HIV-1 infection. *NEJM*, 2003. 349(24):2304-15. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14668456
- Williams P, Wang L, Van Dyke R, et al. Toxicity of combination NRTI regimens in treatment of pediatric HIV infection. XVI International AIDS Meetings; August 13-18, 2006; Toronto, Canada. Abstract WEPE0141.
- 11. Kline MW, Fletcher CV, Harris AT, et al. A pilot study of combination therapy with indinavir, stavudine (d4T), and didanosine (ddI) in children infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. *J Pediatr*, 1998. 132(3 Pt 1):543-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9544920
- 12. Kline MW, Fletcher CV, Federici ME, et al. Combination Therapy with Stavudine and Didanosine in Children With Advanced Human Immunodeficiency virus Infection: Pharmacokinetic Properties, Safety, and Immunologic and Virologic Effects. *Pediatrics*,

- 1996. 97(6 Pt 1):886-90. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8657531
- 13. Joly V, Flandre P, Meiffredy V, et al. Increased risk of lipoatrophy under stavudine in HIV-1-infected patients: results of a substudy from a comparative trial. *AIDS*, 2002. 16(18):2447-54. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12461419
- European Paediatric Lipodystrophy Group. Antiretroviral therapy, fat redistribution and hyperlipidaemia in HIV-infected children in Europe. AIDS, 2004. 18(10):1443-51. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15199321">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15199321</a>
- 15. Ene L, Goetghebuer T, Hainaut M, et al. Prevalence of lipodystrophy in HIV-infected children: a cross-sectional study. *Eur J Pediatr*, 2007. 166(1):13-21. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16896646">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16896646</a>
- 16. Scherpbier HJ, Bekker V, van Leth F, et al. Long-term experience with combination antiretroviral therapy that contains nelfinavir for up to 7 years in a pediatric cohort. *Pediatrics*, 2006. 117(3):e528-36. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16481448">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16481448</a>
- 17. Haugaard SB, Andersen O, Pedersen SB, et al. Depleted skeletal muscle mitochondrial DNA, hyperlactatemia, and decreased oxidative capacity in HIV-infected patients on highly active antiretroviral therapy. *J Med Virol*, 2005. 77(1):29-38. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16032748
- Koh MT. Unrecognized near-fatal hyperlactatemia in an HIV-infected infant exposed to nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *Int J Infect Dis*, 2007. 11(1):85-6. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16581278">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16581278</a>
- 19. Blanco F, Garcia-Benayas T, Jose de la Cruz J, et al. First-line therapy and mitochondrial damage: different nucleosides, different findings. *HIV Clin Trials*, 2003. 4(1):11-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12577192
- 20. Cherry CL, Gahan ME, McArthur JC, et al. Exposure to dideoxynucleosides is reflected in lowered mitochondrial DNA in subcutaneous fat. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2002. 30(3):271-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12131563
- Sánchez-Conde M, de Mendoza C, Jiménez-Nacher I, et al. Reductions in stavudine dose might ameliorate mitochondrial-associated complications without compromising antiviral activity. HIV Clin Trials, 2005. 6(4):197-202. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16214736
- 22. Kline MW, Van Dyke RB, Lindsey JC, et al. Combination therapy with stavudine (d4T) plus didanosine (ddI) in children with human

- immunodeficiency virus infection. The Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 327 Team. *Pediatrics*, 1999. 103(5):e62. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10224206
- 23. Yogev R, Lee S, Wiznia A, et al. for the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 338 Study Team. Stavudine, nevirapine and ritonavir in stable antiretroviral therapy-experienced children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2002. 21(2):119-25. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11840078">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11840078</a>
- 24. Nachman SA, Stanley K, Yogev R, et al. Nucleoside analogs plus ritonavir in stable antiretroviral therapy-experienced HIV-infected children: a randomized controlled trial. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 338 Study Team. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2000. 283(4):492-8. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10659875">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10659875</a>
- 25. Aboulker JP, Babiker A, Chaix ML, et al; Paediatric European Network for Treatment of AIDS. Highly active antiretroviral therapy started in infants under 3 months of age: 72-week followup for CD4 cell count, viral load and drug resistance outcome. AIDS, 2004. 18(2):237-45. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15075541">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15075541</a>
- 26. Krogstad P, Lee S, Johnson G, et al; Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 377 Study Team. Nucleoside-analogue reverse-transcriptase inhibitors plus nevirapine, nelfinavir, or ritonavir for pretreated children infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Clin Infect Dis, 2002. 34(7):991-1001. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11880966">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11880966</a>
- 27. de Mendoza C, Ramos JT, Ciria L, et al. Efficacy and safety of stavudine plus didanosine in asymptomatic HIV-infected children with plasma HIV RNA below 50,000 copies per milliliter. *HIV Clin Trials*, 2002. 3(1):9-16. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11819180

# Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (TDF, Viread®)

URL: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/

See Also: Appendix B: Characteristics of Available
Antiretroviral Drugs

#### Overview

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) was approved for use in combination with other antiretroviral agents for treatment of adults in October 2001. A

fixed-dose combination formulation of TDF and emtricitabine (FTC) (Truvada) was approved for adults in August 2004, and a single tablet combination of TDF, FTC, and efavirenz (EFV) (Atripla) was approved for adults in July 2006. TDF is not approved for use in pediatric patients <18 years old.

Tenofovir is an acyclic nucleotide analogue with activity against retroviruses, including HIV-1, HIV-2, and hepatitis B virus. TDF, an orally active ester prodrug of tenofovir, is rapidly hydrolyzed to tenofovir by plasma esterases, then metabolized intracellularly to the active drug, tenofovir diphosphate, which competitively inhibits the HIV RT enzyme and terminates the DNA synthesis. The drug has a long half-life, allowing once-daily dosing in adults, and is active against many viruses resistant to NRTIs, NNRTIs, and PIs. Oral bioavailability in adults ranges from 25% (fasting) to 39% (after a high-fat meal). TDF can be taken with or without food. TDF is excreted unchanged by the kidneys by a combination of glomerular filtration and active tubular secretion; TDF plasma clearance and exposure are related to the body weight/serum creatinine ratio (BW/S<sub>CR</sub>) [1]. The dose should be adjusted for patients with renal insufficiency. There is potential for interaction with other drugs that undergo renal excretion. There is no hepatic metabolism of TDF, and pharmacokinetics are unchanged in patients with hepatic impairment.

TDF is active against hepatitis B virus, and "flare-ups" of hepatitis B have been reported in HIV/hepatitis B-coinfected patients after discontinuation of TDF therapy. Coinfected patients should be closely monitored with both clinical and laboratory follow-up for at least several months after stopping TDF treatment.

#### Resistance

Although TDF is active against viral strains that are resistant to other drugs, HIV isolates with reduced susceptibility to TDF have been selected *in vitro*; these viruses expressed a K65R mutation in the RT gene and have a 3- to 4-fold reduction in susceptibility to TDF. The K65R mutation can also be selected *in vivo* in patients receiving didanosine (ddI), zalcitabine (ddC), or abacavir (ABC). Patients who develop the K65R mutation have cross-resistance to TDF, ABC, ddI, and ddC and may also show reduced susceptibility to 3TC and FTC. Combinations of tenofovir with ZDV (and to a lesser extent stavudine [d4T]) reduce the rate of selection of the K65R mutation [2]. *In vitro*, HIV-1 subtype C develops the K65R mutation more rapidly

than subtype B [3]. Viruses containing multiple TAMs (e.g., mutations at RT gene codons 41 and 210, which also confer resistance to d4T, ZDV, and ABC), a mutation at codon 74 (which confers resistance to ABC, ddI, and ddC), or the T69S double insertion resistance mutation also have reduced susceptibility to TDF. In clinical studies of TDF administered as part of a triple NRTI combination in antiretroviral-naïve or -experienced adults, early virologic nonresponse is common and is associated with early development of both K65R and M184V mutations [4,5].

#### Adverse Effects

In animal studies, the principal organs affected by TDF toxicity were the renal tubular epithelium and bone. Evidence of reversible renal toxicity, including increases in serum creatinine, BUN, glycosuria, proteinuria, phosphaturia, and calciuria and decreases in serum phosphate (Fanconi syndrome) have been observed in animal studies at highexposure levels; however, toxicity was not observed in infant macagues treated with low-dose TDF for 5 years [6]. Although clinically significant TDFassociated renal toxicity has been observed infrequently in prospective and retrospective clinical studies of adults [7-13], there are numerous case reports of nephrotoxicity (Fanconi syndrome, renal insufficiency, acute tubular necrosis, acute renal failure) in adults receiving TDF in combination with other drugs [7,14-19]. There is greater risk for patients with low body weight, baseline renal insufficiency, and those using concomitant drugs that are nephrotoxic or increase the patient's TDF exposure. Tenofovir-associated proximal renal tubular dysfunction was associated with single nucleotide polymorphism changes in the genes coding for the multi-drug resistance protein 4 transporter [20]. Discontinuation of TDF led to improvement or resolution of these clinical abnormalities. The long-term renal effects are not known. Unpublished cases of renal toxicity in adolescents taking TDF-containing regimens support the need to evaluate and monitor renal function in patients using TDF, regardless of age.

TDF caused bone toxicity (osteomalacia, growth restriction) in infant macaques when given in high doses over long periods [6]. This was reversed with dose reduction or complete discontinuation of TDF. Infant macaques receiving low daily doses of tenofovir for 5 years experienced normal growth and bone density [6]. Decreases in bone mineral density

(BMD) have been shown in both adults and children taking TDF [21,22]. The clinical significance of changes in BMD is not yet known; no increase in fracture incidence has been observed.

There is a poorly understood drug-drug interaction between TDF and ddI that results in significantly increased ddI concentrations and increased ddI toxicity. When coadministering ddI and TDF, a dose adjustment of ddI is recommended [23,24] (the exact dose adjustment needed in children, however, is not known); patients should be monitored for symptoms of ddI toxicity, lymphopenia, and declining CD4 cell counts [14,25,26]. Early virologic failure has been reported with the use of TDF/ddI in combination with EFV in two adult clinical trials [27,28]. Atazanavir (ATV) and lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/RTV) increase TDF concentrations. The mechanism of this interaction is not known. Patients receiving ATV or LPV/r in combination with TDF should be monitored closely for TDF-associated adverse effects, and TDF should be discontinued if they occur. TDF decreases concentrations of ATV.

TDF appears less likely than other NRTI drugs to be associated with mitochondrial toxicity [29,30]; TDF inhibits HIV RT at concentrations about 3,000-fold lower than needed to inhibit DNA polymerases beta and gamma and is also only a weak inhibitor of the alpha, beta, and gamma DNA polymerases. In adult studies, the rate of mitochondrial side effects was 3% among TDF recipients compared to 11% among those taking d4T [21]. However, cases of lactic acidosis have been reported with use of TDF [14,31].

In the phase I/II study of TDF in 18 children and adolescents at the National Institutes of Health (NIH), the major toxicity attributable to TDF was a >6% decrease in BMD measured by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) scan in 5 of 15 (33%) children evaluated at week 48. Two of the 5 discontinued TDF at 48 weeks as required by the protocol and experienced partial or complete recovery of BMD by 96 weeks [22]. The median Tanner scores were 1 (range 1-3) and the mean age 10.2 years for the children with BMD decreases; for those whose BMD did not decrease median Tanner score was 2.5 (range 1-4) and median age was 13.2 years [22,32]. In a second study of 6 patients at the NIH using the commercially available 300 mg formulation of TDF, 2 prepubertal subjects experienced >6% BMD decreases. One was the smallest child and experienced a 27% decrease, necessitating withdrawal of TDF but

continuation of the rest of her antiretroviral therapy regimen [33]. Subsequently her BMD recovered. The data from both of these small studies suggest that TDF-related bone loss may be greater in less mature children (e.g., Tanner 1–2) than in those with more advanced development (Tanner  $\geq 3$ ).

In contrast to the NIH studies, an Italian study showed no effect of TDF on BMD in pediatric patients who were switched from stavudine and PIcontaining regimens to TDF/3TC/EFV [34]. The different results may be explained by different patient populations and TDF dosing. The patients in the study by Giacomet et al. [34] were older and had greater height and weight z scores, and the majority were in middle to late puberty or postpubertal. The NIH study involved heavily treatment experienced patients in need of salvage therapy while the Italian study evaluated BMD in a potentially healthier population who were required to have long-lasting viral suppression prior to the switch in therapy. Finally, because the patients in the Italian study received TDF in the absence of RTV and were administered fractions of TDF pills to provide lower doses, the tenofovir concentrations experienced by the Italian patients may have been lower than those seen in the NIH patients. No BMD studies have been performed in treatment-naïve children who initiate therapy with TDF.

No significant renal disease was seen with TDF therapy in either of the 2 small NIH studies or in the Italian study. However, possible TDF-associated nephrotoxicity manifest as Fanconi syndrome, reduced creatinine clearance, and diabetes insipidus, has been reported in a child receiving TDF as a component of salvage therapy including LPV/RTV and ddI for 1 year [35], and increased urinary beta-2 microglobulin suggesting proximal renal tubular damage was identified in 12 of 44 (27%) children treated with tenofovir compared to 2 of 48 (4%) children not treated with tenofovir [36]. However, no significant decrease in calculated glomerular filtration rate was found in 27 HIV-infected children treated with tenofovir for 96 weeks [37]. Finally, in the Italian study, lipid profiles improved significantly after the switch from d4T and PI-containing regimens to TDF/3TC/EFV [38].

#### Pediatric Experience

In the Italian study, all HIV-infected children remained clinically stable and virologically suppressed after the change in regimen [38]. The

NIH study, using a 75 mg tablet formulation of TDF in treatment-experienced children and adolescents ages 6–18 years, showed that a median dose of 208 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area (range 161–256 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area), resulted in a median single dose AUC and C<sub>max</sub> that were 34% and 27% lower, respectively, compared to values reported in adults administered a daily dose of 300 mg [39,40]. Renal clearance of TDF was approximately 1.5-fold higher in children than previously reported in adults, possibly explaining the lower systemic exposure [39]. Steadystate tenofovir exposures were higher but still less than those seen in adults and may reflect the concomitant treatment with ritonavir, which boosts tenofovir plasma concentrations. Lower than anticipated tenofovir exposure was also found in young adults (median age 23 years) treated with ATV/RTV plus tenofovir [41]. The clinical impact of these low drug exposures is unknown, but in the NIH study, both single-dose and steady-state AUC were associated with virologic outcome. Plasma HIV RNA concentrations (log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL) decreased from a median pretreatment concentration of 5.4 log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL to 4.21 log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL after 48 weeks of therapy [32]. HIV RNA was <400 copies/mL in six subjects and <50 copies/mL in four subjects at 48 weeks. An investigational liquid formulation has been studied in children age 2–8 years; a TDF dose of 8 mg/kg resulted in TDF exposure similar to that observed in adults receiving a TDF dose of 300 mg [42].

- Jullien V, Treluyer JM, Rey E, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of tenofovir in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients taking highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2005. 49(8):3361-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16048948
- Parikh UM, Zelina S, Sluis-Cremer N, et al. Molecular mechanisms of bidirectional antagonism between K65R and thymidine analog mutations in HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. AIDS, 2007. 21(11):1405-14. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17589186">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17589186</a>
- Brenner BG, Oliveira M, Doualla-Bell F, et al. HIV-1 subtype C viruses rapidly develop K65R resistance to tenofovir in cell culture. AIDS, 2006. 20(9):F9-13. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16816549">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16816549</a>
- 4. Gallant JE, Rodríguez AE, Weinberg WG, et al. Early virologic nonresponse to tenofovir, abacavir, and lamivudine in HIV-infected antiretroviralnaive subjects. *J Infect Dis*, 2005. 192(11):1921-

- 30. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16267763
- Perez-Elias MJ, Moreno S, Gutierrez C, et al. High virological failure rate in HIV patients after switching to a regimen with two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors plus tenofovir. *AIDS*, 2005. 19(7):695-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15821395
- 6. Van Rompay KK, Brignolo LL, Meyer DJ, et al. Biological effects of short-term or prolonged administration of 9-[2- (phosphonomethoxy)propyl]adenine (tenofovir) to newborn and infant rhesus macaques. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2004. 48(5):1469-87. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15105094
- 7. Izzedine H, Isnard-Bagnis C, Hulot JS, et al. Renal safety of tenofovir in HIV treatment-experienced patients. *AIDS*, 2004. 18(7):1074-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15096814
- 8. Gallant JE, Deresinski S. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2003. 37(7):944-50. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13130407
- Padilla S, Gutierrez F, Masia M, et al. Low frequency of renal function impairment during one-year of therapy with tenofovir-containing regimens in the real-world: a case-control study. AIDS Patient Care STDS, 2005. 19(7):421-4. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16053398
- Lanzafame M, Lattuada E, Rapagna F, et al. Tenofovir-associated kidney diseases and interactions between tenofovir and other antiretrovirals. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2006. 42(11):1656-7. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16652329">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16652329</a>
- 11. Scott JD, Wolfe PR, Bolan RK, Guyer B. Serious Renal Impairment Occurs Rarely with Use of Tenofovir DF. *HIV Clin Trials*, 2006. 7(2):55-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16798620
- Gallant JE, DeJesus E, Arribas JR, et al. Tenofovir DF, emtricitabine, and efavirenz vs. zidovudine, lamivudine, and efavirenz for HIV. N Engl J Med, 2006. 354(3):251-60. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16421366">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16421366</a>
- Antoniou T, Raboud J, Chirhin S, et al.
   Incidence of and risk factors for tenofovir-induced nephrotoxicity: a retrospective cohort study. HIV Med, 2005. 6(4):284-90.

   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16011534">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16011534</a>
- 14. Murphy MD, O'Hearn M, Chou S. Fatal lactic acidosis and acute renal failure after addition of

- tenofovir to an antiretroviral regimen containing didanosine. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2003. 36(8):1082-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12684925
- Karras A, Lafaurie M, Furco A, et al. Tenofovirrelated nephrotoxicity in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients: three cases of renal failure, Fanconi syndrome, and nephrogenic diabetes insipidus. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2003. 36(8):1070-3. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12684922">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12684922</a>
- Barrios A, Garcia-Benayas T, Gonzalez-Lahoz J, Soriano V. Tenofovir-related nephrotoxicity in HIV-infected patients. AIDS, 2004. 18(6):960-3. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15060449">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15060449</a>
- 17. Gaspar G, Monereo A, Garcia-Reyne A, de Guzman M. Fanconi syndrome and acute renal failure in a patient treated with tenofovir: a call for caution. *AIDS*, 2004. 18(2):351-2. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15075563">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15075563</a>
- James CW, Steinhaus MC, Szabo S, Dressier RM. Tenofovir-related nephrotoxicity: case report and review of the literature. *Pharmacotherapy*, 2004. 24(3):415-8. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15040657">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15040657</a>
- Peyriere H, Reynes J, Rouanet I, et al. Renal tubular dysfunction associated with tenofovir therapy: report of 7 cases. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2004. 35(3):269-73. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15076241
- Izzedine H, Hulot JS, Villard E, et al. Association between ABCC2 gene haplotypes and tenofovirinduced proximal tubulopathy. *J Infect Dis*, 2006. 194(11):1481-91. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17083032">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17083032</a>
- Gallant JE, Staszeswski S, Pozniak AL, et al. Efficacy and safety of tenofovir DF vs stavudine in combination therapy in antiretroviral-naïve patients. A 3-year randomized trial. *JAMA*, 2004. 292(2):191-201. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15249568
- Gafni RI, Hazra R, Reynolds JC, et al. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and an optimized background regimen of antiretroviral agents as salvage therapy: impact on bone mineral density in HIV-infected children. *Pediatrics*, 2006. 118(3):e711-8.
   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16923923">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16923923</a>
- Kearney BP, Sayre JR, Flaherty JF, et al. Drugdrug and drug-food interactions between tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and didanosine. *J Clin Pharmacol*, 2005. 45(12):1360-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16291710
- 24. Tung MY, Mandalia S, Bower M, et al. The durability of virological success of tenofovir and

- didanosine dosed at either 400 or 250 mg once daily. *HIV Med*, 2005. 6(3):151-4. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15876280
- Negredo E, Molto J, Burger D, et al. Unexpected CD4 cell count decline in patients receiving didanosine and tenofovir-based regimens despite undetectable viral load. AIDS, 2004. 18(3):459-63. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15090798
- Lacombe K, Pacanowski J, Meynard JL, et al. Risk factors for CD4 lymphopenia in patients treated with a tenofovir/didanosine high dosecontaining highly active antiretroviral therapy regimen. AIDS, 2005. 19(10):1107-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15958845
- 27. Torti C, Quiros-Roldon E, Regazzi M, et al. Early virological failure after tenofovir + didanosine + efavirenz combination in HIV-positive patients upon starting antiretroviral therapy. *Antivir Ther*, 2005. 10(4):505-13. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16038476
- 28. Maitland D, Moyle G, Hand J, et al. Early virologic failure in HIV-1 infected subjects on didanosine/tenofovir/efavirenz: 12-week results from a randomized trial. *AIDS*, 2005. 19(11):1183-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15990571
- 29. Gallant J, Staszewski S, Pozniak A, et al. Favorable lipid and mitochondrial (mt) DNA profile for tenofovir isoproxil fumarate (TDF) compared to stavudine (d4T) in combination with lamivudine (3TC0 and efavirenz (EFV) in antiretroviral therapy-naive patients: a 48 week interim analysis. 42<sup>nd</sup> Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy; September 27-30, 2002; San Diego, CA. Abstract LB-2.
- 30. Birkus G, Hitchcock MJ, Cihlar T. Assessment of mitochondrial toxicity in human cells treated with tenofovir: comparison with other nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.*, 2002. 46(3):716-23. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11850253
- 31. Rosso R, Di Biagio A, Ferrazin A, et al. Fatal lactic acidosis and mimicking Guillain-Barre syndrome in an adolescent with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2003. 22(7):668-70. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12886900
- 32. Hazra R, Gafni RI, Maldarelli F, et al. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and an optimized background regimen of antiretroviral agents as salvage therapy for pediatric HIV infection. *Pediatrics*, 2005. 116(6):e846-54. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16291735

- 33. Purdy, et al. Decreased bone mineral density with off-label use of Tenofovir in HIV-infected children and adolescents. *J Peds*, in press.
- 34. Giacomet V, Mora S, Martelli L, Merlo M, et al. A 12-month treatment with tenofovir does not impair bone mineral accrual in HIV-infected children. *JAIDS*, 2005. 40(4):448-50. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16280700">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16280700</a>
- 35. Hussain S, Khayat A, Tolaymat A, Rathore M. Nephrotoxicity in a child with perinatal HIV on tenofovir, didanosine and lopinavir/ritonavir. *Pediatr Nephrol*, 2006. 21(7):1034-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16773419
- Papaleo A, Warszawski J, Salomon R, et al. Increased beta-2 microglobulinuria in human immunodeficiency virus-1-infected children and adolescents treated with tenofovir. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2007. 26(10):949-51. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17901802">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17901802</a>
- Viganò A, Zuccotti GV, Martelli L, et al. Renal safety of tenofovir in HIV-infected children: a prospective, 96-week longitudinal study. *Clin Drug Investig*, 2007. 27(8):573-81.
   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17638398">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17638398</a>
- 38. Vigano A, Aldrovandi GM, Giacomet V, et al. Improvement in dyslipidaemia after switching stavudine to tenofovir and replacing protease inhibitors with efavirenz in HIV-infected children. *Antivir Ther*, 2005. 10(8):917-24. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16430197
- Hazra R, Balis FM, Tullio AN, et al. Single-dose and steady-state pharmacokinetics of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2004. 48(1):124-9. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14693529">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14693529</a>
- Barditch-Crovo P, Deeks SG, Collier A, et al. Phase i/ii trial of the pharmacokinetics, safety, and antiretroviral activity of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in human immunodeficiency virusinfected adults. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2001. 45(10):2733-9. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11557462">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11557462</a>
- 41. Kiser JJ, Fletcher CV, Flynn PM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of antiretroviral regimens containing tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and atazanavir-ritonavir in adolescents and young adults with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2008. 52(2):631-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18025112
- 42. Kearney B, Abadi J, Rosenberg M, et al. Pharmacokinetics of tenofovir DF oral suspension

in HIV-1-infected children between 2 and 8 years of age. 11<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; Feb 8-11, 2004; San Francisco, CA. Abstract 935.

# Zidovudine (ZDV, AZT, Retrovir®)

URL: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/

See Also: Appendix B: Characteristics of Available
Antiretroviral Drugs

#### Overview

Zidovudine (ZDV) was the first NRTI studied in adult and pediatric clinical trials and the first antiretroviral agent approved for treatment of HIV infection. ZDV first received FDA approval for the treatment of HIV infection in adults in 1987. It was approved for use in children ages 3 months to 12 years in May 1990. In September 1997, it was approved as a fixed combination of ZDV/lamivudine (3TC) (Combivir) for adults and adolescents >12 years old. In November 2000, it was approved as a fixed-dose combination of ZDV/3TC/abacavir (ABC) (Trizivir) for adolescents and adults weighing >40 kg. In September 2005, a generic oral formulation of ZDV was approved by the FDA for pediatric use; generic ZDV tablet formulations were also approved. Perinatal trial PACTG 076 established that a ZDV prophylactic regimen given during pregnancy, labor, and to the newborn reduced the risk of perinatal HIV transmission by nearly 70% [1]. ZDV received FDA approval for that indication in August 1994.

ZDV is a thymidine analogue that has its greatest activity in replicating cells. It has good CNS penetration (CSF-to-plasma concentration ratio = 0.68) and is the NRTI of choice when treating children with HIV-related CNS disease [2]. ZDV is metabolized by the liver, primarily by glucuronidation, and then excreted by the kidneys. It is well absorbed in the gut, with an average bioavailability of approximately 60%, and is approximately 35% protein bound. ZDV requires intracellular phosphorylation to become activated. The serum half-life is 1.1 hours and the intracellular half-life is 3 hours.

#### Resistance

The antiretroviral activity of ZDV as monotherapy is limited by emergence of resistance, which generally occurs after months to years of treatment, depending on the patient's disease stage [3]. ZDV resistance is a consequence of a stepwise accumulation of genotypic mutations in the viral RT enzyme, including substitutions at RT gene codons 41, 67, 70, 210, 215, and 219. Resistance mutations were shown to be present in 5 of 17 (29%) newborns born to mothers who received ZDV during pregnancy [4]. The quantity and pattern of mutations influence the level of phenotypic resistance. The codon 184 mutation associated with 3TC resistance is reported to suppress ZDV resistance in vitro; when introduced into the background of a virus containing a ZDV-resistant RT gene, this mutation suppresses the effect of some ZDV resistance mutations [5,6]. A small proportion of patients taking ZDV may develop a multi-drug resistance genotype, leading to cross-resistance to all NRTI drugs [7].

#### Adverse Effects

ZDV is generally well tolerated in children; the major toxicities are macrocytic anemia and neutropenia [8]. Dose reduction and hematopoietic growth factors such as erythropoietin and filgrastim (granulocyte colonystimulating factors [G-CSFs]) have been used to mitigate these toxicities. ZDV has also been associated with reversible myopathy and cardiomyopathy. Other reported toxicities of ZDV include fatigue, headache, and nausea. Lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis, including fatal cases, have been reported with the use of nucleoside analogues alone or in combination, including ZDV.

#### Pediatric Experience

ZDV has been studied in HIV-infected children as monotherapy and in combination with other antiretroviral drugs [2,8-22]. Data from multiple pediatric studies of ZDV alone or in combination with other antiretrovirals demonstrate that ZDV appears safe and is associated with clinical improvement and virologic and immunologic effects [8-14]. ZDV is commonly used in HIV-infected children as a component of a dual NRTI backbone (most often with 3TC, didanosine, or ABC) used as part of HAART.

Recommended neonatal ZDV dosing for prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission is 2 mg/kg orally every 6 hours or 1.5 mg/kg intravenously every 6 hours for those unable to receive oral dosing. Although not FDA approved, twice-daily dosing (4 mg/kg every 12 hours) is sometimes prescribed when concerns about adherence exist, but the efficacy of this approach for prevention of mother-to-child transmission has not been evaluated. Pharmacokinetic studies, such as

PACTG 331, have shown that dose adjustments are necessary for premature infants due to decreased ZDV clearance compared to term newborns of similar postnatal ages [15,16].

Overall, ZDV pharmacokinetics in pediatric patients >3 months of age are similar to those in adult patients. The manufacturer's recommended oral dose in pediatric patients 6 weeks to 12 years of age is 160 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area every 8 hours or 240 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area every 12 hours, in combination with other antiretroviral agents, while the recommended dose for adults is 300 mg twice daily. Recently, mg per kg weight band dosing has also been approved.

- Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. N Engl J Med, 1994. 331(18):1173-80. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654
- Balis FM, Pizzo PA, Murphy RF, et al. The pharmacokinetics of zidovudine administered by continuous infusion in children. *Ann Intern Med*, 1989. 110(4):279-85. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2643914
- Richman DD, Grimes JM, Lagakos SW. Effect of stage of disease and drug dose on zidovudine susceptibilities of isolates of human immunodeficiency virus. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 1990. 3(8):743-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2114476
- 4. Kovacs A, Cowles MK, Britto P, et al. Pharmacokinetics of didanosine and drug resistance mutations in infants exposed to zidovudine during gestation or postnatally and treated with didanosine or zidovudine in the first three months of life. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2005. 24(6):503-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15933559
- Wainberg MA, Drosopoulos WC, Salomon H, et al. Enhanced fidelity of 3TC-selected mutant HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. *Science*, 1996. 271(5253):1282-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8638110
- 6. Nijhuis M, Schuurman R, de Jong D, et al. Lamivudine-resistant human immunodeficiency virus type 1 variants (184V) require multiple amino acid changes to become co-resistant to zidovudine in vivo. *J Infect Dis*, 1997.

- 176(2):398-405. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9237704
- de Jong JJ, Goudsmit J, Lukashov VV, et al. Insertion of two amino acids combined with changes in reverse transcriptase containing tyrosine-215 of HIV-1 resistant to multiple nucleoside analogs. AIDS, 1999. 13(1):75-80. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10207547
- McKinney RE, Maha MA, Connor EM, et al. A multicenter trial of oral zidovudine in children with advanced human immunodeficiency virus disease. The Protocol 043 Study Group. N Engl J Med, 1991. 324(15):1018-25.
   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1672443">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1672443</a>
- Pizzo PA, Eddy J, Falloon J, et al. Effect of continuous intravenous infusion of zidovudine (AZT) in children with symptomatic HIV infection. N Engl J Med, 1988. 319(14):889-96. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3166511
- McKinney RE Jr, Johnson GM, Stanley K, et al. A randomized study of combined zidovudinelamivudine versus didanosine monotherapy in children with symptomatic therapy-naive HIV-1 infection. The Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 300 Study Team. *J Pediatr*, 1998. 133(4):500-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9787687
- 11. Englund JA, Baker CJ, Raskino C, et al. Zidovudine, didanosine, or both as the initial treatment for symptomatic HIV-infected children. AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) Study 152 Team. *N Engl J Med*, 1997. 336(24):1704-12. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9182213
- Luzuriaga K, Bryson Y, Krogstad P, et al. Combination treatment with zidovudine, didanosine, and nevirapine in infants with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. N Engl J Med, 1997. 336(19):1343-9. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9134874">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9134874</a>
- 13. Saez-Llorens X, Nelson RP Jr, Emmanuel P, et al. A randomized, double-blind study of triple nucleoside therapy of abacavir, lamivudine, and zidovudine versus lamivudine and zidovudine in previously treated human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children. The CNAA3006 Study Team. *Pediatrics*, 2001. 107(1):E4. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11134468
- 14. Jankelevich S, Mueller BU, Mackall CL, et al. Long-term virologic and immunologic responses in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children treated with indinavir, zidovudine, and lamivudine. *J Infect Dis*, 2001. 183(7):1116-20. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11237839

- 15. Capparelli EV, Mirochnick M, Dankner WM, et al. Pharmacokinetics and tolerance of zidovudine in preterm infants. *J Pediatr*, 2003. 142(1):47-52. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12520254">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12520254</a>
- King JR, Kimberlin DW, Aldrovandi GM, Acosta EP. Antiretroviral pharmacokinetics in the paediatric population: a review. *Clin Pharmacokinet.*, 2002. 41(14):1115-33. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12405863">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12405863</a>
- 17. van Rossum AM, Geelen SP, Hartwig NG, et al. Results of 2 years of treatment with protease-inhibitor--containing antiretroviral therapy in dutch children infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2002. 34(7):1008-16. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11880968
- Palacios GC, Palafox VL, Alvarez-Munoz MT, et al. Response to two consecutive protease inhibitor combination therapy regimens in a cohort of HIV-1-infected children. *Scand J Infect Dis*, 2002. 34(1):41-4. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11874163">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11874163</a>
- Nachman SA, Stanley K, Yogev R, et al. Nucleoside analogs plus ritonavir in stable antiretroviral therapy-experienced HIV-infected children: a randomized controlled trial. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 338 Study Team. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2000. 283(4):492-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10659875
- Mueller BU, Nelson RPJr, Sleasman J, et al. A phase I/II study of the protease inhibitor ritonavir in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatrics*, 1998. 101(3 Pt 1):335-43. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9480994
- Mueller BU, Sleasman J, Nelson RP, et al. A phase I/II study of the protease inhibitor indinavir in children with HIV infection.
   Pediatrics, 1998. 102(1 Pt 1):101-9.

   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9651421">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9651421</a>
- 22. Chadwick EG, Rodman JH, Britto P, et al. Ritonavir-based highly active antiretroviral therapy in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected infants younger than 24 months of age. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2005. 24(9):793-800. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16148846
- 23. Sharland M, Blanche S, Castelli G, et al. PENTA guidelines for the use of antiretroviral therapy, 2004. *HIV Med*, 2004. 5(Suppl 2):61-86. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15239717

# Non-Nucleoside Analogue Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

Efavirenz (DMP-266, EFV, Sustiva<sup>TM</sup>)

 $URL: \underline{http://www.access data.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugs} \\ atfda/$ 

See Also: <u>Appendix B: Characteristics of Available</u> <u>Antiretroviral Drugs</u>

#### Overview

Efavirenz (EFV) was approved in September 1998 for adults, adolescents, and children >3 years of age. Like the PIs, EFV is metabolized via the CYP450 pathway (primarily CYP2B6 and CYP3A4) with polymorphic metabolism associated with CYP2B6 genotype [1,2]. EFV has been shown to induce its own metabolism, to be an inducer of CYP450 and glucuronidation isoenzymes, and also to have some minimal inhibitory effects on CYP450 isoenzymes. Therefore, concentrations of concomitant drugs can be decreased or less frequently increased depending on the specific enzyme pathway involved. In addition, concomitantly administered medications that induce or inhibit CYP450 isoenzymes may affect the plasma concentrations of EFV. EFV is highly protein bound (>99%), and may therefore interact with other highly protein bound drugs, such as phenytoin.

#### Resistance

EFV, like other NNRTIs, has a low genetic barrier to resistance, with high-level resistance seen with a single mutation (lysine to asparagine), typically RT gene codon 103. Other known mutations conferring phenotypic resistance include those at codons 100, 108, or 225. Cross-resistance to EFV is likely with delavirdine-resistant virus and in some cases with nevirapine (NVP)-resistant virus; the extent of cross-resistance varies depending on which genotypic mutations are present.

#### Adverse Effects

The toxicity profile for EFV differs for adults and children. In adults, a CNS complex of confusion, agitation, sleep disturbance, nightmares, hallucinations, or other symptoms has been reported in >50% of patients [3]. These symptoms usually occur early in treatment and rarely require drug discontinuation. Bedtime dosing, particularly during the first several weeks of therapy, appears to decrease the occurrence and severity of this side effect. In some patients, the symptoms may persist or occur months after first initiating EFV. In several studies, the incidence of such side effects was correlated with EFV plasma

concentrations and occurred more frequently in patients with higher concentrations [4-7]. In patients with pre-existing psychiatric conditions, EFV should be used cautiously for initial therapy. Adverse CNS effects occurred in 14% of children receiving EFV in clinical studies [8]. The principal side effect of EFV in children is rash, which was seen in up to 40% of children, compared to 27% of adults. The rash is usually maculopapular, pruritic, and mild to moderate in severity and rarely requires drug discontinuation. Onset is typically in the first 2 weeks of treatment 181. Although severe rash and Stevens-Johnson syndrome have been reported, this is rare. Other reported adverse events in adults and children include diarrhea, nausea, and increased transaminases. There are insufficient data to recommend substituting NVP for EFV following either rash or hepatotoxicity [9].

In cynomolgus monkeys, prenatal EFV exposure has been associated with congenital CNS abnormalities in infant monkeys. Based on these data and retrospective reports in humans of an unusual pattern of severe CNS defects in four infants after firsttrimester exposure to EFV-containing regimens (three meningomyeloceles and one Dandy-Walker malformation), EFV has been classified as FDA Pregnancy Class D (positive evidence of human fetal risk) [10]. EFV use in the first trimester of pregnancy should be avoided, and adult and adolescent women of childbearing potential should undergo pregnancy testing as well as counseling about the risk to the fetus and the need to avoid pregnancy before initiating EFV therapy (see Public Health Service Task Force Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant HIV-1-Infected Women for Maternal Health and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV-1 Transmission in the United States [11].

### Pediatric Experience

EFV has been studied in HIV-infected children in combination with NRTIs or with NRTIs and a PI (nelfinavir [NFV] or lopinavir/ritonavir [LPV/RTV]) [8,12-25]. An open label study (PACTG 382) of EFV combined with NFV and 1 or 2 NRTIs was performed in 57 NNRTI- and PI-naïve pediatric patients, some as young as 3 years of age [8]. In an intent-to-treat analysis, 76% of children had plasma HIV RNA concentrations <400 copies/mL and 63% had HIV RNA concentrations <50 copies/mL at 48 weeks of therapy. The median times to achieve those concentrations were 4 and 20 weeks, respectively.

Therefore, children with detectable HIV RNA (>50 copies/mL by the ultra-sensitive RNA assay) after 1 month of therapy continued to accrue some virologic benefit through 5 months of treatment with this regimen [12]. Long-term virologic suppression with once-daily EFV therapy in combination with FTC and ddI was reported in 37 treatment-naïve children, 3–18 years of age, participating in PACTG 1021 [23]. Eighty-five percent of subjects were able to achieve HIV-RNA <400 copies/mL and 72% maintained HIV-RNA suppression <50 copies/mL through 96 weeks of therapy.

A study of a liquid formulation of EFV in 19 HIVinfected children 3-9 years of age has been reported [13]. Studies in adult volunteers indicated that bioavailability of EFV liquid is 20% lower than that of the capsules; therefore, the initial dose of EFV liquid formulation was 20% higher than that used for EFV capsules in the earlier pediatric study (PACTG 382). The higher dose of EFV liquid formulation resulted in pharmacokinetic AUC values that were similar to those observed with EFV capsules. Antiviral effects were similar in children receiving either the liquid or the capsule EFV formulation. Limited pharmacokinetic data in children <3 years of age or who weigh <13 kg have shown that it is difficult to achieve target trough concentrations in this age group and EFV is not recommended for use in children <3 years of age at this time. Additional studies are required to determine the appropriate dose of EFV in young children.

Long-term HIV RNA suppression has been associated with maintenance of trough EFV concentrarations >1 mcg/mL in adults [7]. Early HIV RNA suppression in children has also been seen with higher drug concentrations, with EFV troughs of 1.9 mcg/mL seen in subjects with HIV RNA ≤400 copies/mL versus EFV troughs of 1.3 mcg/mL in subjects with detectible virus (>400 copies/mL) [25]. Even with the use of FDA-approved pediatric dosing, EFV concentrations can be suboptimal [26], so that some experts recommend therapeutic drug monitoring when using EFV, especially in select clinical situations such as virologic rebound or lack of response in an adherent patient.

EFV should be used with caution in adolescent women of childbearing age because of the risk for teratogenicity should EFV be taken during the first trimester, prior to recognition of pregnancy. Many clinicians choose alternative drugs for use in sexually

active adolescent women in whom contraception is erratic and the risk of unintended pregnancy is high.

- Haas DW, Smeaton LM, Shafer RW, et al. Pharmacogenetics of long-term responses to antiretroviral regimens containing efavirenz and/or nelfinavir: an Adult AIDS Clinical Trials Group study. *J Infect Dis*, 2005. 192(11):1931-42. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16267764
- Saitoh A, Fletcher CV, Brundage R, et al. Efavirenz pharmacokinetics in HIV-1-infected children are associated with CYP2B6-G516T polymorphism. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2007. 45(3):280-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17356468
- Staszewski S, Morales-Ramirez J, Tashima, KT, et al. Efavirenz plus zidovudine and lamivudine, efavirenz plus indinavir, and indinavir plus zidovudine and lamivudine in the treatment of HIV-1 infection in adults. Study 006 Team. *N Engl J Med*, 1999. 341(25):1865-73. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10601505
- 4. Zugar A. Studies disagree on frequency of late CNS side effects from efavirenz. *AIDS Clin Care*, 2006. 4(1).
- Treisman GJ, Kaplina AI. Neurologic and psychiatric complications of antiretroviral agents. AIDS, 2002. 16(9):1201-15. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12045485
- Gutierrez F, Navarro A, Padilla S. et al. Prediction of neuropsychiatric adverse events associated with long-term efavirenz therapy, using plasma drug level monitoring. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2005. 41(11):1648-53. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16267739
- Marzolini C, Telenti A, Decosterd LA, et al. Efavirenz plasma levels can predict treatment failure and central nervous system side effects in HIV-1-infected patients. AIDS, 2001. 15(1):71-5. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11192870">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11192870</a>
- 8. Starr SE, Fletcher CV, Spector SA, et al. Combination therapy with efavirenz, nelfinavir, and nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors in children infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 382 Team. *N Engl J Med*, 1999. 341(25):1874-81. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10601506
- 9. Mehta U, Maartens G. Is it safe to switch between efavirenz and nevirapine in the event of

- toxicity? *Lancet Infect Dis*, 2007. 7(11):733-8. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17961859">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17961859</a>
- Saitoh A, Hull AD, Franklin P, et al. Myelomeningocele in an infant with intrauterine exposure to efavirenz. *J Perinatol*, 2005. 25(8):555-6. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16047034">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16047034</a>
- 11. Public Health Service Task Force
  Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs
  in Pregnant HIV-1-Infected Women for Maternal
  Health and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV1 Transmission in the United States February 24,
  2005. Available at: <a href="http://AIDSinfo.nih.gov">http://AIDSinfo.nih.gov</a>.
- 12. Spector SA, Yong FH, Cabral S, et al. Patterns of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA response to highly active antiretroviral therapy in infected children. *J Infect Dis*, 2000. 182(6):1769-73. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11069252
- 13. Starr SE, Fletcher CV, Spector SA, et al. Efavirenz liquid formulation in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2002. 21(7):659-63. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12237599">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12237599</a>
- 14. Teglas JP, Quartier P, Treluyer JM, et al. Tolerance of efavirenz in children. *AIDS*, 2001. 15(2):241-3. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11216933">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11216933</a>
- McComsey G, Bhumbra N, Ma JF, et al. Impact of protease inhibitor substitution with efavirenz in HIV-infected children: results of the First Pediatric Switch Study. *Pediatrics*, 2003. 111(3):e275-81. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12612284">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12612284</a>
- Brundage RC, Yong FH, Fenton T, et al.
   Intrapatient variability of efavirenz concentrations as a predictor of virologic response to antiretroviral therapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2004. 48(3):979-84.
   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14982792">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14982792</a>
- 17. King JR, Yogev R, Aldrovandi G, et al. Pharmacokinetics of antiretrovirals administered to HIV-infected children via gastrostomy tube. *HIV Clin Trials*, 2004. 5(5):288-93. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15562369
- 18. Fraaij PL, Neubert J, Bergshoeff AS, et al. Safety and efficacy of a NRTI-sparing HAART regimen of efavirenz and lopinavir/ritonavir in HIV-1-infected children. *Antivir Ther*, 2004. 9(2):297-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15134193
- 19. Wintergerst U, Engelhorn C, Kurowski M, et al. Pharmacokinetic interaction of amprenavir in combination with efavirenz or delavirdine in HIV-infected children. *AIDS*, 2000. 14(12):1866-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10985332

- 20. Chaix ML, Rouet F, Kouakoussui KA, et al. Genotypic human immunodeficiency virus type 1 drug resistance in highly active antiretroviral therapy-treated children in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2005. 24(12):1072-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16371868
- Vigano A, Aldrovandi GM, Giacomet V, et al. Improvement in dyslipidaemia after switching stavudine to tenofovir and replacing protease inhibitors with efavirenz in HIV-infected children. Antivir Ther, 2005. 10(8):917-24. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16430197">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16430197</a>
- 22. Funk MB, Notheis G, Schuster T, et al. Effect of first line therapy including efavirenz and two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors in HIV-infected children. *Eur J Med Res*, 2005. 10(12):503-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16356864
- 23. McKinney RE Jr, Rodman J, Hu C, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of a once-daily regimen of emtricitabine, didanosine, and efavirenz in HIV-infected, therapy-naive children and adolescents: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol P1021. *Pediatrics*, 2007. 120(2):e416-23. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17646352">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17646352</a>
- Scherpbier HJ, Bekker V, Pajkrt D, et al. Oncedaily highly active antiretroviral therapy for HIV-infected children: safety and efficacy of an efavirenz-containing regimen. *Pediatrics*, 2007. 119(3):e705-15. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17308244
- Fletcher C, Brundage R, Fenton T, et al. Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Efavirenz and Nelfinavir in HIV-infected Children Participating in an Area-under-thecurve Controlled Trial. *Mol Ther*, 2008. 83(2):300-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17609682
- 26. Ren Y, Nuttall JJ, Egbers C, et al. High prevalence of subtherapeutic plasma concentrations of efavirenz in children. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2007. 45(2):133-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17417100

#### Etravirine (ETR. INTELENCE<sup>TM</sup>, TMC125)

URL: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugs">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugs</a> atfda/

See Also: <u>Appendix B: Characteristics of Available</u> <u>Antiretroviral Drugs</u>

#### Overview

Etravirine (ETR) recently received FDA approval for use in combination with other antiretroviral agents in treatment-experienced adult patients who have resistance to efavirenz (EFV) and/or nevirapine (NVP). In patients with a history of virologic failure on an NNRTI-containing regimen, ETR should not be used in combination with only NtRTIs. There are insufficient data to recommend the use of ETR in pediatric patients or treatment-naïve adult patients.

ETR is an inducer of CYP3A4 and inhibitor of CYP2C9 and CYP2C19, and there are many potential drug interactions including with other ARVs. ETR should not be coadministered with other NNRTI drugs; unboosted PIs; RTV alone; or the following boosted PIs: tipranavir (TPV)/ritonavir (RTV), fosamprenavir (f-APV)/RTV, or atazanavir (ATV)/RTV.

Systemic exposure (AUC) is decreased by about 50% when taken on an empty stomach, as compared to administration following a meal. ETR should always be taken following a meal.

#### Resistance

The presence of the K103N resistance mutation associated with NVP and EFV resistance did not affect the response rate to ETR in clinical trials. The presence at baseline of three or more 3 IAS-USA-defined NNRTI substitutions results in decreased virologic response (manufacturer's prescribing information). The following baseline substitutions are associated with decreased virologic response to ETR: V179D, V179F, V179T, Y181V, and G190S.

#### Adverse Effects

The most common side effects associated with ETR include nausea and rash. Rash is generally mild to moderate, occurring primarily in the second week of therapy. Rash generally resolves after 1–2 weeks on continued therapy. Patients with a history of NNRTI-related rash do not appear to be at increased risk of developing rash with ETR. Severe rash including Stevens-Johnson syndrome, hypersensitivity reaction, and erythema multiforme occurred in <0.1% of patients during clinical trials. Treatment should be discontinued if severe rash develops.

#### Pediatric Experience

The pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy of etravirine in pediatric patients have not been established. Pediatric trials are under way.

## Nevirapine (NVP, Viramune®)

URL: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/

See Also: Appendix B: Characteristics of Available
Antiretroviral Drugs
(Revised July 29, 2008)

#### Overview

Nevirapine (NVP) is approved for chronic therapy in children ≥15 days old. NVP is a dipyridodiazepinone derivative NNRTI that binds directly to the HIV-1 RT enzyme; RT inhibition is specific to HIV-1, and the drug has no activity against other retroviruses, including HIV-2. NVP does not inhibit any of the human cellular DNA polymerases.

NVP is highly lipophilic and widely distributed in the body; CSF- to-plasma concentration ratio is approximately 0.45. NVP undergoes extensive hepatic metabolism by way of hepatic CYP450 metabolic enzymes, which NVP itself induces. During the course of the first 2 weeks of administration, plasma clearance increases as half-life decreases. NVP clearance in children is greater than in adults, and clearance in children <9 years of age is greater than in older children [1]. Polymorphisms in the CYP450 2B6 gene have been shown to influence NVP plasma concentrations in HIV-infected adults [2].

Due to induction of CYP450 hepatic enzymes, concomitantly administered medications that induce or inhibit CYP450 enzymes may affect the plasma concentration of NVP. Medications that undergo hepatic metabolism by CYP450 enzymes may have concentrations increased or decreased by concomitant NVP administration.

#### Resistance

NVP has potent antiviral activity, but drug resistance develops rapidly when NVP is administered as monotherapy [3,4]. High-level resistance has been associated with a single point mutation at codon 103, 106, 108, 181, or 188 in the RT gene, with a mutation at codon 181 being the most common [5,6]. Mutations associated with resistance to NVP can confer cross-resistance to other NNRTIs. HIV

subtype B viruses that contain the K103N mutation as opposed to the Y181C mutation may differ in their cross-resistance to EFV [7,8]. Viruses with the Y181C mutation alone have little resistance to EFV (although Y181C can enhance the level of resistance of viruses containing additional NVP mutations), whereas viruses with the single K103N mutation are cross-resistant to other NNRTIs [9]. Genotypic mutations associated with viral resistance to NVP typically occur within 1 to 6 weeks after initiation of NVP in situations where viral production is not effectively controlled [3,4]. With the exception of the use of the two-dose intrapartum/newborn NVP prophylaxis regimen to reduce perinatal HIV transmission in resource-limited settings or late presenters [10], NVP should only be used in combination with other antiretroviral drugs.

The most common adverse events reported in adults

#### Adverse Effects

include skin rashes, elevation of serum transaminases, headache, nausea, and fever [11-13]. In initial clinical trials of NVP treatment in HIV-infected children, rash was observed in 24% [14]. When a 2-week lower dose "lead-in" period was used, the incidence of rash was decreased [1]. In a study of 4-drug therapy including NVP (given with 2-week lower dose lead-in), rash was observed in only 6% of children [15]. Granulocytopenia was the second most frequent adverse event, seen in 16% of children, but it should be noted the children were also receiving zidovudine (ZDV), a known cause of granulocytopenia [1]. In a retrospective analysis of 74 children treated with NVP in the United Kingdom, 20% developed rash despite a 2-week lower dose lead-in period, although some children in this study received doses higher than those currently recommended [16]. However, only 4 children required cessation of treatment due to rash. By comparison, in one antiretroviral trial of infants and young children, only 3 of 52 (6%) infants developed grade 2 or greater rash [15]. Similarly, of 57 children initiating NVP-based therapy in a program in Haiti, only 2 children developed rash requiring

Skin rash typically presents in the first 28 days after initiating therapy and in rare cases has progressed to Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis, a severe skin rash accompanied by hypersensitivity reactions (characterized by rash; constitutional symptoms such as fever, arthralgia, myalgia, and lymphadenopathy; and visceral involvement such as hepatitis, eosinophilia, granulocytopenia, and renal dysfunction) or death. NVP should be permanently

discontinuation of therapy [17].

discontinued and not restarted if severe rash or rash with constitutional findings occurs. Most experts suggest avoidance of using EFV to substitute for NVP in patients with a history of severe rash, rash with constitutional findings, or Stevens-Johnson syndrome with NVP. However, for patients with less severe rash, EFV may be used with caution [18]. Patients with a history of NNRTI-related rash do not appear to be at increased risk of developing rash with etravirine.

Patients experiencing rash during the 2-week lead-in period should not have their NVP dose increased until the rash has resolved. The risk of developing resistance with extended lead-in dosing is unknown and of concern and must be weighed against the patient's overall tolerability of the regimen and the current antiviral response.

Liver function abnormalities and clinical hepatitis have been associated with NVP use. In HIV-infected adults treated with NVP, severe, life-threatening, and in some cases fatal hepatotoxicity, including fulminant and cholestatic hepatitis, hepatic necrosis, and hepatic failure, have been reported. In HIVinfected adults, risk factors for hepatic toxicity include elevated baseline serum transaminases, hepatitis B or C infection, female gender, and higher CD4 cell counts (particularly women with CD4 cell count >250 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> and men with CD4 cell count >400 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>) [12,13,19]. However, although serious liver dysfunction can occur, it appears much less common in pediatric patients receiving NVP therapy than in adults, and symptomatic hepatic events have not been reported in infants or mothers receiving single-dose NVP regimens for prevention of perinatal HIV infection [13].

The majority of cases of hepatic dysfunction in adults have occurred during the first 12 weeks of NVP therapy, and frequent and intensive clinical and laboratory monitoring, including liver function tests, is important during this time period. However, about one-third of cases occurred after 12 weeks of treatment, so continued periodic monitoring of liver function tests is needed. In some cases, patients presented with nonspecific prodromal signs or symptoms of hepatitis and rapidly progressed to hepatic failure and, in some cases, death; patients with symptoms or signs of hepatitis should have liver function tests performed. NVP should be permanently discontinued and not restarted in patients who develop clinical hepatitis. The safety of

EFV substitution for NVP in patients who experienced NVP hepatotoxicity is unknown; EFV use in this situation has been well tolerated in the limited number (N=11) of patients that have been reported [18].

#### Pediatric Experience

NVP has been studied in HIV-infected children in combination with NRTIs or with NRTIs and a PI [1,15,16,20-25]. Combination therapy with NVP, ZDV, and didanosine (ddI) in young infected infants was associated with sustained viral suppression in a small number of children [20]. A recent description of 15 infants initiating NVP-based antiretroviral treatment prior to 66 days of life in Belgium reported that complete viral suppression (<400 copies/ml) was achieved in 11 (73%) infants [26]. A larger study, PACTG 356, treated infants and young children with 3-different NVP-containing regimens: ZDV/lamivudine (3TC)/NVP, ZDV/3TC/abacavir (ABC)/NVP, or ZDV/3TC/NVP/nelfinavir (NFV) [15]. Twenty-four percent of 17 infants treated with the 3 drug regimen had viral suppression to <400 copies/mL HIV RNA, compared with 10 of 17 (41%) and 15 of 18 (83%), respectively, of those treated with 4 drugs. Children who started therapy prior to 3 months of age had a better virologic outcome compared with those starting at an older age (3.5–24 months). PACTG 377 randomized 181 PI- and NNRTI-naïve mild to moderately immune suppressed children to 1 of 4 combination treatment regimens. All of the regimens contained stavudine (d4T) and a PI (either ritonavir [RTV] or NFV); 3 of the 4 regimens also included NVP as part of combination therapy. Children in the NVP-containing arms experienced moderate or worse skin rash more frequently than those not receiving NVP. Those children receiving a 4-drug regimen containing both NVP and a PI had a significantly greater increase in CD4 cell count from baseline to week 24 then those receiving other regimens [21]. A recent study of 212 children in Cambodia, 82% of whom received NVP and 18% EFV-containing HAART, reported 156 of 212 (73.6%) having undetectable viral load (<400 copies/mL) after 12 months of treatment in an intention-to-treat analysis. Only 2 children switched regimens due to intolerability to NVP [25]. In PACTG 403, 41 children with prior NRTI experience were randomized to receive d4T/NFV/NVP or ddI/NFV/RTV. After 48 weeks of therapy, only 28% (5/18) of those still on the NVPinclusive regimen had viral suppression to <400 copies/mL compared with 65% (11/17) of children on the RTV-based treatment. The changes in CD4% as well as the rates of toxicities were similar for both

regimens. Three children developed NVP-related rashes leading to discontinuation of study treatment [24].

The efficacy of NVP-based antiretroviral therapy in infants and children previously exposed to singledose NVP (SD-NVP) for prevention of mother-tochild transmission (PMTCT) is under study. In a small, nonrandomized study in Botswana, 6-month virologic and immunologic responses were compared between 15 SD-NVP exposed and 15 unexposed infants in follow-up from a PMTCT study who initiated NVP-based antiretroviral treatment at a mean age of 8 months (range 2–33 months) [27]. Only 34% of those with a history of exposure had an undetectable viral load (<400 copies/mL) compared with 91% of the unexposed cohort. CD4% was also significantly lower in the exposed group compared to the unexposed group, 23% versus 31%, respectively. In contrast, in a study in Uganda, in which children with SD-NVP exposure started NVP-based treatment at an older age of 1.6 years, there was no difference in response to therapy between children with and without prior SD NVP exposure [28]. A large randomized clinical trial, P1060, is designed to address the impact of NVP exposure during PMTCT on the efficacy of NVP-based therapy in infants 6 months to 3 years of age.

Body surface area has traditionally been used to guide NVP dosing for infants and young children, with dosing recommended at 150 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area every 12 hours, at a maximum of 200 mg per dose. Younger children (e.g., age  $\leq$ 8 years) may require a higher dosage (i.e., 200 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily) [20,22].

- 1. Luzuriaga K, Bryson Y, McSherry G, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and activity of nevirapine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children. *J Infect Dis*, 1996. 174(4):713-21. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8843207
- Rotger M, Colombo S, Furrer H, et al. Influence of CYP2B6 polymorphism on plasma and intracellular concentrations and toxicity of efavirenz and nevirapine in HIV-infected patients. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*, 2005. 15(1):1-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15864119
- 3. Havlir D, Cheeseman SH, McLaughlin M, et al. High-dose nevirapine: safety, pharmacokinetics,

- and antiviral effect in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *J Infect Dis*, 1995. 171(3):537-45. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7533197">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7533197</a>
- Havlir DV, Eastman S, Gamst A, Richman DD. Nevirapine-resistant human immunodeficiency virus: kinetics of replication and estimated prevalence in untreated patients. *Journal of Virology*, 1996. 70(11):7894-9. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8892912">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8892912</a>
- Richman DD, Havlir D, Corbeil J. Nevirapine resistance mutations of HIV selected during therapy. *J Virol*, 1994. 68(3):1660-6. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7509000">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7509000</a>
- Hanna GJ, Johnson VA, Kuritzkes DR, et al.
   Patterns of resistance mutations selected by
   treatment of human immunodeficiency virus type 1
   infection with zidovudine, didanosine, and
   nevirapine. J Infect Dis, 2000. 181(3):904-11.
   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10720511">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10720511</a>
- Casado JL, Hertogs K, Ruiz L, et al. Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor resistance among patients failing a nevirapine plus protease inhibitorcontaining regimen. AIDS, 2000. 14(2):F1-7. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10708276">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10708276</a>
- 8. Grappin M, Piroth L, Kohli E, et al. Incomplete genotypic resistance to nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors in patients treated with nevirapine: a potential interest in clinical practice. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2000. 25(5):464-5. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11141247">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11141247</a>
- Bacheler L, Jeffrey S, Hanna G, et al. Genotypic correlates of phenotypic resistance to efavirenz in virus isolates from patients failing nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor therapy. *J Virol*, 2001. 75(11):4999-5008. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11333879">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11333879</a>
- Guay LA, Musoke P, Fleming T, et al. Intrapartum and neonatal single-dose nevirapine compared with zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Kampala, Uganda: HIVNET 012 randomised trial. *Lancet*, 1999. 354(9181):795-802. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10485720
- 11. Murphy RF. Nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *AIDS Clin Care*, 1997. 9(10):75-7, 79. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11364757">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11364757</a>
- 12. Stern JO, Robinson PA, Love J, et al. A comprehensive hepatic safety analysis of nevirapine in different populations of HIV infected patients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2003. 34(Suppl 1):S21-33. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14562855">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14562855</a>

- 13. Baylor M, Ayime O, Truffa M, et al. Hepatotoxicity associated with nevirapine use in HIV-infected children. 12<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retrovirues and Opportunistic Infections; February 22-25, 2005; Boston, MA. Abstract 776.
- Bardsley-Elliot A, Perry CM. Nevirapine: a review of its use in the prevention and treatment of paediatric HIV infection. *Paediatr Drugs*, 2000. 2(5):373-407. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11022799">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11022799</a>
- Luzuriaga K, McManus M, Mofenson L, et al. A trial of three antiretroviral regimens in HIV-1-infected children. *N Engl J Med*, 2004. 350(24):2471-80. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15190139
- Verweel G, Sharland M, Lyall H, et al. Nevirapine use in HIV-1-infected children. AIDS, 2003. 17(11):1639-47. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12853746">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12853746</a>
- 17. George E, Noël F, Bois G, et al. Antiretroviral therapy for HIV-1-infected children in Haiti. *J Infect Dis*, 2007. 195(10):1411-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17436220
- 18. Mehta U, Maartens G. Is it safe to switch between efavirenz and nevirapine in the event of toxicity? *Lancet Infect Dis*, 2007. 7(11):733-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17961859
- Boehringer-Ingelheim. Dear Health Care Professional Letter. "Clarification of risk factors for severe, life-threatening and fatal hepatotoxicity with VIRAMMUNE (nevirapine). Boehringer-Ingelheim. Feb 2004.
- Luzuriaga K, Bryson Y, Krogstad P, et al. Combination treatment with zidovudine, didanosine, and nevirapine in infants with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *N Engl J Med*, 1997. 336(19):1343-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9134874
- 21. Wiznia A, Stanley K, Krogstad P, et al. Combination nucleoside analog reverse transcriptase inhibitor(s) plus nevirapine, nelfinavir, or ritonavir in stable antiretroviral therapy- experienced HIV-infected children: week 24 results of a randomized controlled trial-PACTG 377. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 377 Study Team. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*, 2000. 16(12):1113-21. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10954886
- 22. Mirochnick M, Clarke DF, Dorenbaum A. Nevirapine: pharmacokinetic considerations in children and pregnant women. *Clin*

Pharmacokinet., 2000. 39(4):281-93. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11069214

- 23. Krogstad P, Lee S, Johnson G, et al; Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 377 Study Team. Nucleoside-analogue reverse-transcriptase inhibitors plus nevirapine, nelfinavir, or ritonavir for pretreated children infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Clin Infect Dis, 2002. 34(7):991-1001. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11880966
- 24. King JR, Nachman S, Yogev R, et al. Efficacy, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of two Nelfinavirbased regimens in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children and adolescents: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 403. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2005. 24(10):880-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16220085
- Janssens B, Raleigh B, Soeung S, et al.
   Effectiveness of highly active antiretroviral therapy
  in HIV-positive children: evaluation at 12 months
  in a routine program in Cambodia. *Pediatrics*,
  2007. 120(5):e1134-40.
   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17954553">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17954553</a>
- Van der Linden D, Hainaut M, Goetghebuer T, et al. Effectiveness of early initiation of protease inhibitor-sparing antiretroviral regimen in human immunodeficiency virus-1 vertically infected infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2007. 26(4):359-61. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17414406
- Lockman S, Shapiro RL, Smeaton LM, et al. Response to antiretroviral therapy after a single, peripartum dose of nevirapine. N Engl J Med, 2007. 356(2):135-47. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17215531">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17215531</a>
- 28. Barlow-Mosha L, Ajunua P, Mubiru M, et al. Early effectiveness of a NVP-based HAART regimen among HIV-infected children with and without prior single-dose NPV exposure. 15<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 3-6, 2008; Boston, MA. Abstract 583.

#### **Protease Inhibitors**

# Atazanavir (ATV, Reyataz<sup>TM</sup>)

URL: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/</a>

See Also: <u>Appendix B: Characteristics of Available</u> <u>Antiretroviral Drugs</u>

(Revised July 29, 2008)

#### Overview

Atazanavir (ATV) was approved in June 2003 for treatment of HIV infection in individuals >16 years of age. The recommended adult dose is 400 mg once a day for treatment-naïve adults, and 300 mg with 100 mg ritonavir (RTV) for treatment-experienced individuals [1].

In March 2008, ATV was approved for use in children 6–18 years of age. The recommendations include dosing of ATV with RTV boosting for treatment-experienced or treatment-naïve patients 6–18 years of age. In addition, ATV without boosting was recommended as an alternative PI in treatment-naïve patients ≥13 years of age and >39 kg. The safety and effectiveness of ATV, with and without RTV-boosting, in pediatric patients up to age 21 years continues to be studied in an ongoing clinical trial (P1020A).

ACTG 5175 was a trial in antiretroviral-naïve adults that compared unboosted ATV plus the dual NRTI combination of enteric-coated didanosine (EC ddI) and emtricitabine (FTC) given once daily to efavirenz (EFV) plus the dual NRTI zidovudine/lamivudine given twice daily or EFV plus the dual NRTI tenofovir/FTC given once daily. At an interim analysis, the Data and Safety Monitoring Board for this trial recommended that subjects randomized to the ATV arm be unblinded and switched to an alternative regimen because of inferior virologic response compared to the other two regimens [2]. If using unboosted ATV in treatmentnaïve patients, clinicians should consider using an alternative dual NRTI combination to EC ddI/FTC. If these agents are to be used in combination. patients should be instructed to take them at least 2 hours apart and to take ATV with food and EC ddI on an empty stomach.

ATV is an azapeptide aspartyl PI that differs structurally from other approved peptidomimetic PIs (C-2 symmetric chemical structure). ATV is rapidly absorbed following oral administration and should be administered with food to increase bioavailability and reduce pharmacokinetic variability. Administration with a light meal resulted in a 70% increase in systemic ATV exposure (AUC) and a 57% increase in peak concentrations relative to the fasting state, and administration with a high-fat meal resulted in a mean increase in AUC of 35% and no change in peak concentrations relative to the fasting state. Gastric acid suppression (antacids, H<sub>2</sub> blockers, proton-pump inhibitors, etc.) reduces the bioavailability of ATV [3]. Current prescribing information contains very specific dosing recommendations when H2-receptor antagonists or proton-pump inhibitors are administered with boosted ATV; proton-pump inhibitors are not recommended in treatment-experienced patients [1,3-7]. ATV is extensively metabolized via the hepatic CYP3A enzyme pathway and is primarily excreted in the feces in the form of metabolites. The median halflife in adults is 6.5 hours, allowing once-daily administration. Passage into CSF is limited; in a multiple-dose study in HIV-infected patients, the CSFto-plasma ratio for ATV ranged between 0.0021-0.0026. ATV is a potent inhibitor of the energydependent adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette drug efflux pumps (e.g., P-glycoprotein and multi-drug resistance [MDR]-associated protein), resulting in reduced efflux of PIs and certain chemotherapeutic agents. When ATV is used in combination with other PIs or certain chemotherapeutic agents, the results are higher intracellular concentrations of each [4].

### Resistance

Like other PIs, several mutations are generally required to result in clinically significant drug resistance [5]. ATV has a unique resistance profile. Treatment-naïve patients developed a characteristic I50L mutation that is associated with increased susceptibility to other PIs; however, the clinical significance of this finding is unknown [6]. The I50L mutation is frequently detected in tandem with the A71V substitution that helps restore viability and increases atazanavir resistance [6]. In contrast, treatment-experienced patients did not develop the I50L mutation; rather, these patients developed mutations (M46I, A71V/T, I84V, N88S/D, and L90M) that reduced response to ATV and conferred high-level cross-resistance to other PIs. Generally, if there were pre-existing PI mutations in the patient's virus population prior to ATV initiation, ATV resistance developed through mutations associated with resistance to other PIs instead of through the I50L mutation. Although HIV isolates resistant to only 1 or 2 PIs may remain sensitive to ATV, cross-resistance with ATV increases as isolates

exhibit increasing resistance to multiple PIs. For treatment-experienced pediatric patients, baseline resistance assays that revealed mutations at any of codons 13, 54, 73, or 84 were associated with loss of sensitivity (>2.5) on phenotypic assay testing. In addition, the presence of  $\geq$ 7 PI mutations was associated with a >10-fold loss of sensitivity.

# Adverse Effects

The most common side effects associated with ATV include gastrointestinal symptoms (e.g., nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, diarrhea), scleral icteris and/or mild jaundice, headache, rash, tingling in hands and feet, and depression.

In reports from France and the United States, nehprolithiasis has been reported in adults treated with ATV-containing regimens (both RTV-boosted and -unboosted ATV regimens); in the French report, renal stones were observed in 1% of adult patients receiving ATV [7,8]. The mechanism of nephrolithiasis is unknown.

Unlike other PIs, ATV does not appear to be associated with an increase in total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, or triglycerides [9]. Switching adult patients with severe hyperlipidemia to an ATV-containing regimen from one with other PIs resulted in improvements in atherogenic lipid profiles [10]. However, boosted ATV may be associated with lipid abnormalities. As with other PIs, new onset diabetes mellitus, exacerbation of pre-existing diabetes mellitus, hyperglycemia, and diabetic ketoacidosis may occur.

ATV inhibits the hepatic glucuronidation enzyme uridine diphosphate glucuronosyl transferase (UGT1A1) that conjugates bilirubin. ATV administration is frequently associated with asymptomatic indirect hyperbilirubinemia, which may be accompanied by scleral icterus or visible jaundice. This is not accompanied by elevations in hepatic transaminases but may be cosmetically disturbing. The degree of hyperbilirubinemia correlates directly with the atazanavir plasma concentration (not intracellular concentration) [11]. One of the factors that impacts the ATV plasma concentration and the ATV-related hyperbilirubinemia is the MDR1 genotype. Individuals who have polymorphisms at MDR1 position 3435 (CT or TT) are more likely to have lower ATV plasma concentrations and lower

bilirubin concentrations than individuals with wild-type genotype at 3435 (CC) [12]. The jaundice is reversible following discontinuation of ATV therapy. ATV has been reported to prolong the PR interval of the electrocardiogram. In the majority of patients, abnormalities in atrio-ventricular (AV) conduction were asymptomatic and limited to first-degree AV block; no second- or third-degree AV block has been observed. However, because experience with ATV is limited, caution should be exercised when ATV is used in patients with pre-existing conduction system disease or those receiving other drugs that prolong the PR interval (e.g., most beta-blockers, digoxin, verapamil).

ATV is principally metabolized by the liver, and individuals with hepatic impairment may have increased ATV concentrations. Individuals with hepatitis B or C infections or marked elevations in transaminases prior to treatment may be at increased risk for further elevations in transaminases or hepatic decompensation.

Pediatric Experience In March 2008, ATV was approved for use in children and adolescents age  $\geq$ 6 years.

Manufacturer's prescribing information includes dosing recommendations for ATV boosted with RTV for treatment-naïve and treatment-experienced patients age 6–18 years, and ATV without RTV for treatment-naïve patients age >13 years. A maximum dose of 400 mg ATV (if ATV is not boosted with RTV), or 300 mg ATV (if given with RTV boosting) is recommended by the manufacturer and in the currently approved FDA prescribing information. However, data from P1020A, discussed below, suggest that higher doses of ATV may be needed when ATV is used without RTV boosting in adolescents. Studies using an investigational powder formulation continue on dosing and safety for children from 3 months to 13 years of age.

PACTG 1020A was a phase II trial of ATV with and without RTV in subjects 3 months to 19 years of age. In addition to capsules, a powder formulation of ATV is also under study. In this trial, ATV plasma concentration monitoring was used to guide therapy and establish optimum starting doses. Both RTV-boosted and -unboosted ATV regimens were used for treatment-naïve and -experienced patients. In the trial, protocol-defined AUC,  $C_{min}$  and  $C_{max}$  targets were deliberately set at levels higher than those achieved in the early adult ATV trials to compensate

for the wide interpatient variability in pharmacokinetics values seen in adults in those trials; the pharmacokinetic targets were the same for ATV given with and without RTV boosting.

The results of the P1020A trial in children and adolescents indicate that in the absence of RTV boosting, ATV can achieve protocol-defined pharmacokinetic targets, but only when used at higher doses of ATV (on a mg-per-kg body weight or meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area basis) than predicted by adult dosing guidelines. When using the ATV capsule formulation without RTV boosting, results from P1020A suggest that children  $\geq$ 6 and <13 years of age require ATV dosing of 520 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area per day. For older adolescents, doses employed in this study were above the adult approved dose of 400 mg ATV given without RTV boosting once daily: ATV given without RTV boosting in adolescents age ≥13 years required ATV dosing of 620 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area per day (for a once-daily dose of 600–900 mg) [13,14]. The ATV dose when used in combination with RTV was 205 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area per day (for a once-daily dose of 250-375 mg). The AUCs were similar in the RTV-boosted and -unboosted ATV groups when given the above dosing in P1020A, although the C<sub>max</sub> was higher and C<sub>min</sub> lower on the unboosted arms. Seventy-nine percent of treatment-naïve patients had HIV RNA <400 copies/mL at Week 24; 43% of treatmentexperienced patients had HIV RNA <400 copies/mL at Week 24.

Overall, 11 of 129 (8.5%) patients enrolled had a bilirubin >5 times the upper limit of normal. Asymptomatic electrocardiogram (EKG) abnormalities were observed in a small number of patients: 1 patient had a grade 3 QTC prolongation, 9 had grade 2 PR or HR changes, and 3 had grade 3 PR prolongations. No significant changes in serum cholesterol or triglycerides were observed during 48 weeks of therapy in 63 children receiving ATV in combination with 2 NRTIs [14].

- Reyataz (atazanavir sulfate) package insert. Revised June 2006. Princeton, NJ: Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. Available at: www.reyataz.com.
- National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) Bulletin. Monitoring Board recommends stopping experimental treatment

- regimen in international study of aptients new to HIV treatment. May 27, 2008. Available at: <a href="http://www3.niaid.nih.gov/news/newsreleases/2008/ACTG">http://www3.niaid.nih.gov/news/newsreleases/2008/ACTG</a> 5175.
- 3. Tomilo D, Smith P, Ogundele A, et al. Inhibition of atazanavir oral absorption by lansoprazole gastric acid suppression in healthy volunteers. *Pharmacotherapy*, 2006. 26(30):341-6. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16503713">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16503713</a>
- Lucia M, Golotta C, Rutella S, et al. Atazanavir inhibits p-glycoprotein and multidrug resistanceassociated protein efflux activity. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2005. 39(5):635-8. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16044020">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16044020</a>
- Colonno RJ, Thiry A, Limoli K, Parkin N.
   Activities of atazanavir (BMS-232632) against a
   large panel of human immunodeficiency virus type
   1 clinical isolates resistant to one or more approved
   protease inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*,
   2003. 47(4):1324-33.
   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12654666
- Colonno R, Rose R, Cianci C, et al. Emergence of atazanavir resistance and maintenance of susceptibility to other PIs is associated with an I50L substitution in the HIV protease. 10<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 10-14, 2003; Boston, MA. Abstract 597.
- Couzigou C, Daudon M, Meynard JL, et al. Urolithiasis in HIV-positive patients treated with atazanavir. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2007. 45(8):e105-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17879904
- Chan-Tack KM, Truffa MM, Struble KA, et al. Atazanavir-associated nephrolithiasis: cases from the US Food and Drug Administration's Adverse Event Reporting System. AIDS, 2007. 21(9):1215-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17502736
- 9. Sanne I PP, Squires K, et al. Results of a phase 2 clinical trial at 48 weeks (AI424-007): a doseranging, safety and efficacy comparative trial of atazanavir at three doses in combination with didanosine and stavudine in antiretroviral naïve subjects. *JAIDS*, 2003. 32(1):18-29. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12514410
- Mobius U, Lubach-Ruitman M, Castro-Frenzel B, et al. Switching to atazanavir improves metabolic disorders in antiretroviral-experienced patients with severe hyperlipidemia. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2005. 39(2):174-80. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15905733
- 11. Smith D, Jeganathan S, Ray J. Atazanavir plasma concentrations vary significantly between patients and correlate with increased serum bilirubin

- concentrations. *HIV Clin Trials*, 2006. 7(1):34-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16632461
- 12. Rodriquez Nóvoa S, Barreiro P, Rendón A, et al. Plasma levels of atazanavir and the risk of hyperbilirubinemia are predicted by the 3435C T polymorphism at the multidrug resistance gene 1. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2006. 42(2):291-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16355344
- Kiser J, Rutstein R, Aldrovandi G, et al. Pharmacokinetics of atazanavir/ritonavir in HIV-infected infants, children, and adolescents: PACTG 1020A. 12<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 22-25, 2005; Boston, MA. Abstract 767.
- 14. Rutstein R, Samson P, Aldrovandi G, et al. Effect of Atazanavir on Serum Cholesterol and Triglyceride Levels in HIV-infected Infants, Children, and Adolescents: PACTG 1020A. 12<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 22-25, 2005; Boston, MA. Abstract 774.

# Darunavir (DRV, Prezista®)

URL: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/druggsatfda/">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/</a>

See also: Appendix B: Characteristics of Available
Antiretroviral Drugs

(Revised February 23, 2009)

#### Overview

Darunavir ethanolate (DRV) is a nonpeptidic PI developed because of its extreme potency against multi-drug-resistant strains of HIV [1]. It was FDA approved in 2006 for use in treatment-experienced adult patients likely to have resistance to more than one PI. In October 2008, indications for DRV were expanded to include treatment-naïve adults. In December 2008, approval was granted for use in HIV-infected children 6 years of age and older. The likelihood of treatment response with DRV is greater when the drug is used in combination with other active drugs. To achieve optimal effect, DRV must be taken with food and coadministered with ritonavir (RTV).

DRV has activity against HIV-1 group M and group O isolates and against laboratory strains of HIV-2. Median 50% effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) values range from 0.7-5.0 ng/mL, and human serum increases EC<sub>50</sub> by a median factor of 5.4-fold (i.e., protein binding has an effect on the EC<sub>50</sub>). The protein binding-adjusted EC<sub>90</sub> for wild-type virus is

200 ng/mL, and the protein binding-adjusted EC<sub>50</sub> for resistant virus is 550 ng/mL.

#### Resistance

In patients previously treated with PIs who were treated with DRV and then had virological failure, protease resistance mutations at amino acid position V32I occurred in 30%; substitutions at I54 developed in >20%; and substitutions at I15, L33, I47, G73, and L89 occurred in 10%–20%. For these patients who developed resistance on therapy, the median phenotype fold change resistance at baseline was 21-fold and at failure was 94-fold. Reduced control of plasma viral load is seen in patients with >7-fold change resistance at baseline.

DRV has <10-fold decreased susceptibility in cell culture against 90% of 3,309 isolates resistant to amprenavir (APV), atazanavir (ATV), indinavir (IDV), lopinavir (LPV), nelfinavir (NFV), RTV, saquinavir (SQV), and/or tipranavir (TPV), showing that viruses resistant to these PIs remained susceptible to DRV. However, DRV-resistant viruses are also resistant to APV, ATV, IDV, LPV, NFV, RTV, and SQV. Some DRV-resistant viruses maintain susceptibility to TPV.

### Adverse Effects

The most common adverse events related to treatment in early trials were diarrhea, nausea, headache, and nasopharyngitis. Skin rash occurred in 7% of subjects treated with DRV in its early development. These rashes were generally mild-to-moderate, self-limited, maculopapular eruptions, but severe skin rash, including erythema multiforme and Stevens-Johnson syndrome, have been reported, and discontinuation due to rash occurred in 0.3%. In some cases, fever and elevation of transaminases were reported in combination with rash. Increased plasma lipids and increase in amylase were also seen in early studies.

Darunavir contains a sulfa moiety and may have cross-sensitivity with other sulfonamides.

The frequency, type, and severity of adverse events in pediatric subjects were comparable to those observed in adults: vomiting (13%), diarrhea (11%), abdominal pain (10%), headache (9%), rash (5%), nausea (4%) and fatigue (3%). Grade 3 or 4 laboratory abnormalities were increased alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (Grade 3: 3%; Grade 4: 1%), increased aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (Grade 3: 1%), increased pancreatic amylase (Grade 3: 4%, Grade 4: 1%), increased pancreatic lipase (Grade 3: 1%), increased

total cholesterol (Grade 3: 1%), and increased LDL (Grade 3: 3%).

There are many drug interactions (see Tables 15a-e and 16a-b from the Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in HIV-1-Infected Adults and Adolescents). The drug is metabolized by CYP3A4 and may increase or decrease the metabolism of many other agents, including other PIs and NNRTIs. Refer to the product label before prescribing, especially in combination with other antiretrovirals.

### Pediatric Experience

FDA approval for use in children 6 years of age and older was based upon a randomized, openlabel, multicenter study that enrolled 80 treatment-experienced pediatric subjects aged 6–<18 years and weighing ≥20 kg. Patients were stratified according to their weight and received DRV/RTV plus background therapy consisting of at least two non-PI antiretroviral drugs [2,3].

The 80 subjects had a median age of 14 (range 6– <18 years), 71% were male, 54% Caucasian, 30% black, 9% Hispanic, and 8% other. The mean baseline plasma HIV-1 RNA was 4.64 log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL, and the median baseline CD4 cell count was 330 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> (range: 6–1505) cells/mm<sup>3</sup>). Overall, 38% of pediatric subjects had baseline plasma HIV RNA ≥100,000 copies/mL, 79% had previous use of at least 1 NNRTI, and 96% had previously used at least 1 PI. Three patients discontinued the study before 24 weeks. but only 1 due to an adverse event. The proportion of pediatric subjects with HIV RNA < 400 copies/mL and <50 copies/mL was 64% and 50%, respectively. The mean CD4 cell count increase from baseline was 117 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>.

The approved pediatric dose was based on the following: 1) similar darunavir plasma exposures in children compared to adults and 2) similar virologic response rates and safety profile in children compared to adults.

The Working Group does not recommend DRV/RTV for initial therapy at this time for the following reasons: pediatric approval was based on one study in treatment-experienced children; high pill burden necessary to dose DRV using the new pediatric formulation; concern for reserving DRV/RTV for patients who are failing therapy;

and there are several alternative options for initial treatment.

### **References:**

- 1. Darunavir ethanolate (Prezista®) product label. December, 2008. Available at <a href="http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2008/021976s00">http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2008/021976s00</a> 9lbl.pdf.
- 2. Bologna R, Rugina S, Cahn P, et al. Safety and efficacy of darunavir co-administered with low dose ritonavir in treatment experienced children and adolescents at week 24. 15<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistics Infections; February 3-6, 2008; Boston, MA. Abstract 78LB.
- 3. Sekar V, van de Casteele T, van Baelen B, et al. Dose selection and pharmacokinetics-pharmacodynamics of darunavir coadministered with low-dose ritonavir in the DELPHI (TMC114-C212) trial in treatment experienced, HIV-1-infected children and adolescents. XVIIth International AIDS Conference; August 3-8 2008; Mexico City, Mexico Abstract TuPe0078.

# Fosamprenavir (f-APV, Lexiva<sup>TM</sup>)

 $URL: \underline{http://www.access data.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugs} \\ \underline{atfda/}$ 

See Also: Appendix B: Characteristics of Available Antiretroviral Drugs (Revised July 29, 2008)

#### Overview

In October 2003, fosamprenavir calcium (f-APV), a prodrug of amprenavir (APV), was approved for use in combination with other antiretrovirals for the treatment of HIV infection in adults. This approval was based on results from two studies in antiretroviral-naïve adults and one study in PI-experienced adults. The approved adolescent/adult dosing regimen depends on whether the patient is antiretroviral naïve or experienced; only antiretroviral-naïve patients should receive unboosted f-APV and PI-experienced patients should receive the RTV-boosted twice-daily regimen. In June 2007, the f-APV solution was approved and indications for pediatric patients provided.

The prodrug f-APV is rapidly and almost completely hydrolyzed to APV by cellular phosphatases in the gut as it is absorbed [1,2]. The tablet formulation of the drug can be administered with or without food without any significant effects on pharmacokinetic parameters. Peak APV serum concentrations are reached in 1.5–4

hours (mean 2.5 hours). Approximately 90% of APV is plasma protein bound, primarily by alpha 1-acid glycoprotein (AAG). APV is extensively metabolized by CYP450 isoenzyme CYP3A4; there is potential for multiple drug interactions (see <a href="Tables 15a-e">Tables 15a-e</a> and 16a-b from the <a href="Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in HIV-1-Infected Adults and Adolescents">Adolescents</a>). Ritonavir (RTV) inhibits the metabolism of APV, resulting in increases in both AUC and trough drug concentrations of APV. Unlike APV, the f-APV formulation contains no vitamin E. Dose reductions of f-APV are necessary in patients with hepatic impairment.

#### Resistance

Genotypic analysis of isolates from APV-treated patients shows that mutations are induced in the HIV protease gene at codons 32, 46, 47, 50, 54, and 84 and at the p1/p6 cleavage site. At least 2–3 mutations are required at amino acid residues 46, 47, and 50 to produce >10-fold decrease in sensitivity. Varying degrees of cross-resistance with other PIs against HIV-1 have been observed.

### Adverse Effects

f-APV is generally well tolerated. The most common side effects associated with f-APV include gastrointestinal symptoms (e.g., nausea, vomiting, diarrhea), perioral paresthesias, headache, and rash. When compared to nelfinavir, there is a lower rate of gastrointestinal adverse effects. Although rash was reported in approximately 19% of patients in the efficacy trials, life-threatening rash, including Stevens-Johnson syndrome, is rare, reported in <1% of patients taking the parent compound, APV [3,4]. f-APV should be discontinued for severe rash, including Steven-Johnson syndrome or moderate rash with systemic symptoms. APV is related to the sulfonamides, and the potential for cross-sensitivity of sulfonamides and APV is unknown. f-APV should therefore be used with caution in patients with a history of sulfonamide allergy. Fat redistribution and lipid abnormalities have been reported with the use of f-APV. As with other PIs, new onset diabetes mellitus, exacerbation of pre-existing diabetes mellitus, hyperglycemia, and spontaneous bleeding in hemophiliacs may occur. Hemolytic anemia and elevation in serum transaminases are also reported, rare, adverse events.

#### Pediatric Experience

In June 2007, f-APV suspension was approved for use in pediatric patients. The approval was based on

2 open label studies in pediatric patients 2–18 years of age [5,6]. Both studies enrolled treatment-experienced and treatment-naïve subjects. In 1 study, twice-daily dosing regimens (with or without RTV) were evaluated in combination with other antiretroviral agents. Overall, f-APV was well tolerated and effective in suppressing viral load and increasing CD4 cell count. In the APV 29005 trial after 24 weeks, 67% of PInaïve subjects in the f-APV group (age 2–5 years only), and 70% of PI-naïve subjects in the f-APV + RTV group (age 5-18 years) but only 57% of PIexperienced subjects in the f-APV + RTV group (age 5–18 years) achieved HIV RNA <400 copies/mL. Median increases in CD4% at Week 24 occurred in all groups and ranged from 4%-8% [5]. In the APV 20003 trial, once-daily f-APV + RTV was studied. Following information about suboptimal response to once-daily dosing in treatment-experienced adults, pediatric patients were allowed to switch to twice-daily therapy; however, few patients (10 of 69) opted to switch to twice-daily therapy (median time to switch: 45 weeks). At 24 and 48 weeks of therapy, HIV RNA was <400 copies/mL in 66% and 47% among PI-naïve subjects, respectively, and 57% and 43% among PI-experienced subjects, respectively. Median increase in CD4% at Week 48 was 10% for PI-naïve and 5% for PIexperienced subjects [6]. These data were insufficient to support a once-daily dosing regimen of RTVboosted f-APV in pediatric patients and hence oncedaily dosing is not recommended for pediatric patients. Toxicities from these trials included vomiting (3%-7%), diarrhea (3%–4%), and nausea (3%–4%).

#### **References:**

- Falcoz C, Jenkins JM, Bye C, et al. Pharmacokinetics of GW433908, a prodrug of amprenavir, in healthy male volunteers. *J Clin Pharmacol*, 2002. 42(8):887-98. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12162471">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12162471</a>
- 2. Wood R, Arasteh K, Stellbrink HJ, et al. Six-week randomized controlled trial to compare the tolerabilities, pharmacokinetics, and antiviral activities of GW433908 and amprenavir in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected patients.

  Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2004. 48(1):116-23. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14693528
- 3. Pedneault L, Brothers C, Pagano G, et al. Safety profile and tolerability of amprenavir in the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with HIV infection. *Clin Ther*, 2000. 22(12):1378-94. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11192131
- 4. Yogev R, Church J, Flynn P, et al. Pediatric trial of combination therapy including the protease

- inhibitor (APV). Program and abstracts of the 6<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; Jan 31 Feb 4, 1999; Chicago, IL. Abstract 430.
- Cunningham C, Freedman A, Read S, et al. Safety and Antiviral Activity of Fosamprenavircontaining Regmens in HIV-infected 2- to 18-Year-Old Pediatric Subjects (Interim Data, Study APV29005). 14<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 25-28, 2007; Los Angeles, CA. Abstract 718.
- Chadwick E, Borkowsky W, Fortuny C, et al. Safety and Antiviral Activity of Fosamprenavir/Ritonavir Once Daily Regimens in HIV-infected Pediatric Subjects Ages 2 to 18 Years (48-Week Interim Data, Study APV20003). 14<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 25-28, 2007; Los Angeles, CA. Abstract 719.

# Indinavir (IDV, Crixivan®)

URL: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/

See Also: <u>Appendix B: Characteristics of Available</u> <u>Antiretroviral Drugs</u>

#### Overview

Indinavir (IDV) was approved in 1996 for use in adults and adolescents >18 years. Like the other PIs, IDV is prone to multiple drug interactions due to its interaction with the CYP450 system (see product label). A liquid formulation is not available. Administration of IDV with a meal high in calories, fat, and protein results in a reduction in plasma IDV concentrations. Administration on an empty stomach 1 hour before or 2 hours after a meal, or with a light meal (e.g., dry toast with jelly, apple juice, and coffee with skim milk and sugar) results in little to no change in IDV pharmacokinetics. When given in combination with ritonavir (RTV), meal restrictions are no longer necessary. Decreased IDV exposure over time in children maintained on relatively fixed doses of IDV are associated with virological failure. This may be prevented by frequent dosage adjustment and therapeutic drug monitoring, when possible [1].

#### Resistance

Resistance to IDV is associated with mutations at codons 24, 32, 46, 53, 54, 73, 82, 84, and 90. Virus resistant to IDV may also be resistant to RTV. Resistance to IDV/RTV combination therapy is

associated with mutations at codons 10, 20, 24, 32, 36, 46, 54, 71, 73, 76, 77, 82, 84, and 90. Major mutations are located at codons 46, 82, and 84 [2]. IDV-resistant virus may be broadly cross-resistant to all other PIs.

# Adverse Effects

The most serious side effect observed in both adults and children treated with IDV is nephrolithiasis. In double-blind clinical trials in adults, the incidence of nephrolithiasis was 9.3% in IDV-containing treatment groups. Abnormal renal function (including acute renal failure) has been observed in a small number of patients with nephrolithiasis; abnormal renal function was generally transient and temporally related to the acute episode. Interstitial nephritis has also been observed in patients receiving IDV. If signs and symptoms such as flank pain with or without hematuria occur, temporary interruption of therapy (for 1 to 3 days) during the acute episode may be considered. Adequate hydration is essential when IDV is administered. The cumulative frequency of nephrolithiasis is substantially higher in children (29%) than in adults (12.4%, range across clinical trials 4.7%–34.4%) [3]. This is likely due to the difficulty in maintaining adequate hydration in children. In an IDV study in 54 children, 13% developed hematuria [4]. Children treated with IDV also have a high cumulative incidence of sterile leukocyturia, which may be accompanied by elevations in serum creatinine in the absence of clinical symptoms of nephrolithiasis [5].

Asymptomatic mild elevation of bilirubin, due to an increase in indirect bilirubin, has also been reported in adults and children receiving IDV. In adult trials, about 10% of IDV-receiving patients had bilirubin values ≥2.5 mg/dL at some point during treatment; in most cases, the maximum bilirubin elevations were observed after ≥1 weeks of treatment. Clinical adverse effects such as jaundice or elevations in serum transaminases have only rarely been reported. As with all agents in this class, new onset diabetes mellitus, exacerbation of pre-existing diabetes mellitus, hyperglycemia, and diabetic ketoacidosis have been reported.

### Pediatric Experience

IDV has been studied in HIV-infected children as monotherapy and in combination with other antiretroviral drugs [1,4-18]. IDV has been studied in mostly small, uncontrolled pediatric trials but has not been FDA approved in the pediatric age group. IDV has been administered in dosage ranges of 300–600 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area given every 8 hours, and RTV-boosted IDV has been administered in

IDV/RTV doses of 500/100 or 400/125 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> twice daily [4,6-9,11,13-15,18].

Virologic, immunologic, and clinical response to IDV-based therapy in children has been observed in several small studies. In an open label study in 28 children receiving IDV/zidovudine (ZDV)/lamivudine (3TC), 70% of children had HIV RNA concentrations of <500 copies/mL after 6 months of therapy [13]. In an open label study of IDV/stavudine (d4T)/3TC treatment in 25 Italian children. HIV RNA concentrations were maintained at <400 copies/mL after 18 months of therapy in 87% of children who entered the study with CD4 cell counts in CDC Immune Class 2 and 72% of those who entered with CDC Immune Class 3 [14]. In a study in 33 infected children who had received >96 weeks of treatment with IDV/ZDV/3TC (with an initial 16 weeks of IDV monotherapy), a median increase in CD4 cell count of 199 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> and a median decrease in HIV RNA of 0.74 log was observed at 96 weeks [10]. Virologic response in this study may have been impacted by the prolonged period of IDV monotherapy prior to combination with ZDV/3TC. In one study of 24 children receiving a regimen of IDV, ZDV, and 3TC, virologic responders showed significant increases in height and weight, but the virologic nonresponders did not [16]. In another study of 21 children receiving PIcontaining antiretroviral therapy, all patients receiving IDV experienced substantial increases in their triglyceride concentrations, but no significant increases in total cholesterol occurred: blood glucose concentrations were not significantly different between baseline and follow-up evaluations [19]. A multicenter, randomized clinical trial studying RTV in combination with nucleoside analogues in children was altered because of an unanticipated unavailability of RTV capsules. Children were given the option of continuing the trial with their treatment including a switch from RTV capsules to either RTV liquid or IDV capsules with a continuation of their nucleoside analogue therapy. A matched pairs analysis of 25 children aged 2-17 years of age who were switched to IDV (600 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> every 8 hours) and 25 children who continued to receive RTV in liquid form was performed. Pharmacokinetic studies of IDV were done on 6 children at 1 and 3 weeks of treatment. Although there was no difference in oral clearance of IDV, the median trough concentrations were low and the elimination half-life decreased significantly. There were no significant differences between the groups over a 24week observation period with regard to median CD4 counts over time and number of children with HIV RNA ≤200 copies/mL. Toxicities observed with IDV included flank pain and headache (16%), renal dysfunction (16%), hematuria (12%), and skin rash (12%) [18].

Data in children indicate that a pediatric dose of 500– 600 mg IDV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area given every 8 hours results in peak values similar to those in adults; however, there was a significant proportion of children whose trough IDV values were less than the 0.1 mg/L value associated with virologic efficacy in adults [7,18]. The more frequent incidence of renal toxicity in children than in adults has precluded studying higher doses of IDV [4,5]. Therefore, 2 small studies have evaluated IDV in combination with lowdose RTV boosting in children. One study evaluated 500 mg IDV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area plus 100 mg RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily in 4 children aged 1–10 years; in 1 child, this resulted in high concentrations of both drugs and was accompanied by symptoms of renal toxicity [12]. The other study evaluated the pharmacokinetics of 400 mg IDV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area plus 125 mg RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily in 14 children; this dosing resulted in AUC and trough concentrations similar to those observed with standard doses of IDV/RTV in adults (800 mg IDV/100 mg RTV twice daily), although the peak concentration was slightly decreased [17]. Clinical results from that trial demonstrated that virologic efficacy was good but that 4 of 21 patients developed nephrolithiasis and the overall rate of side effects and intolerance to the regimen was high [8].

- Fraaij PL, Bergshoeff AS, van Rossum AM, et al. Changes in indinavir exposure over time: a case study in six HIV-1-infected children. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 2003. 52(4):727-30. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12917234">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12917234</a>
- Johnson VA, Brun-Vézinet F, Clotet B, et al. Update of the drug resistance mutations in HIV-1: 2007. Top HIV Med, 2007. 15(4):119-25. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17720996">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17720996</a>
- Indinavir Product Label. August, 2006. Available at <a href="http://www.fda.gov/medwatch/safety/2006/Dec\_PI\_s/Crixivan\_PI.pdf">http://www.fda.gov/medwatch/safety/2006/Dec\_PI\_s/Crixivan\_PI.pdf</a>.
- 4. Mueller BU, Sleasman J, Nelson RP, et al. A phase I/II study of the protease inhibitor indinavir in

- children with HIV infection. *Pediatrics*, 1998. 102(1 Pt 1):101-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9651421
- van Rossum AM DJ, Fraaij PL, et al. Persistent sterile leukocyturia is associated with impaired renal function in human immunodeficiency virus type1-infected children treated with indinavir. *Pediatrics*, 2002. 110(2 pt 1):e19. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12165618
- Burger DM, van Rossum AM, Hugen PW, et al. Pharmacokinetics of the protease inhibitor indinavir in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2001. 45(3):701-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11181346
- Fletcher CV, Brundage RC, Remmel RP, et al. Pharmacologic characteristics of indinavir, didanosine, and stavudine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children receiving combination therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2000. 44(4):1029-34. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10722507">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10722507</a>
- Fraaij PL, Verweel G, van Rossum AM, et al. Indinavir/low-dose ritonavir containing HAART in HIV-1 infected children has potent antiretroviral activity, but is associated with side effects and frequent discontinuation of treatment. *Infection*, 2007. 35(3):186-9. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17565462">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17565462</a>
- Gatti G, Vigano A, Sala N, et al. Indinavir pharmacokinetics and parmacodynamics in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2000. 44(3):752-5. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10681350">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10681350</a>
- Jankelevich S, Mueller BU, Mackall CL, et al. Long-term virologic and immunologic responses in human immunodeficiency virus type 1infected children treated with indinavir, zidovudine, and lamivudine. *J Infect Dis*, 2001. 183(7):1116-20. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11237839
- 11. Kline MW, Fletcher CV, Harris AT, et al. A pilot study of combination therapy with indinavir, stavudine (d4T), and didanosine (ddI) in children infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. *J Pediatr*, 1998. 132(3 Pt 1):543-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9544920
- 12. van Rossum AM, de Groot R, Hartwig NG, et al. Pharmacokinetics of indinavir and low-dose ritonavir in children with HIV-1 infection. *AIDS*,

2000. 14(14):2209-10. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11061667

- 13. van Rossum AM, Niesters HG, Geelen SP, et al. Clinical and virologic response to combination treatment with indinavir, zidovudine, and lamivudine in children with human immunodeficiency virus-1 infection: a multicenter study in the Netherlands. On behalf of the Dutch Study Group for Children with HIV-1 infections. *J Pediatr*, 2000. 136(6):780-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10839877
- Vigano A, Dally L, Bricalli D, et al. Clinical and immuno-virologic characterization of the efficacy of stavudine, lamivudine, and indinavir in human immunodeficiency virus infection. *J Pediatr*, 1999. 135(6):675-82. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10586168
- Wintergerst U, Hoffmann F, Solder B, et al. Comparison of two antiretroviral triple combinations including the protease inhibitor indinavir in children infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 1998. 17(6):495-9. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9655541">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9655541</a>
- Verweel G, van Rossum AM, Hartwig NG, et al. Treatment with highly active antiretroviral therapy in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children is associated with a sustained effect on growth. *Pediatrics*, 2002. 109(2):E25. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11826235">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11826235</a>
- 17. Bergshoeff AS, Fraaij PL, van Rossum AM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of indinavir combined with low-dose ritonavir in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2004. 48(5):1904-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15105157
- Pelton SI, Stanley K, Yogev R, et al. Switch from ritonavir to indinavir in combination therapy for HIV-1-infected children. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2005. 40(8):1181-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15791520
- Temple ME, Koranyi KI, Nahata MC. Lipodystrophy in HIV-infected pediatric patients receiving protease inhibitors. *Ann Pharmacother*, 2003. 37(9):1214-8. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12921501">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12921501</a>

Lopinavir/Ritonavir (LPV/RTV, Kaletra)
URL: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/</a>

See Also: Appendix B: Characteristics of Available
Antiretroviral Drugs

(Revised July 29, 2008)

Overview

Lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/RTV) is a fixed combination of two PIs. LPV/RTV received FDA approval in 2000 for use in combination with other antiretroviral agents for the treatment of HIV-1 infection in adults and pediatric patients age 6 months and older. It received approval for use in pediatric patients age 14 days or older in June 2008. It is available in both liquid and tablet formulations. The liquid formulation contains 80 mg LPV/20 mg RTV per mL. A tablet formulation (200 mg LPV/50 mg RTV) was approved in October 2005 and a pediatric version (100 mg LPV/25 mg RTV) was approved in November 2007; these tablet formulations do not require refrigeration and can be administered without regard to food. The capsule formulation of 133.3 mg LPV/33.3 mg RTV is no longer available in the United States.

Like other PIs, LPV/RTV is metabolized by the hepatic CYP450 system and multiple drug interactions are possible (see product label). Administration of LPV/RTV with food increases plasma concentrations; to enhance bioavailability and minimize pharmacokinetic variability, LPV/RTV oral solution should be taken with food; LPV/RTV tablets can be administered without regard to food.

Recently, the FDA approved the use of LPV/RTV 800/200 mg once-daily administration for the treatment of HIV infection in therapy-naïve adults >18 years of age. However, once-daily administration cannot be recommended for use in children in the absence of therapeutic drug monitoring because of high interindividual variability in drug exposure and trough plasma concentrations below the therapeutic range for wildtype virus in 3/7 (43%) [1] and 10/19 (53%) [2] children treated with once-daily administration. Therapy-experienced patients should only receive the twice-daily regimen because trough concentrations are significantly lower with once-daily administration, and there are no clinical trials comparing the two dosages in these patients. LPV/RTV should not be administered once daily in combination with efavirenz (EFV), nevirapine

(NVP), fosamprenavir (f-APV), nelfinavir (NFV), or other medications that could potentially further reduce LPV concentrations.

#### Resistance

Resistance to LPV/RTV has been associated with the accumulation of specific mutations in the protease enzyme; when compared to LPV susceptibility in wildtype HIV-1, >5-fold LPV resistance is found in the presence of  $\geq 1$  primary mutations at protease amino acid positions 32, 47, 48, 50, 82, or 84 when that mutation is combined with 3 or more secondary mutations at protease positions 10, 20, 24, 30, 32, 33, 36, 46, 47, 48, 50, 53, 54, 71, 73, 77, 82, 84, or 90 [3,4]. In 1 study, virologic response to therapy, measured as HIV RNA <50 copies/mL at 48 weeks, was associated with LPV susceptibility at the start of treatment, and virologic response rates of 81%, 60%, and 25% were associated with baseline LPV phenotype susceptibility (defined as the fold-change in susceptibility compared to wild-type HIV-1) of <10fold, >10- to <40-fold, and >40-fold, respectively [4]. Similarly, treatment response was 83% and 52% when the number of baseline protease mutations was  $\leq 5$  or >5, respectively. In 56 children with prior antiretroviral therapy (most with  $\geq 3$  antiretroviral regimen changes in the past), response to LPV/RTV-containing salvage therapy was poor when pretherapy resistance profiles showed >6 of the mutations listed above and was especially poor in those patients with mutations at positions 54 and 82 [5].

More important than resistance alone is the relationship of the drug exposure (trough plasma concentration measured just prior to a dose, or  $C_{trough}$ ) to the susceptibility of the HIV-1 isolate (EC<sub>50</sub>). The ratio of  $C_{trough}$  to EC<sub>50</sub> is called the inhibitory quotient, and in both adults and children treated with LPV/RTV, virus load reduction is more closely associated with inhibitory quotient than with either the  $C_{trough}$  or EC<sub>50</sub> alone [6-9]. Cross-resistance among PIs can occur. In patients failing therapy with LPV/RTV, detection of LPV resistance is more likely in patients with prior PI treatment compared to patients not previously treated with PIs.

### Adverse Effects

The most common side effects associated with LPV/RTV have been diarrhea, asthenia, and triglyceride and cholesterol elevations. Pancreatitis has been reported in adult patients taking LPV/RTV. High triglyceride concentrations may be a risk factor for pancreatitis. As with all PI drugs, new onset diabetes

mellitus, exacerbation of pre-existing diabetes mellitus, hyperglycemia, and diabetic ketoacidosis may occur.

# Pediatric Experience

LPV/RTV has been studied in HIV-infected children in combination with NRTIs and NNRTIs [8,10-22]. RTV acts as a pharmacokinetic enhancer by inhibiting the metabolism of LPV and therefore increasing its plasma concentration. LPV/RTV is the first coformulated drug available for children.

Abbott Laboratories Study M98-940 was a phase I/II open label study that evaluated the pharmacokinetic profile, tolerability, safety, and efficacy of LPV/RTV oral solution and either 2 NRTIs or NVP plus up to 2 NRTIs in 100 pediatric patients. Through 48 weeks of therapy, the proportion of patients with HIV RNA <400 copies/mL was 37 of 44 (84%) for antiretroviral-naïve patients and 42 of 56 (75%) for antiretroviral-experienced patients. The mean increase from baseline in CD4 cell count was 404 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> for antiretroviral-naïve and 284 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> for antiretroviral-experienced patients treated through 48 weeks. In patients with HIV RNA >400 copies/mL at 24 or 48 weeks, there were no detectable changes in phenotypic susceptibility to LPV compared to baseline isolates, although there were resistance mutations to NRTIs and NNRTIs identified in the rebound isolates [23].

LPV/RTV has been shown to be effective as salvage therapy in children with HIV and severe immune suppression [13,24], although patients with greater prior exposure to antiretrovirals may have slower reductions in virus load to undetectable concentrations [24]. In an observational cohort study in 4 Spanish hospitals analyzing salvage therapy for children with HIV, 20 children treated with LPV/RTV were 8 times more likely to reduce plasma virus load to <400 copies/mL than 15 children treated with NFV [16].

There is still some controversy about dosing of LPV/RTV in children. Children have much lower drug exposure than adults when treated with doses that are directly scaled for body surface area. The "directly scaled" dose approximation of the adult dose in children can be calculated by dividing the adult dose by the usual adult body surface area of 1.73 meter<sup>2</sup>. This suggests that for the adult dose of 400 mg LPV/100 mg RTV, the appropriate pediatric dose would be approximately 230 mg LPV/57.5 mg

RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area. However, younger children have enhanced LPV clearance and may need higher drug doses to achieve drug exposures similar to those in adults treated with standard doses.

For 12 children ages 6 months to 12 years receiving 230 mg LPV/57.5 mg RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily (without NVP), the mean C<sub>trough</sub> was  $4.74 \pm 2.93$  mcg/mL (about 67% of the adult value, which was  $7.1 \pm 2.9$  mcg/mL) [10]. To achieve similar C<sub>trough</sub> to that observed in adults at the standard dose, the pediatric dose would need to be increased 30% over the directly body surface area-scaled dose. In the same study for 15 children ages 6 months to 12 years treated with 300 mg LPV/75 mg RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily (without NVP), the mean  $C_{trough}$  was  $7.91 \pm 4.52$  mcg/mL, similar to that in adults treated with 400 mg LPV/100 mg RTV mg twice daily [10]. Therefore, some clinicians may choose to initiate therapy in children age 6 months to 12 years using 300 mg LPV/57.5 mg RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily (when given without NVP, EFV, f-APV or NFV) rather than the drug-label recommended 230 mg LPV/57.5 mg RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area [18].

The pharmacokinetics of the oral solution at approximately 300 mg LPV/75 mg RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily (the dosing approved for infants age 14 days to 6 months) was evaluated in infants at approximately 6 weeks of age (N=9) and between 6 weeks and 6 months of life (N=18) in clinical trial P1030 [20]. Even at the higher dose, trough levels were lower in these infants than in children over 6 months of age and were lower in the youngest infants at age 6 weeks compared to those between 6 weeks and 6 months. The mean steady-state AUC was 43.4±14.8 and 74.5±37.9 mcg\*hour/mL in the younger versus older group, respectively; the C<sub>max</sub> was 5.2±1.8 and 9.4±4.9 mcg/mL, and C<sub>12hr</sub> was 1.9±1.1 and 3.1±1.8 mcg/mL, respectively.

In 10 children age 2–6 weeks studied in P1030, the dose of 300 mg LPV/75 mg RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily resulted in even lower drug exposures compared to those found in infants over age 6 weeks [21]. The mean steady-state AUC was 36.6 mcg\*hour/mL, the C<sub>max</sub> was 4.8 mcg/mL, and C<sub>trough</sub> was 2.2 mcg/mL. There was great variability in LPV exposure, with approximately half of the infants with drug levels in the range found in older infants, raising concern that a higher dose could put these young infants at risk for added toxicity. However, even with

these lower drug exposures, 80% of infants (8/10) achieved HIV RNA levels <400 copies/mL after 24 weeks of therapy.

Although it may be reasonable to consider initiating therapy in young infants with a dose higher than 300 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area, it would require careful monitoring of drug levels and toxicity in the setting of a study. Because infants gain weight rapidly in the first months of life, one important way to optimize the likelihood of therapeutic LPV dosing is to evaluate and adjust the dose for incremental growth at more frequent intervals.

For children, as in adults, the LPV Ctrough is further reduced by concurrent treatment with NNRTIs or concomitant f-APV or NFV, and as in adults, higher doses of LPV are recommended if the drug is given in combination with NVP, EFV, f-APV, or NFV (see **Appendix B** for dosing information). In 14 children treated with 230 mg LPV/57.5 mg RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily plus nevirapine (NVP), the mean  $C_{trough}$  was  $3.77 \pm 3.57$  mcg/mL [10]. For 12 children treated with 300 mg LPV/75 mg RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily, the mean  $C_{trough}$  was  $5.62 \pm 3.32$  mcg/mL. Not only are these trough plasma concentrations lower than those found in adults treated with standard doses of LPV/RTV, the variability in concentration is much higher in children than adults [10,18]. In a study of 15 children with HIV infection treated with the combination of LPV/RTV using an increased dose of 300 mg LPV/75 mg RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily plus EFV 14 mg/kg/dose once daily, the median 12-hour LPV trough was 5.7 mcg/mL, but there was 34-fold interindividual variation in LPV trough concentrations, and 5 of 15 (33%) children had LPV 12-hour trough concentrations <1.0 mcg/mL, the plasma concentration needed to inhibit wild-type HIV. The 5 children with the lowest plasma concentrations of LPV were of African origin; however, 4 of the remaining 10 children were also of African origin [11].

A pilot observational study using the inhibitory quotient (the ratio of  $C_{trough}$  to  $EC_{50}$ ) to guide therapy and evaluate the benefit and safety of higher doses of LPV/RTV in 12 children failing prior antiretroviral therapy has been conducted [8]. A study of the practical application of the inhibitory quotient to guide therapy and using the higher doses of LPV/RTV in children and adolescents suggests this approach might be applicable to patients with

moderately reduced susceptibility to LPV, and shows the safety and tolerability of doses of 400 mg LPV/75 mg RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily (without NVP or EFV) and 480 mg LPV/100 mg RTV per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily (with NVP or EFV) [22].

- Rosso R, Di Biagio A., et al. Lopinavir/ritonavir exposure in treatment-naive HIV-infected children following twice or once daily administration. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 2006. 57(6):1168-71. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16606636
- van der Lee M, Verweel G., et al.
   Pharmacokinetics of a once-daily regimen of
   lopinavir/ritonavir in HIV-1-infected children."
   Antivir Ther, 2006. 11(4):439-45.
   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16856617">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16856617</a>
- Molla A, Korneyeva M, Gao Q, et al. Ordered accumulation of mutations in HIV protease confers resistance to ritonavir. *Nat Med*, 1996. 2(7):760-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8673921
- Kempf DJ, Isaacson JD, King MS, et al. Analysis of the virological response with respect to baseline viral phenotype and genotype in protease inhibitor-experienced HIV-1-infected patients receiving lopinavir/ritonavir therapy. *Antivir Ther*, 2002. 7(3):165-74. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12487383
- Luis Jimenez J, Resino S, et al. Mutations at codons 54 and 82 of HIV protease predict virological response of HIV-infected children on salvage lopinavir/ritonavir therapy. *Journal of Chemotherapy*, 2005. 56(6):1081-6. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16195257">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16195257</a>
- Hsu A, Isaacson J, Brun S, et al. Pharmacokineticpharmacodynamic analysis of lopinavir-ritonavir in combination with efavirenz and two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors in extensively pretreated human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2003. 47(1):350-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12499212
- 7. Casado JL, Moreno A, Sabido R, et al. Individualizing salvage regimens: the inhibitory quotient (Ctrough/IC50) as predictor of virological response. *AIDS*, 2003. 17(2):262-4. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12545089
- 8. Havens PL, Frank M, Cuene B, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir doses greater than 300 mg/m2/dose in children and

- adolescents with HIV infection. 11<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; Feb 8-11, 2004; San Francisco, CA. Abstract 937.
- 9. Delaugerre C, Teglas JP, Treluyer JM, et al. Predictive factors of virologic success in HIV-1-infected children treated with lopinavir/ritonavir. *JAIDS*, 2004. 37(2):1269-75. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15385734
- Saez-Llorens X, Violari A, Deetz CO, et al. Forty-eight-week evaluation of lopinavir/ritonavir, a new protease inhibitor, in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2003. 22(3):216-24. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12634581
- Bergshoeff AS, Fraaij PL, Ndagijimana J, et al. Increased dose of lopinavir/ritonavir compensates for efavirenz-induced drug-drug interaction in HIV-1-infected children. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2005. 39(1):63-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15851915
- 12. Fraaij PL, Neubert J, Bergshoeff AS, et al. Safety and efficacy of a NRTI-sparing HAART regimen of efavirenz and lopinavir/ritonavir in HIV-1-infected children. *Antivir Ther*, 2004. 9(2):297-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15134193
- Resino S, Bellon JM, Ramos JT, et al. Salvage lopinavir-ritonavir therapy in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. Pediatr Infect Dis J, 2004. 23(10):923-30. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15602192
- 14. Ramos JT, De Jose MI, Duenas J, et al. Safety and Antiviral Response at 12 Months of Lopinavir/Ritonavir Therapy in Human Immunodeficiency Virus-1-Infected Children Experienced With Three Classes of Antiretrovirals. Pediatr Infect Dis J, 2005. 24(10):867-73. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16220083">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16220083</a>
- Galan I, Jiménez JL, Gonzalez-Rivera M, et al. Virological phenotype switches under salvage therapy with lopinavir-ritonavir in heavily pretreated HIV-1 vertically infected children. *AIDS*, 2004. 18(2):247-55. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15075542
- Resino S, Galan I, Perez A, et al. Immunological changes after highly active antiretroviral therapy with lopinavir-ritonavir in heavily pretreated HIV-infected children. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses, 2005. 21(5):398-406. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15929702
- 17. Ananworanich J, Kosalaraksa P, Hill A, et al. Pharmacokinetics and 24-Week Efficacy/Safety of Dual Boosted Saquinavir/Lopinavir/Ritonavir in Nucleoside-Pretreated Children. *Pediatr Infect*

*Dis J*, 2005. 24(10):874-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16220084

- 18. Verweel G, Burger DM, Sheehan NL, et al. Plasma concentrations of the HIV-protease inhibitor lopinavir are suboptimal in children aged 2 years and below. *Antivir Ther*, 2007. 12(4):453-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17668553
- 19. Jullien V, Urien S, Hirt D, et al. Population analysis of weight-, age-, and sex-related differences in the pharmacokinetics of lopinavir in children from birth to 18 years. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2006. 50(11):3548-55. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16940058
- 20. Chadwick EG, Capparelli EV, Yogev R, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of lopinavir/ritonavir in infants less than 6 months of age: 24 week results. *AIDS*, 2008. 22(2):249-55. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18097227">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18097227</a>
- 21. Pinto J, Robbins B, Chen J, et al. Pharmacokinetics and 24-week efficacy and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir in HIV-1 infected infants <6 weeks of age. 14<sup>th</sup> Conference on retroviruses and opportunistic infections (CROI); Feb 25-28, 2007; Los Angeles, CA. Abstract #716.
- 22. Robbins B, Havens PL, Capparelli E, et al. Pharmacokinetics of high-dose lopinavir/ritonavir with and without saquinavir or NNRTI in HIV-infected pediatric and adolescent patients previously treated with PI. 14<sup>th</sup> Conference on retroviruses and opportunistic infections (CROI); Feb 25-28, 2007; Los Angeles, CA. Abstract 717.
- 23. Lopinavir-ritonavir (Kaletra) product label. 2006.
- 24. Resino S, Bellon JM, Munoz-Fernandez MA, et al. Antiretroviral activity and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir in protease inhibitor-experienced HIV-infected children with severe-moderate immunodeficiency. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 2006. 57(3):579-82. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16446377

# Nelfinavir (NFV, Viracept®)

URL:

http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/ See Also: Appendix B: Characteristics of Available Antiretroviral Drugs

#### Overview

There is extensive pediatric experience with NFV-based regimens in antiretroviral-naïve and -experienced children, with follow-up data in children receiving NFV for as long as 7 years [1]. NFV was approved in March 1997 and is approved for use in children >2

years of age in combination with NRTIs and NNRTIs. It is available in both oral powder and tablet formulations. NFV is active against both HIV-1 and HIV-2 strains. Exposure to NFV is significantly increased by administration with food, especially a high-fat meal. Because of the large food effect seen with NFV administration, variations in plasma concentrations are likely to occur. Like other PIs, NFV is metabolized by the CYP450 enzyme system in the liver, inhibits CYP3A4, and is associated with a number of clinically significant pharmacologic drug interactions.

#### Resistance

NFV-resistant virus contains a unique protease enzyme mutation at codon 30, which alone does not confer cross-resistance to other PIs but does result in reduced replication capacity of the HIV isolate [2]. In adults, the mutation at position 30 occurs in approximately 30% of patients with virologic failure, while a mutation at amino acid 90 occurs in only about 5% [3]. Because the mutation at position 30 does not lead to crossresistance to other PIs (unlike the mutation at position 90), some have suggested that NFV may be a good choice for use as the first PI in adults, because virologic failure accompanied by mutation at position 30 may not constrain future PI choice. However, in children failing NFV in their first PI-containing regimen, the mutation at position 30 occurred in 30% (similar to that in adults), but the mutation at position 90 was also relatively frequent, occurring in 24% of the 41 patients studied [4]. In a study among African children in Cote d' Ivoire where CRF02-AG strains of HIV-1 predominate, the 90M, 46L, 88S, or 54V mutations were found in 11 (38%) of the 29 children receiving NFV but the D30N was not detected [5]. The continued use of NFV in the presence of viremia may result in the selection of additional mutations in the protease gene at amino acid positions 30, 35, 36, 46, 48, 71, 77, 82, 84, 88, and 90, which leads to decreased susceptibility to other PIs. Although changing from NFV to another PI may be effective if the mutation at position 90 or multiple other PI mutations have not developed, changing to NFV from another PI is less likely to be effective, because mutations selected for by other PIs confer high-level cross-resistance to NFV [6].

# Adverse Effects

NFV has been relatively well tolerated in children, even when dosing schemes exceed adult recommended amounts. The most common adverse effects include diarrhea, abdominal pain, flatulence, and rash. NFV causes a secretory diarrhea through a

calcium-dependent process [7]; in adults, administration of calcium carbonate at the same time as NFV may reduce the diarrhea [8] without decreasing plasma concentrations of NFV or its major metabolite, M8 [9]. As with other PIs, new onset diabetes mellitus and exacerbations of previous hyperglycemia have been reported, as has the occurrence of the lipodystrophy syndrome. In a long-term pediatric cohort study of 39 patients receiving NFV as part of HAART, clinically evident lipodystrophy was seen in 11 (28%) children after a median of 49 months [1].

In September 2007, the U.S. manufacturer, Pfizer, sent a letter to providers regarding the presence of ethyl methane sulfonate (EMS), a process-related impurity, in Viracept (nelfinavir mesylate), the product available in the United States, and recommending against starting nelfinavir in pediatric patients initiating antiretroviral therapy. As of March 31, 2008, all Viracept (nelfinavir) manufactured and released by Pfizer now meets the new final EMS limits established by the FDA for prescribing to all patient populations, including pregnant women and pediatric patients.

# Pediatric Experience

NFV has been extensively studied in HIV-infected children in combination with other antiretroviral drugs [1,5,10-30]. In children 2–13 years of age receiving NFV as part of triple antiretroviral therapy in randomized trials, the proportion of patients with HIV RNA <400 copies/mL through 48 weeks of therapy has been quite variable, ranging from 26%-69%. Virologic and immunologic response to NFV-based therapy has varied by prior antiretroviral treatment, the number of drugs included in the combination regimen, patient age, and dose used in the study. Highly variable drug exposure remains a significant problem with the use of NFV in pediatric patients. Unpredictable drug exposure may be exacerbated in pediatric patients because of increased clearance compared to adults and difficulties with adherence to adequate food intake with dosing. In earlier studies, lower doses were used (20-30 mg/kg body weight per dose 3 times daily) than are currently recommended (45–55 mg/kg body weight per dose twice daily), accounting for some of the lower response rates. The relatively poor ability of NFV to control plasma viremia in infants and children may be related in part to its reduced potency compared to other PIs or NNRTIs, as shown by studies in adults and adolescents [17,31]. However, a significant portion of the poor outcome with NFV in children may be related to issues related to palatability of the powder formulation and pharmacokinetic differences in infants, children, and

adolescents compared to adults [18]. The pediatric formulation of NFV is a powder that alters the consistency of food or formula to which it is added making the drug unpalatable to some children, who may prefer the bitterness of the crushed tablets to the sandy consistency of food or formula containing NFV pediatric powder. In the PENTA-7 trial, 7 of 20 (35%) infants who started therapy with the NFV powder were switched to crushed tablets because of the difficulty of administering the powder to infants [15].

Better control of plasma viremia has been observed in antiretroviral-naïve than -experienced children receiving NFV. In 2 small studies including 44 antiretroviral-naïve children who received NFV in combination with 2 NRTI drugs, HIV RNA concentrations after 48 weeks of therapy were <400-500 copies/mL in 56%–69% (<50 copies/mL in 44%–48%) of children [11,12]. In contrast in PACTG 377, a study of antiretroviral-experienced children, response rates (i.e., HIV RNA concentrations <400 copies/mL) to 2 NFVcontaining triple therapy regimens (NFV plus stavudine [d4T]/lamivudine [3TC] or d4T/nevirapine [NVP]) in 94 children ranged between 30%–42% after 48 weeks of therapy [14]. Better response rates have been seen with 4-drug regimens in treatmentexperienced patients. In 2 studies including 99 children who received NFV combined with 2 NRTIs plus an NNRTI, virologic response with HIV RNA <400 copies/mL after 48 weeks of therapy was observed in 72% of children receiving efavirenz (EFV) and 52% receiving NVP as the NNRTI [13,14]. In addition, other PIs may be preferable in treatment-experienced patients. When lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/RTV) was compared to NFV for salvage therapy in 35 treatment-experienced patients after 18 months, 50% of children receiving LPV/RTV had HIV RNA concentrations <400 copies/mL, compared to <20% of children receiving NFV [28].

Antiviral response in children <2 years of age is less than in older children. Agouron Study 556 was a placebo-controlled trial of NFV in combination with zidovudine (ZDV)/didanosine (ddI) in 141 minimally pretreated HIV-infected children [32]. For the 94 children aged 2–12 years of age, week 48 HIV RNA concentrations were <400 copies/mL in 26%, compared to 2% of the 47 children <2 years of age. In a study of combination NFV-based therapy in 20 infants with median age of 2.5 months at time of

therapy initiation, after 48 and 72 weeks of therapy HIV RNA was <400 copies/mL in 37% and 44%, respectively, and <50 copies/mL in 21% and 25%, respectively [12]. Of 39 PI-naïve children receiving NFV in combination with d4T and 3TC, children with virologic failure at 48 weeks were younger at baseline than responders (0.8 versus 5.3 years) [1]. Improved virologic response may be seen with NFV-based therapy when it is used as part of a 4-drug regimen in children <2 years of age. In PACTG 356, children <2 years of age were treated with ZDV/3TC/NVP, ZDV/3TC/NVP/abacavir (ABC), or d4T/3TC/NVP/NFV [16]. More children who received the NFV-based 4-drug regimen had HIV RNA concentrations <400 copies/mL after 48 weeks of therapy than those treated with NNRTI-based therapy: 83% of 18 children who received the NFV 4-drug regimen had HIV RNA <400 copies/mL after 48 weeks of therapy, compared to 41% of 17 children who received ZDV/3TC/NVP/ABC and 24% of 17 children who received ZDV/3TC/NVP. Infants have even lower drug exposure and higher variability in plasma concentrations than children <25 kg, and the presence of lower peak drug concentrations and higher apparent oral clearance suggests that both poor absorption and more rapid metabolism may be factors [23,27]. Even with doses of 150 mg/kg/day (given 2–3 times daily), 16.7% of children had peak concentrations and 27.8% of children had 24-hour AUC that were below the 10th percentile of adult values [24]. Although it is suggested that dosing in infants might improve if a mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area dosing regimen were used [23,25], such dosing is not recommended at this time. A recent population pharmacokinetic study predicts 3-times daily dosing may be superior to twice-daily dosing in infants <2 months of age [33]. This model requires confirmation in infants. Because of the lower virologic response observed in children <2 years of age and the lack of conclusive data to recommend appropriate dosing, NFV is only recommended for initial therapy in children >2 years of age.

Determining an appropriate and effective dose of NFV in children is complicated by highly variable drug pharmacokinetics, particularly in young infants. In children aged 2–12 years, administration of NFV 30 mg/kg/dose three times daily achieves lower drug exposure than administration of 55 mg/kg/dose twice daily, and this difference is most marked in children weighing <25 kg [19]. Children <25 kg may have less than half the drug exposure than children >25 kg when comparable body weight-adjusted doses are used [20]. The variability of drug exposure at any given dose is

much higher for children than adults [21], which has been attributed at least in part to differences in the diet between children and adults. Two recent population pharmacokinetic studies of NFV and its active metabolite, M8, describe the large intersubject variability observed in children [33,34].

Studies in adults and children have demonstrated an increased risk of virologic failure associated with low NFV drug exposure, particularly with a NFV  $C_{min}$ <1.0 mcg/mL [35-37]. In a study of 32 children treated with NFV 90 mg/kg/day divided into 2 or 3 doses a day, 80% of those with morning trough NFV plasma concentration >0.8 mcg/mL had week 48 HIV RNA concentrations <50 copies/mL, compared to only 29% of those with morning trough < 0.8 mcg/mL [38]. It is of note that the median age of the group with C<sub>trough</sub> < 0.8 mcg/mL was 3.8 years, while the median age of the group with  $C_{trough} > 0.8$ mcg/mL was 8.3 years [38]. Therapeutic drug monitoring of NFV plasma concentrations, with appropriate adjustments for low drug exposure, results in improved outcome in adults treated with NFV [35,39]. Given the higher variability of NFV plasma concentrations in infants and children, the benefits of therapeutic drug monitoring and appropriate dose adjustment might be even greater for children. A response rate of 78% was found in a recent pediatric study in which concentrations of NFV and M8 were reported to treating physicians, suggesting a benefit of pharmacokinetic monitoring in children [34]. In PACTG 382, among 50 children aged 3–16 receiving both EFV and NFV, better virologic outcomes (HIV RNA <400 copies/mL) occurred in those patients when NFV AUC8h was greater than the first quartile (>10 mg\*h/L) when compared to those below the first quartile: 89% versus 42%, respectively [30]. Better virologic responses were obtained when doses were adjusted to achieve target AUC values; an approach that requires therapeutic drug monitoring.

- Scherpbier HJ, Bekker V, van Leth F, et al. Long-term experience with combination antiretroviral therapy that contains nelfinavir for up to 7 years in a pediatric cohort. *Pediatrics*, 2006. 117(3):e528-36. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16481448
- 2. Patick AK, Duran M, Cao Y, et al. Genotypic and phenotypic characterization of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 variants isolated from patients treated with the protease inhibitor

- nelfinavir. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 1998. 42(10):2637-44. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9756769
- Clotet B, Ruiz L, Martinez-Picado J, et al. Prevalence of HIV protease mutations on failure of nelfinavir-containing HAART: a retrospective analysis of four clinical studies and two observational cohorts. HIV Clin Trials, 2002. 3(4):316-23. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12187506
- Machado ES, Lambert JS, Afonso AO, et al. Alternative, age- and viral load-related routes of nelfinavir resistance in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2004. 23(11):1057-9. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15545865">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15545865</a>
- Chaix ML, Rouet F, Kouakoussui KA, et al. Genotypic human immunodeficiency virus type 1 drug resistance in highly active antiretroviral therapy-treated children in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire. Pediatr Infect Dis J, 2005. 24(12):1072-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16371868
- 6. Johnson VA, Brun-Vezinet F, Clotet B, et al. Update of the drug resistance mutations in HIV-1: 2004. *Top HIV Med*, 2004. 12(4):119-24. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15516709
- 7. Rufo PA, Lin PW, Andrade A, et al. Diarrhea-associated HIV-1 APIs potentiate muscarinic activation of Cl- secretion by T84 cells via prolongation of cytosolic Ca2+ signaling. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol*, 2004. 286(5):C998-C1008. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15075198
- Turner MJ, Angel JB, Woodend K, Giguere P. The efficacy of calcium carbonate in the treatment of protease inhibitor-induced persistent diarrhea in HIVinfected patients. HIV Clin Trials, 2004. 5(1):19-24. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15002083">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15002083</a>
- 9. Jensen-Fangel S, Justesen US, Black FT, et al. The use of calcium carbonate in nelfinavir-associated diarrhoea in HIV-1-infected patients. *HIV Med*, 2003. 4(1):48-52. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12534959
- Krogstad P, Wiznia A, Luzuriaga K, et al.
   Treatment of human immunodeficiency virus 1-infected infants and children with the protease inhibitor nelfinavir mesylate. *Clin Infect Dis*, 1999. 28(5):1109-18.
   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10452644
- 11. Funk MB, Linde R, Wintergerst U, et al.
  Preliminary experiences with triple therapy
  including nelfinavir and two reverse transcriptase
  inhibitors in previously untreated HIV-infected

- children. *AIDS*, 1999. 13(13):1653-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10509566
- 12. Paediatric European Network for Treatment of AIDS (PENTA). Comparison of dual nucleosideanalogue reverse-transcriptase inhibitor regimens with and without nelfinavir in children with HIV-1 who have not previously been treated: the PENTA 5 randomised trial. *Lancet*, 2002. 359(9308):733-40. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11888583
- 13. Starr SE, Fletcher CV, Spector SA, et al. Combination therapy with efavirenz, nelfinavir, and nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors in children infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 382 Team. *N Engl J Med*, 1999. 341(25):1874-81. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10601506
- 14. Krogstad P, Lee S, Johnson G, et al; Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 377 Study Team. Nucleoside-analogue reverse-transcriptase inhibitors plus nevirapine, nelfinavir, or ritonavir for pretreated children infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Clin Infect Dis, 2002. 34(7):991-1001. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11880966">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11880966</a>
- 15. Aboulker JP, Babiker A, Chaix ML, et al; Paediatric European Network for Treatment of AIDS. Highly active antiretroviral therapy started in infants under 3 months of age: 72-week followup for CD4 cell count, viral load and drug resistance outcome. AIDS, 2004. 18(2):237-45. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15075541">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15075541</a>
- Luzuriaga K, McManus M, Mofenson L, et al. A trial of three antiretroviral regimens in HIV-1-infected children. N Engl J Med, 2004. 350(24):2471-80. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15190139">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15190139</a>
- 17. Wu H, Lathey J, Ruan P, et al. Relationship of plasma HIV-1 RNA dynamics to baseline factors and virological responses to highly active antiretroviral therapy in adolescents (aged 12-22 years) infected through high-risk behavior. *J Infect Dis*, 2004. 189(4):593-601. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14767811
- 18. Fletcher CV. Antiretroviral therapy for HIV-infected infants: progress and pitfalls. *AIDS*, 2004. 18(2):325-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15075552
- 19. Schuster T, Linde R, Wintergerst U, et al. Nelfinavir pharmacokinetics in HIV-infected children: a comparison of twice daily and three

- times daily dosing. *AIDS*, 2000. 14(10):1466-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10930171
- Floren LC, Wiznia A, Hayashi S, et al. Nelfinavir pharmacokinetics in stable human immunodeficiency virus-positive children: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 377. *Pediatrics*, 2003. 112(3 Pt 1):e220-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12949316
- Gatti G, Castelli-Gattinara G, Cruciani M, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of nelfinavir administered twice or thrice daily to human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children. Clin Infect Dis, 2003. 36(11):1476-82. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12766843
- van Heeswijk RP, Scherpbier HJ, de Koning LA, et al. The pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in HIV-1-infected children. *Ther Drug Monit*, 2002. 24(4):487-91. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12142631
- Capparelli EV, Sullivan JL, Mofenson L, et al. Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in human immunodeficiency virus-infected infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2001. 20(8):746-51. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11734735">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11734735</a>
- 24. Litalien C, Faye A, Compagnucci A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir and its active metabolite, hydroxy-tert-butylamide, in infants perinatally infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2003. 22(1):48-55. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12544409
- 25. Bergshoeff AS, Fraaij PL, van Rossum AM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in children: influencing factors and dose implications. *Antivir Ther*, 2003. 8(3):215-22. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12924538
- King JR, Nachman S, Yogev R, et al. Efficacy, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of two Nelfinavirbased regimens in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children and adolescents: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 403. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2005. 24(10):880-5. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16220085">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16220085</a>
- 27. Mirochnick M, Stek A, Acevedo M, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir coadministered with zidovudine and lamivudine in infants during the first 6 weeks of life. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2005. 39(2):189-94. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15905735
- 28. Resino S, Bellon JM, Munoz-Fernandez MA, et al. Antiretroviral activity and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir in protease inhibitor-experienced HIV-infected children with severe-moderate immunodeficiency. *Journal of Antimicrobial*

- Chemotherapy, 2006. 57(3):579-82. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16446377
- Resino S, Larrú B, Maria Bellón J, et al. Effects of highly active antiretroviral therapy with nelfinavir in vertically HIV-1 infected children: 3 years of follow-up. Long-term response to nelfinavir in children. *BMC Infect Dis*, 2006. 11(6):107. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16834769
- 30. Fletcher CV, Brundage RC, Fenton T, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of efavirenz and nelfinavir in HIV-infected children participating in an area-under-the-curve controlled trial. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*, 2008. 83(2):300-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17609682
- 31. Walmsley S, Bernstein B, King M, et al. Lopinavir-ritonavir versus nelfinavir for the initial treatment of HIV infection. *N Engl J Med*, 2002. 346(26):2039-46. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12087139
- 32. Nelfinavir (Viracept®) product label. Revised August, 2005. Available at <a href="http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2005/021503s">http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2005/021503s</a> 006lbl.pdf.
- 33. Hirt D, Urien S, Jullien V, et al. Age-related effects of nelfinavir and M8 pharmacokinetics: a population study with 182 children. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2006. 50(3):910-6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16495250
- 34. Crommentuyn KML, Scherpbier HJ, Kuijpers TW, et al. Population pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of nelfinavir and its active metabolite M8 in HIV-1-infected children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2006. 25(6):538-43. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16732153
- 35. Burger DM, Hugen PW, Aarnoutse RE, et al. Treatment failure of nelfinavir-containing triple therapy can largely be explained by low nelfinavir plasma concentrations. *Ther Drug Monit*, 2003. 25(1):73-80. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12548148
- 36. Gonzalez de Requena D, Nunez M, de Mendoza C, et al. Nelfinavir plasma concentrations in patients experiencing early failure with nelfinavir-containing triple combinations. *AIDS*, 2003. 17(3):442-4. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12556700
- 37. Pellegrin I, Breilh D, Montestruc F, et al. Virologic response to nelfinavir-based regimens: pharmacokinetics and drug resistance mutations (VIRAPHAR study). *AIDS*, 2002. 16(10):1331-40. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12131209

- 38. Burger DM, Bergshoeff AS, De Groot R, et al. Maintaining the nelfinavir trough concentration above 0.8 mg/L improves virologic response in HIV-1-infected children. *J Pediatr*, 2004. 145(3):403-5. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15343199">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15343199</a>
- 39. Burger D, Hugen P, Reiss P, et al. Therapeutic drug monitoring of nelfinavir and indinavir in treatment-naive HIV-1-infected individuals. *AIDS*, 2003. 17(8):1157-65. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12819517">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12819517</a>

# Ritonavir (RTV, Norvir®)

URL: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugs">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugs</a> atfda/

See Also: Appendix B: Characteristics of Available
Antiretroviral Drugs

(Revised February 23, 2009)

### Overview

Ritonavir (RTV) is approved for use in children in combination with other antiretroviral agents and is available as liquid and capsule formulations [1]. It has specific activity for HIV-1 and, to a lesser extent, HIV-2. RTV is a potent inhibitor of the CYP450 enzyme pathway and significantly interferes with the metabolism of many medications, including macrolides, fluticasone, and certain antihistamines (see Tables 15a-e and 16a-b from the Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in HIV-1-Infected Adults and Adolescents and the product label). Concomitant use of RTV and fluticasone has resulted in increased fluticasone concentrations causing decreased serum cortisol concentrations and Cushing syndrome [2-4]. Although RTV inhibits the CYP450 CYP3A, it induces its own metabolism. It is well absorbed, with a half-life of 2 to 4 hours in children [5,6]. Pharmacokinetic studies in HIV-infected children 2-14 years of age may indicate that although RTV clearance is similar to that seen in adults, variability in clearance is likely to be greater in children than in adults due to age-related changes in drug metabolism. Because RTV autoinduces its own metabolism, when RTV is used as the sole PI in full dose in a regimen, it should be started at a lower dose (250 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area twice daily) and increased at 2 to 3 day intervals (by 50 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface areas twice daily) to the recommended maximum dose of 350-400 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area.

#### Resistance

The most significant genotypic resistance mutations associated with RTV are those found at protease

codons 46, 82, 84, and 71. Multiple genotypic mutations are required for resistance to develop, although the 82 mutation appears to be necessary but not sufficient to confer phenotypic resistance. There is cross-resistance between RTV and indinavir (IDV), and many isolates resistant to IDV may also be resistant to saquinavir. Use of one of these agents following the failure of another is not routinely recommended unless viral resistance status is known for the specific PI.

# Adverse Effects

One small phase I study in children demonstrated a high rate of gastrointestinal intolerance with use of RTV [5]. However, larger studies have shown better tolerance of the drug, particularly when dose escalation is used when initiating therapy. In PACTG 338, approximately 80% of children were able to tolerate RTV at 24 weeks of therapy [7]. Circumoral paresthesia and taste perversion have been reported in adults receiving the drug. Hepatic transaminase elevations exceeding 5 times the upper limit of normal, clinical hepatitis, and jaundice have been reported in adults receiving RTV alone or in combination with other antiretroviral drugs. There may be an increased risk for transaminase elevation in patients with hepatitis B or C virus infection. Caution should be exercised when administering RTV to patients with pre-existing liver disease. Hyperlipidemia is associated with PI use in adults and children and RTV use has been independently associated with increased risk for hypertriglyceridemia in children [8-10].

Full-dose ritonavir has been shown to prolong the PR interval in a study of healthy adults who were given ritonavir at 400 mg twice daily [11]. There have been two reports of PR-interval abnormalities and resultant arrythmias in adults receiving lopinavir/ritonavir [12,13]; however, there have been no reports of such problems with lopinavir/ritonavir in children. In PACTG 1020A, there was no evidence that ritonavir increased the risk of PR interval prolongation when used in low dose with atazanavir for boosting [14]. The impact on the PR interval of coadministration of ritonavir with other drugs that prolong the PR interval (e.g., macrolides, quinolones, methadone) is not known, and coadministration should be undertaken with caution. In addition, patients with underlying structural heart disease, conduction system abnormalities, ischemic heart disease, or cardiomyopathy may be at increased risk for developing cardiac conduction abnormalities.

# and ritonavir should be used with caution in these patients.

# Pediatric Experience

RTV has been studied in HIV-infected children as monotherapy and in combination with other antiretroviral drugs [5-7,15-23]. Data from several pediatric studies demonstrate that RTV appears safe and is associated with clinical and virologic response in children.

RTV was studied in combination with one or two NRTIs in children in PACTG 338; there was a mean decrease of >1.5 log in HIV RNA concentrations after 12 weeks of therapy [19]. After 48 weeks of RTV plus two NRTIs, 42% of children maintained HIV RNA concentrations below the limit of detection of the assay, compared with 27% of children receiving RTV plus only one NRTI. Another small study of PI-naïve children receiving RTV with two NRTIs showed an increase of >400 CD4 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> after 12 months of therapy [20]. PACTG Protocol 377 randomized antiretroviral experienced and PI- and NNRTI-naïve children to four different treatment regimens, including RTV/stavudine (d4T)/nevirapine (NVP). The median increase in CD4 cell count for those on this regimen was 254 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, and 41% of children had HIV RNA <400 copies/mL at 24 weeks of treatment [21].

As in adults, RTV can be used as a pharmacokinetic enhancer of other PIs in children. RTV acts by inhibiting the metabolism of the other PI, therefore increasing the plasma concentration of the second PI. Lopinavir/RTV, a PI coformulation, has been well studied in children and is the preferred PI for initial therapy in children (see <a href="Lopinavir/Ritonavir">Lopinavir/Ritonavir</a>). Pediatric dosing regimens including boosted fosamprenavir, tipranavir, darunavir, and atazanavir are now available (see individual PIs for more specific information).

Similar to other PIs, clearance of RTV is greater in young infants than in older children and adults. Preliminary data from PACTG 345, which evaluated RTV alone and in combination with lamivudine and zidovudine in children <2 years of age, demonstrated that concentrations are highly variable, and doses of 350–450 mg/m² twice a day may not be sufficient for long-term suppression of viral replication in this age group [15].

Although RTV has been well studied, its use in children as a sole PI for initial therapy is recommended

only under certain circumstances. RTV is associated with a higher incidence of gastrointestinal toxicity and has a greater potential for drug-drug interactions than other PIs. Poor palatability of the liquid preparation and large pill burden with the capsules (adult dose is six capsules twice daily) limit its use as a sole PI. Most RTV use in adults and children is as a pharmacokinetic enhancer with other PIs.

- FDA notifications. FDA approves pediatric dosing for ritonavir. AIDS Alerts, 2005. 20(11):129-30. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16365934">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16365934</a>
- Arrington-Sanders R, Hutton N and Siberry GK. Ritonavir-fluticasone interaction causing Cushing syndrome in HIV-infected children and adolescents. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2006. 25(11):1044-8. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17072128">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17072128</a>
- 3. Johnson SR, Marion AA, Vrchoticky T, et al. Cushing syndrome with secondary adrenal insufficiency from concomitant therapy with ritonavir and fluticasone. *J Pediatr*, 2006. 148(3):386-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16615973
- 4. Bhumbra NA, Sahloff EG, Oehrtman SJ, et al. Exogenous Cushing syndrome with inhaled fluticasone in a child receiving lopinavir/ritonavir. *Ann Pharmacother*, 2007. 41(7):1306-9. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17535841">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17535841</a>
- Mueller BU, Nelson RPJr, Sleasman J, et al. A phase I/II study of the protease inhibitor ritonavir in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatrics*, 1998. 101(3 Pt 1):335-43. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9480994">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9480994</a>
- Fletcher CV, Yogev R, Nachman SA, et al. Pharmacokinetic characteristics of ritonavir, zidovudine, lamivudine, and stavudine in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pharmacotherapy*, 2004. 24(4):453-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15098798
- Yogev R, Lee S, Wiznia A, et al. for the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 338 Study Team. Stavudine, nevirapine and ritonavir in stable antiretroviral therapy-experienced children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. Pediatr Infect Dis J, 2002. 21(2):119-25. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11840078">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11840078</a>
- Aldamiz-Echevarria L, Pocheville I, Sanjurjo P et al. Abnormalities in plasma fatty acid composition in human immunodeficiency virusinfected children treated with protease inhibitors.

- Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(6):672-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16188767
- Solorzano Santos F, Gochicoa Rangel LG, Palacios Saucedo G, et al. Hypertriglyceridemia and hypercholesterolemia in human immunodeficiency virus-1-infected children treated with protease inhibitors. *Arch Med Res*, 2006. 37(1):129-32. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16314198
- Carter R J, Wiener J, Abrams EJ, et al.
   Dyslipidemia among perinatally HIV-infected children enrolled in the PACTS-HOPE cohort, 1999-2004: a longitudinal analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2006. 41(4):453-60.
   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16652053">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16652053</a>
- Changes to Norvir labeling. AIDS Patient Care STDS, 2008. 22(10):834-5. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18924248">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18924248</a>
- 12. Kikuchi Y, Genka I, Ishizaki A, et al. Serious bradyarrhythmia that was possibly induced by lopinavir-ritonavir in 2 patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2002. 35(4):488-90. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12145735
- 13. Yotsumoto M, Kitano K and Saito H. Bradycardia-tachycardia syndrome induced by lopinavirritonavir in a patient with AIDS. *AIDS*, 2005. 19(14):1547-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16135911
- 14. Rutstein R, Samson P, Kiser J, et al. Atazanavir in HIV-infected children: The 1020a protocol. 2007 CROI Annual Meeting; February 27, 2007; Los Angeles, CA. Abstract 715.
- 15. Gould Chadwick E, Rodman JH, Britto P, et al. the PACTG Protocol 345 Team. Ritonavir-Based Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy in Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1-Infected Infants Younger Than 24 Months of Age. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2005. 24(9):793-800. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16148846
- 16. Krogstad P, Lee S, Johnson G, et al; Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 377 Study Team. Nucleoside-analogue reverse-transcriptase inhibitors plus nevirapine, nelfinavir, or ritonavir for pretreated children infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Clin Infect Dis, 2002. 34(7):991-1001. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11880966
- 17. Palacios GC, Palafox VL, Alvarez-Munoz MT, et al. Response to two consecutive protease inhibitor combination therapy regimens in a cohort of HIV-1-infected children. *Scand J Infect Dis*, 2002. 34(1):41-4. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11874163

- 18. King JR, Nachman S, Yogev R, et al. Efficacy, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of two Nelfinavir-based regimens in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children and adolescents: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 403. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2005. 24(10):880-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16220085
- 19. Nachman SA, Stanley K, Yogev R, et al. Nucleoside analogs plus ritonavir in stable antiretroviral therapy-experienced HIV-infected children: a randomized controlled trial. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 338 Study Team. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2000. 283(4):492-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10659875
- Thuret I, Michel G, Chambost H, et al. Combination antiretroviral therapy including ritonavir in children infected with human immunodeficiency. *AIDS*, 1999. 13(1):81-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10207548
- 21. Wiznia A, Stanley K, Krogstad P, et al. Combination nucleoside analog reverse transcriptase inhibitor(s) plus nevirapine, nelfinavir, or ritonavir in stable antiretroviral therapy- experienced HIV-infected children: week 24 results of a randomized controlled trial-PACTG 377. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 377 Study Team. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses, 2000. 16(12):1113-21. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10954886
- 22. Bergshoeff AS, Fraaij PL, van Rossum AM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of indinavir combined with low-dose ritonavir in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2004. 48(5):1904-7. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15105157">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15105157</a>
- 23. van Rossum AM, de Groot R, Hartwig NG, et al. Pharmacokinetics of indinavir and low-dose ritonavir in children with HIV-1 infection. *AIDS*, 2000. 14(14):2209-10. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11061667

# Saquinavir (SQV, Invirase®)

URL: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/</a>

See Also: Appendix B: Characteristics of Available
Antiretroviral Drugs

Overview

In 1995, saquinavir (SQV) became the first PI approved for use in adults and adolescents >16 years

of age in combination therapy with NRTIs. In its original formulation as a hard gel capsule (Invirase), it had very limited bioavailability (~ 4%) following oral administration. In 1997, the FDA approved a soft gel capsule preparation (Fortovase) with significantly enhanced oral bioavailability. In 2003, the FDA approved Invirase for use in boosted dosing regimens with ritonavir (RTV), allowing for twice-daily dosing. In February 2006, the sale and distribution of Fortovase was discontinued and replaced by the 200 mg capsule and 500 mg film-coated tablet formulations of Invirase. SQV has not been formally approved for use in children and is not yet available in a liquid preparation.

SQV is more than 90% metabolized by CYP3A4 isoenzymes, the same enzyme system that metabolizes RTV. RTV, nelfinavir (NFV), and lopinavir (LPV)/RTV have been shown to inhibit the metabolism of SQV; plasma concentrations of SQV are increased when it is coadministered with these agents. As with the other PIs, multiple pharmacological interactions are possible with coadministered agents that are also metabolized by CYP3A4. A study of omeprazole, a proton-pump-inhibitor, with RTV-boosted SQV in healthy adults showed an increase of 82% in saquinavir exposure [1].

Because of low bioavailability SQV should only be used in adults when administered with another PI. Such "SQV boosting" has been studied in adults using NFV, RTV, LPV/RTV, or atazanavir (ATV) as the second PI [2-10]. Several studies in adults have examined oncedaily regimens of SQV (1,200–1,600 mg) boosted by RTV/ATV or RTV [11-13].

#### Resistance

Resistance to SQV is associated with a unique mutation pattern in the HIV protease gene, primarily in codons coding for amino acids at positions 48 and 90. Secondary mutations, which also contribute to resistance, may occur at amino acid positions 10, 54, 71, 73, 77, 82, and 84. Viral isolates resistant to SQV are not necessarily resistant to the other PIs. However, phenotypic resistance to NFV has been demonstrated following SQV use, despite the lack of the usual NFV resistance mutations (e.g., D30N), perhaps caused by the secondary resistance mutations sometimes selected for by SOV, especially at positions 54 and 82 [14]. Continued use of SOV without complete virologic suppression may lead to cross-resistance with other PIs due to the accumulation of secondary mutations. Viral isolates resistant to RTV and indinavir are usually also resistant to SOV.

# Adverse Effects

The drug is usually well tolerated; mild gastrointestinal disturbances (e.g., diarrhea, nausea, abdominal pain) and reversible elevations in liver function tests are the most common side effects reported in adults. As with all agents in this class, new onset diabetes mellitus, exacerbation of pre-existing diabetes mellitus, hyperglycemia, and diabetic ketoacidosis have been reported with the use of SQV. Elevated cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations have been reported in some children taking SQV in combination with RTV [15].

#### Pediatric Experience

SQV has been studied with NRTIs and other PIs in HIV-infected children [2,16-20]. Initial studies in children demonstrated that the pharmacokinetics of the soft gel formulation of SQV were different than that in adults; SOV administered as the sole PI resulted in concentrations much lower than observed in adults, and did not reliably provide effective plasma drug concentrations in children [16,17]. In one study, children <24 kg receiving a 50 mg/kg/dose every 8 hours had drug exposures similar to that in adults. However, children >28 kg required approximately 2-fold higher doses than the adult dose (1,200 mg every 8 hours) to gain more acceptable SOV drug exposure [18]. Thus, combination of SQV with another PI that would increase drug exposure will also be required in children, but data on the appropriate drug doses for children are not yet available.

SQV in combination with NFV, RTV, or LPV/RTV has been studied in pediatric patients [2,16-19]. Administration of SQV in combination with NFV (33 mg/kg SQV and 30 mg/kg NFV, both given 3 times daily) resulted in increased SOV exposure in children to concentrations that approached those observed in adults [18]. In 13 children receiving this regimen, the median change in HIV RNA levels was 2.58 log copies/mL, with 62% of children having HIV RNA concentrations <50 copies/mL at 48 weeks [17]. In a study of 23 pediatric patients, a significant correlation between average trough concentration and sustained viral suppression was observed, with an apparent threshold mean trough SOV concentration >200 ng/mL correlating with sustained viral suppression [18].

SQV has also been studied in children in combination with RTV; in 6 children (median age 9.5 years) treated with 2 NRTIs plus SQV plus RTV

for salvage therapy (SQV 15-30 mg/kg/dose and RTV 250–400 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area per dose, both given twice daily), there was a drop in virus load of -1.4 log copies/mL by 6 months of therapy, but no patient achieved an undetectable viral load [16]. For 7 children failing therapy with zidovudine, didanosine, and SOV hard gel capsules (Invirase 400-500 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area given 3 times daily, maximum dose 600 mg 3 times daily), the addition of RTV 300–400 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area given twice daily resulted in median change in viral load of -3.6 log copies/mL, with 5 out of 7 achieving HIV RNA <400 copies/mL (and 3 out of 7 achieving <50 copies/mL) (Palacios, 2002) [19]. In 20 RTIpretreated Thai children, the dual-boosted twice-daily combination of SQV/LPV/RTV (SQV 50 mg/kg, LPV 230 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area and RTV 57.5 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area twice daily) resulted in high concentrations of both SOV and LPV and 80% of subjects had HIV RNA <400 copies/mL after 24 weeks of treatment [2].

In a study evaluating the addition of SQV (750 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area every 12 hours, maximum dose 1,600 mg) to a LPV/RTV-containing regimen at week 2 in children who did not achieve a virologic-based target LPV concentration, 18 subjects (median age 14.2 years, range 7.7–17.6) required the addition of SQV. The addition of SQV at these doses was well tolerated and did not appear to alter LPV pharmacokinetics [21].

Although both SQV/RTV and SQV/LPV/RTV regimens are promising, the appropriate dosing in children and adolescents for the different possible PI combinations is not known. Additional pharmacokinetic studies are necessary before more definitive dosing recommendations can be made.

- Winston A, Back D, Fletcher C, et al. Effect of omeprazole on the pharmacokinetics of saquinavir-500 mg formulation with ritonavir in healthy male and female volunteers. AIDS, 2006. 20(10):1401-6. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16791014">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16791014</a>
- 2. Ananworanich J, Kosalaraksa P, Hill A, et al. Pharmacokinetics and 24-Week Efficacy/Safety of Dual Boosted Saquinavir/Lopinavir/Ritonavir in Nucleoside-Pretreated Children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2005. 24(10):874-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16220084
- 3. Kurowski M, Sternfeld T, Sawyer A, et al. Pharmacokinetic and tolerability profile of twice-

- daily saquinavir hard gelatin capsules and saquinavir soft gelatin capsules boosted with ritonavir in healthy volunteers. *HIV Med*, 2003. 4(2):94-100.
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12702129
- Plosker GL, Scott LJ. Saquinavir: a review of its use in boosted regimens for treating HIV infection. *Drugs*, 2003. 63(12):1299-324. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12790697">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12790697</a>
- 5. Cardiello PG, Monhaphol T, Mahanontharit A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of once-daily saquinavir hard-gelatin capsules and saquinavir soft-gelatin capsules boosted with ritonavir in HIV-1-infected subjects. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2003. 32(4):375-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12640194
- Stephan C, Hentig N, Kourbeti I, et al. Saquinavir drug exposure is not impaired by the boosted double protease inhibitor combination of lopinavir/ritonavir. AIDS, 2004. 18(3):503-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15090803
- King JR, Wynn H, Brundage R, Acosta EP. Pharmacokinetic enhancement of protease inhibitor therapy. *Clin Pharmacokinet*, 2004. 43(5):291-310. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15080763">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15080763</a>
- 8. Haas DW, Zala C, Schrader S, et al. Therapy with atazanavir plus saquinavir in patients failing highly active antiretroviral therapy: a randomized comparative pilot trial. *AIDS*, 2003. 17(9):1339-49. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12799555
- 9. Boffito M, Kurowski M, Kruse G, et al. Atazanavir enhances saquinavir hard-gel concentrations in a ritonavir-boosted once-daily regimen. *AIDS*, 2004. 18(9):1291-7. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15362661
- 10. Schutz M, Sargent S, Kakuda T. Optimizing dosing strategies for the combination of atazanavir plus saquinavir. *AIDS*, 2004. 18(4):704-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15090782
- 11. Winston A, Mallon PW, Satchell C, et al. The safety, efficacy, and pharmacokinetic profile of a switch in antiretroviral therapy to saquinavir, ritonavir, and atazanavir alone for 48 weeks and a switch in the saquinavir formulation. *Clin Infect Dis*, 2007. 44(11):1475-83. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17479946
- 12. Marin-Niebla A, Lopez-Cortes LF, Ruiz-Valderas R, et al. Clinical and pharmacokinetic data support once-daily low-dose boosted saquinavir (1,200 milligrams saquinavir with 100 milligrams ritonavir) in treatment-naive or

limited protease inhibitor-experienced human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 2007. 51(6):2035-42.

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17371813

- Ananworanich J, Hirschel B, Sirivichayakul S, et al. Absence of resistance mutations in antiretroviral-naive patients treated with ritonavir-boosted saquinavir. *Antivir Ther*, 2006. 11(5):631-5. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16964832">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16964832</a>
- Servais J, Hainaut M, Schmitz V, et al. Resistance testing in children changing human immunodeficiency virus type 1 protease inhibitor. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2002. 21(3):214-20. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12005085">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12005085</a>
- Solorzano Santos F, Gochicoa Rangel LG, Palacios Saucedo G, et al. Hypertriglyceridemia and hypercholesterolemia in human immunodeficiency virus-1-infected children treated with protease inhibitors. *Arch Med Res*, 2006. 37(1):129-32. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16314198">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16314198</a>
- 16. Hoffmann F, Notheis G, Wintergerst U, et al. Comparison of ritonavir plus saquinavir- and nelfinavir plus saquinavir-containing regimens as salvage therapy in children with human immunodeficiency type 1 infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2000. 19(1):47-51. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10643850">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10643850</a>
- 17. Kline MW, Brundage RC, Fletcher CV, et al. Combination therapy with saquinavir soft gelatin capsules in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2001. 20(7):666-71. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11465838">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11465838</a>
- Grub S, DeLora P, Ludin E, et al.
   Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of
   saquinavir in pediatric patients with human
   immunodeficiency virus infection. Clin Pharmacol
   Ther, 2002. 71(3):122-30.
   <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11907486">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11907486</a>
- Palacios GC, Palafox VL, Alvarez-Munoz MT, et al. Response to two consecutive protease inhibitor combination therapy regimens in a cohort of HIV-1infected children. *Scand J Infect Dis*, 2002. 34(1):41-4. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11874163">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11874163</a>
- 20. De Luca M, Miccinesi G, Chiappini E, et al. Different kinetics of immunlogical recovery using nelfinavir or lopinavir/ritonavir regimens in children with perinatal HIV-1 Infection. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol*, 2005. 18(4):729-35. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16388722
- 21. Robbins B, Havens PL, Capparelli E, et al. Pharmacokinetics of high-dose lopinavir/ritonavir with and without saquinavir or NNRTI in HIV-

infected pediatric and adolescent patients previously treated with PI. 14<sup>th</sup> Conference on retroviruses and opportunistic infections (CROI); Feb 25-28, 2007; Los Angeles, CA. Abstract 717.

# Tipranavir (TPV, Aptivus®)

URL:http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/

See Also: Appendix B: Characteristics of Available Antiretroviral Drugs (Revised July 29, 2008)

#### Overview

Tipranavir (TPV) is a nonpeptidic HIV-1 PI. TPV coadministered with ritonavir (RTV) was approved by the FDA in June 2005 for treatment of HIV-1 infection in adult patients who are treatment experienced or have HIV-1 strains resistant to multiple PIs, and who have evidence of viral replication. The indication and approval of TPV/RTV was based on analyses of HIV RNA concentrations documented in two controlled studies (RESIST-1 and RESIST-2) of TPV/RTV given over 24 weeks to adults with clinically advanced disease and treatment experience with three classes (NRTI, NNRTI, and PI) of antiretroviral drugs [1,2]. TPV was approved for use in pediatric patients aged 2–18 years in June 2008.

TPV oral solution contains 116 IU per mL of vitamin E. The recommended dose of TPV (14 mg per kg body weight) results in a vitamin E dose of 16 IU per kg body weight per day in children receiving the oral solution. For a child weighing 20 kg, this is a vitamin E dose of 320 IU, which is significantly higher than the reference daily intake for vitamin E for children or adults (pediatrics 10 IU, adults 30 IU). Excess ingestion of vitamin E has been associated with creatinuria, decreased platelet aggregation, impaired wound healing, hepatomegaly, prolongation of the prothrombin time, and the potentiation of vitamin K deficiency coagulopathy. High-dose vitamin E may increase the hypoprothrominemic response to drugs such as warfarin, and concurrent use of vitamin E doses >400 IU/day should be avoided in patients taking oral anticoagulants. Patients taking the oral solution should not take any supplemental vitamin E greater than a standard multivitamin.

TPV must be coadministered with RTV to exert its therapeutic effect. TPV and RTV are not

coformulated and must be given twice daily as the two separate products. Failure to correctly coadminister TPV with RTV will result in plasma concentrations of TPV that are insufficient to achieve the desired antiviral effect and will alter some of the known drugdrug interactions.

Several clinically important points were identified in the review of the pivotal trials. The use of other active agents with TPV/RTV was associated with a greater likelihood of treatment response. Genotypic or phenotypic testing and treatment history should guide the use of TPV/RTV because the number of baseline primary PI mutations affects the virologic response (see below under "Resistance").

Metabolism of TPV is complex. TPV is a CYP3A substrate, an inhibitor of multiple other CYP450 enzymes, and a P-glycoprotein (P-gp) substrate and apparent inducer. When combined with RTV, the net effect is CYP3A inhibition and P-gp induction. The extensive drug-drug interaction potential of TPV/RTV when coadministered with multiple classes of drugs must be considered prior to and during use of TPV/RTV.

#### Resistance

Analyses of HIV-1 genotypes in heavily treatment experienced adults demonstrated that mutations at 16 amino acid codons of the protease gene were associated with reduced susceptibility to TPV: L10V, I13V, K20M/R/V, L33F, E35G, M36I, K43T, M46L, I47V, I54A/M/V, Q58E, H69K, T74P, V82L/T, N83D, and I84V. In the pivotal trials (RESIST-1 and RESIST-2), response to TPV/RTV decreased with increasing numbers of protease mutations. Response rates were reduced if ≥5 PI-associated mutations were present at baseline and if subjects did not receive concomitant enfuvirtide. TPV/RTV was associated with better virologic responses in patients with similar numbers of baseline PI mutations than the responses to the comparator PI/RTV [3]. Resistance profiles in adults and pediatric patients are similar.

### Adverse Effects

In adult patients, the most commonly reported adverse effects observed with the use of TPV/RTV included diarrhea, nausea, fatigue, headache, and vomiting. Mild-to-moderate rashes have been reported in subjects receiving TPV/RTV and were reported in more female than male patients (10% versus 8%, respectively). In one drug interaction study of TPV/RTV with oral ethinyl estradiol, 33% of healthy female volunteers

developed rash. Rash also appears more common in pediatric than adult patients; in pediatric trials, the overall frequency of rash (all grades) through 48 weeks of therapy was 21%; most rashes were mild (5% were moderate), and interruption and discontinuation of treatment due to rash was infrequent (3% and 0.9%, respectively). TPV should be discontinued if severe skin rash develops. TPV contains a sulfa moiety and should be used with caution in patients with known sulfonamide allergy.

Treatment with TPV/RTV has been associated with large increases in total cholesterol and triglycerides. Cholesterol and triglyceride testing should be performed prior to initiating TPV/RTV and at periodic intervals during therapy.

TPV/RTV has been associated with reports of clinical hepatitis and hepatic decompensation, including some fatalities. For all patients, liver function tests should be performed at initiation of treatment with TPV/RTV and monitored frequently throughout treatment. Patients with chronic hepatitis B or hepatitis C coinfection are at increased risk for developing worsening transaminase elevations or hepatic decompensation and warrant extra vigilance. TPV is contraindicated in patients with moderate to severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh class B and C).

TPV, coadministered with 200 mg of RTV, has been associated with reports of both fatal and nonfatal intracranial hemorrhage in HIV-infected adults. Many of these patients had other medical conditions or were receiving concomitant medications that may have caused or contributed to these events. No pattern of abnormal coagulation parameters has been observed in patients in general or preceding the development of intracranial hemorrhage. Therefore, routine measurement of coagulation parameters is not currently indicated in the management of patients on TPV [1]. TPV should be used with caution in patients who may be at risk of increased bleeding from trauma, surgery or other medical conditions, who are receiving medications known to increase the risk of bleeding such as antiplatelet agents and anticoagulants, or who are taking supplemental high doses of vitamin E.

(http://www.fda.gov/medwatch/SAFETY/2007/May PI/Aptivus PI.pdf) In the TPV pediatric study, the frequency of any bleeding adverse reactions was 10% with follow-up through 100 weeks, with the most frequent event being epistaxis (3.7%).

### Pediatric Experience

TPV is approved in children age >2 years; approval was based on a pediatric study of the safety, efficacy, and pharmacokinetics of TPV/RTV in HIV-infected children enrolled in an open-label, multicenter, randomized trial, PACTG 1051/BI-1182.14. The study enrolled treatment-experienced children (with the exception of 3 treatment-naïve patients) age 2–18 years with baseline HIV RNA >1,500 copies/mL; there were 3 age strata: 2-<6 years (25 patients), 6-<12 years (38 patients), and 12–18 years (52 patients). Children were randomized to 1 of 2 doses of TPV coadministered with RTV: TPV/RTV 290 mg/115 mg per m<sup>2</sup> body surface area (low dose, 58 patients) or 375 mg/150 mg per m<sup>2</sup> body surface area (high dose, 57 patients) twice daily plus optimized background therapy. All children initially received the oral solution; patients who were age >12 years and received the maximum adult dose of 500 mg TPV/200 mg RTV twice daily could change to TPV capsules. Median age was 11.7 years; the patients had prior exposure to a median of 4 NRTI drugs, 1 NNRTI drug, and 2 PIs.

TPV pharmacokinetics of the liquid formulation at steady state were assessed in 52 children (1 antiretroviral-naïve child, 51 antiretroviral-experienced children) [4]. TPV/RTV oral solutions were administered at doses of 290/115 and 375/150 mg/meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area twice daily in combination with standard-of-care background medication. TPV trough concentrations for pediatric patients receiving TPV/RTV 290/115 mg/meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area were consistent with TPV trough concentrations achieved in adults receiving standard TPV/RTV 500 mg/200 mg dosing. The higher dose (375/150 mg/meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area) reflected a 30% increase in the adult dose. Population pharmacokinetic analysis demonstrated that TPV clearance can be affected by body weight and that volume of distribution can be affected by age.

Virologic and immunologic data from 48 weeks of therapy in 115 children (all but 3 treatment experienced) enrolled in the above trial were analyzed [5,6]. Among patients receiving the lower dose (TPV/RTV 290/115 mg/meter² body surface area), 40% achieved HIV RNA <400 copies/mL and 35% <50 copies/mL after 48 weeks; among those receiving the higher dose (TPV/RTV 375/150 mg/meter² body surface area), 46% achieved HIV RNA <400 copies/mL and 35% <50 copies/mL after 48 weeks of therapy. The proportion of patients with HIV RNA

<400 copies/mL tended to be greater in the youngest group of patients (70%), who had less baseline resistance mutations compared to the older groups (<40%). TPV treatment was associated with a mean increase in CD4 cell count of 157 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> and 96 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> in lower and higher dose groups, respectively. TPV/RTV was well tolerated; 4% of children experienced a drug-related serious adverse event, and 9% of patients discontinued study drugs due to adverse events. The most common adverse events were gastrointestinal disturbances. Moderate or severe laboratory toxicity was seen in 11% of children (primarily increase in GGT and CPK). Virologic outcome was predicted by genotypic inhibitory quotient (GIQ), a measure of the median TPV trough concentration divided by the number of TPV mutations. The GIQ was consistently greater in the high dose, TPV/RTV 375/150 mg/meter<sup>2</sup> body surface area [6]. Based on these findings, the highdose regimen has been recommended.

- Tipranavir product label, revised July 23, 2008. Available at <a href="http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2006/021814s">http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2006/021814s</a> 001s002lbl.pdf.
- Croom KF, Keam SJ. Tipranavir: a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor. *Drugs*, 2005. 65(12):1669-77. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16060700">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16060700</a>
- 3. Schapiro J, Cahn P, Trottier B, et al. Effect of baseline genotype on response to tipranavir/ritonavir compared with standard-of-care comparator in treatment-experienced patients: The Phase 3 RESIST-1 and -2 Trials. 12<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; Feb 22-25, 2005; Boston, MA. Abstract 104.
- Sabo JP, Cahn P, della Negra M, et al. Population pharmacokinetic (PK) assessment of systemic steady-state tipranavir (TPV) concentrations for HIV+ pediatric patients administered tipranavir/ritonavir (TPV/r) 290/115 mg/m2 and 375/150 mg/m2 BID (BI 1192.14 and PACTG 1051 study team). 13<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 5-9, 2006; Denver, CO. Abstract R136, poster 687.
- 5. Salazar J, Cahn P, della Negra M, et al. Efficacy and safety results of 48 weeks of treatment with APTIVUS oral solution co-administered with low dose ritonavir (APTIVUS/r) in children and teenagers (a phase I/IIa study). 16<sup>th</sup> International

- AIDS Conference, August 13-18, 2006, Toronto, Canada. Abstract WeAB0301.
- Salazar J, Cahn P, della Negra M, et al. Predictors of response to TPV/r in pediatric patients: results of PACTG1051/BI1182.14. 14<sup>th</sup> Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 25-28, 2007; Los Angeles, CA. Poster 733.

# **Entry and Fusion Inhibitors**

# Enfuvirtide (Fuzeon<sup>TM</sup>, T-20)

URL: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/</a>

See Also: <u>Appendix B: Characteristics of Available</u> Antiretroviral Drugs

#### Overview

Enfuvirtide (T-20) was approved in March 2003 for HIV-infected adults and children >6 years of age for use in combination with other antiretroviral drugs for the treatment of HIV infection in treatmentexperienced patients with evidence of HIV replication despite ongoing antiretroviral therapy. T-20 is a novel, synthetic, 36 amino acid peptide that binds to a region of the HIV envelope glycoprotein gp41; this binding prevents fusion of the virus envelope with the membrane of the CD4 host cell. It is a potent and selective inhibitor of HIV-1 entry in vitro and has induced virologic responses in phase III clinical trials in adults and in phase I/II trials in children [1-6]. In trials of novel agents such as integrase inhibitors or newer PIs, individuals who were naïve to T-20 and added it to their optimized background regimen had superior results when compared to those with prior T-20 experience [7,8]. T-20 comes as a sterile powder that must be reconstituted with sterile water and administered by subcutaneous injection. Each injection should be given at a site different from the preceding injection site, and should not be injected into moles, scar tissue, bruises, or the navel. T-20 is approximately 92% protein bound, predominantly to albumin. As a peptide, T-20 undergoes catabolism to its constituent amino acids, with subsequent recycling of the amino acids into the general body pool. T-20 does not affect the metabolism of drugs metabolized by liver CYP450 enzymes.

#### Resistance

Clinical isolates of HIV that are resistant to NRTIs, NNRTIs, and PIs remain susceptible to T-20 in cell culture. However, HIV isolates with reduced

susceptibility to T-20 have been selected in vitro, although primary resistance to T-20 in patients without prior T-20 treatment is very rare [9]. The results from in vitro studies indicate that two amino acid substitutions (G36S and V38M) within the HR1 region of the HIV gp41 glycoprotein can lead to T-20 resistance [10]. In clinical trials in adults, HIV isolates with reduced susceptibility to T-20 have been recovered, demonstrating that HIV quasispecies in infected patients can undergo in vivo selection of resistant variants as a result of T-20 therapy. Decreases in susceptibility ranging from 4- to 422fold relative to baseline virus have been observed with genotypic changes in gp41 amino acids 36 to 45 and this can occur within 2 weeks of initiating a T-20, nonsuppressive regimen [11]. A recent report suggests that there is a relationship between V38 mutants and persistent increases in CD4 counts while O40 mutants appear to have persistent loss of CD4 cells [12]. Antibodies to HIV-1 gp41 that are crossreactive to T-20 do not appear to decrease its clinical efficacy [13].

### Adverse Effects

Local injection site reactions are common, occurring in 98% of adults and 87% of children, although only a few patients report this as the sole reason for T-20 discontinuation. Symptoms included pain and discomfort, induration, erythema, nodules and cysts, pruritis, and ecchymosis. Although infection is uncommon (1% of patients), caregivers should monitor injection sites carefully for signs or symptoms of cellulitis or local infection. Biopsies of local cutaneous reactions indicated a variety of pathologies, including a chronic scleroderma-like pathology, suggesting that injection sites should be rotated [14]. There are reports in adults of fewer and less severe injector site reactions if alternative delivery systems (e.g., Biojector) are used when contrasted to routine injections [15]. An increased rate of bacterial pneumonia (4.7 pneumonia events per 100 patient-years) was observed in T-20-treated adults in phase III studies compared to the control arm; the relation of this finding to T-20 use is uncertain. However, patients should be monitored for signs and symptoms of pneumonia, particularly if they have a low initial CD4 cell count, high initial viral load, history of prior lung disease, or are intravenous drug users or smokers (a particular concern in adolescents). Other adverse events reported in trials include insomnia, myalgia, peripheral neuropathy, and depression.

Serious hypersensitivity reactions are rare. Symptoms include rash, fever, nausea and vomiting, chills, hypotension, and elevated liver transaminases; other presumably immune-mediated symptoms include respiratory distress, glomerulonephritis with hematuria, and Guillain-Barre syndrome. If such symptoms occur, therapy with T-20 should be discontinued and should not be restarted because hypersensitivity may recur on rechallenge. Treatment-related eosinophilia occurred in 11.2% of adults in a phase III trial, compared to only 2.4% of control patients [14]. However, eosinophilia was not associated with clinical events suggestive of systemic hypersensitivity.

In the pediatric trials of chronic T-20 use, no lifethreatening adverse events attributable to T-20 were identified, and no systemic serious toxicities were related to T-20 administration. Commonly encountered findings included wheezing episodes, respiratory infections, nausea, vomiting, and other typical pediatric maladies and, in general, were judged not to be related to T-20 use. In one study, two children died; one had preexisting pneumonitis prior to T-20 initiation and died as a result of multiorgan failure and mitochondrial toxicity, and the second succumbed to septicemia [6]. As in adult trials, injection site reactions were frequent and have been observed in 79%-87% of children in pediatric studies; they usually begin shortly after treatment initiation and are mild although, in one study, 7% of children experienced cellulitis [4,6]. Grade III and IV laboratory anomalies were infrequently observed and were not judged to be related to T-20 experience.

### Pediatric Experience

T-20 has been studied in HIV-infected children in combination with other antiretroviral drugs [4-6,16,17]. PACTG 1005 initially studied T-20 in 14 HIV-infected children aged 4-12 years with incomplete viral suppression on their current antiretroviral regimen (plasma HIV RNA concentrations >10,000 copies/mL while receiving a stable combination of 2 NRTIs plus an NNRTI or a PI for ≥16 weeks) [4]. Part A included a single-dose pharmacokinetic evaluation of T-20 given subcutaneously and then intravenously at 15, 30, or 60 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area. The dose of T-20 that reliably resulted in the target trough concentration (1,000 ng/mL) was 60 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area per dose, the approximate "equivalent" of a 90 mg dose delivered to a typical adult with a body surface area of 1.7 meter<sup>2</sup>. This resulted in the recommended pediatric label dose in children aged 6-16 years of 2 mg/kg (maximum 90

mg) twice daily administered subcutaneously. In a second pediatric study of 25 children aged 5–16 years, the 2 mg/kg dose, with a maximum dose of 90 mg, was found to yield drug concentrations similar to 60 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area dose and drug exposure was independent of age group, body weight, body surface area, and surface maturation [18]. Further data are needed in children <6 years of age. No metabolic induction or inhibition of T-20 was observed in PACTG 1005, nor was there a statistical relationship, within the utilized dosing schedule, between drug exposure with this agent and virologic benefit [16].

Part B of PACTG 1005 evaluated the safety and antiretroviral activity of chronic twice-daily subcutaneous T-20 administration at 60 mg per meter<sup>2</sup> of body surface area per dose. For 7 days, the drug was added to each child's background antiretroviral regimen; at day 7, each child's background therapy was changed to a regimen that was predicted to be virologically active, while T-20 was continued. Children were followed for up to 96 weeks on the study. Two elected to discontinue T-20 within 24 weeks (one due to injection aversion, one due to a surgical procedure), four discontinued due to virologic failure (defined as >1 log increase in viral load above baseline), and two discontinued due to Grade 3 toxicity. In this cohort, most children had local injection site reactions. Seventy-nine percent of children had >0.7 log reduction in HIV RNA by day 7. At 24 weeks of treatment, 71% had a >1.0 log reduction, 43% were suppressed to <400 copies/mL. and 21% were suppressed to <50 copies/mL [5]. However, only 36% of children maintained virologic suppression (>1.0 log decrease in HIV RNA) at week 96 [17]. Significant improvements in CD4 percentage and height z-score were observed in children receiving T-20 for 48 and 96 weeks.

T20-310, a phase I/II study of T-20 (2.0 mg/kg subcutaneously, maximum 90 mg, twice daily) plus an optimized background antiretroviral regimen enrolled 52 treatment-experienced children, 3–16 years of age for 48 weeks. Of those completing 48 weeks of therapy (64%), the median decrease in HIV RNA was -1.17log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL (n=32) and there was a median increase of 106 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> (n=25). Treatment responses at week 8 were superior in children when contrasted with adolescents as measured by plasma HIV RNA change from baseline (-2.85 versus -0.12 log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL) or those maintaining HIV RNA <400 (42% versus 4%).

Median increases in CD4 count were 257 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> in children and 84 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> in adolescents. The observed differential responses between children and adolescents probably reflects unique challenges to adherence with the prescribed regimen [6].

- Lalezari JP, Henry K, O'Hearn M, et al. Enfuvirtide, an HIV-1 fusion inhibitor, for drugresistant HIV infection in North and South America. N Engl J Med, 2003. 348(22):2175-85. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12637625
- 2. Lazzarin A, Clotet B, Cooper D, et al. Efficacy of enfuvirtide in patients infected with drug-resistant HIV-1 in Europe and Australia. *N Engl J Med*, 2003. 348(22):2186-95. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12773645
- 3. Reynes J, Arastéh K, Clotet B, et al. TORO: ninety-six-week virologic and immunologic response and safety evaluation of enfuvirtide with an optimized background of antiretrovirals. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*, 2007. 21(8):533-43. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17711378
- Church JA, Cunningham C, Hughes M, et al. Safety and antiretroviral activity of chronic subcutaneous administration of T-20 in human immunodeficiency virus 1-infected children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2002. 21(7):653-9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12237598
- Cunningham C, Church J, Hughes M, et al. Chronic subcutaneous T-20 (enfuvirtide) in HIVinfected children: 48 week outcome. 40<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Infectious Disease Society of America. October 24-27, 2002; Chicago, IL. Abstract 441.
- Wiznia A, Church J, Emmanuel P, et al. Safety and efficacy of enfuvirtide for 48 weeks as part of an optimized antiretroviral regimen in pediatric human immunodeficiency virus 1-infected patients. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2007. 26(9):799-805. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17721374">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17721374</a>
- Lazzarin A, Campbell T, Clotet B, et al. Efficacy and safety of TMC125 (etravirine) in treatmentexperienced HIV-1-infected patients in DUET-2: 24-week results from a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*, 2007. 370(9581):39-48. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17617271
- 8. Salzberger B, Däumer M, Gute P, et al. Consensus recommendation from a group of German experts for the use of enfuvirtide in heavily pretreated HIV

- patients. *Eur J Med Res*, 2007. 12(3):93-102. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17507306
- 9. Hanna SL, Yang C, Owen SM, Lal RB. Resistance mutation in HIV entry inhibitors. *AIDS*, 2002. 16(12):1603-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12172081
- 10. Rimsky LT, Shugars DC, Matthews TJ. Determinants of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 resistance to gp41-derived inhibitory peptides. *J Virol*, 1998. 72(2):986-93. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9444991
- 11. Lu J, Deeks SG, Hoh R, et al. Rapid emergence of enfuvirtide resistance in HIV-1-infected patients: results of a clonal analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2006. 43(1):60-4. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16885776
- Melby TE, Despirito M, Demasi RA, et al. Association between specific enfuvirtide resistance mutations and CD4 cell response during enfuvirtide-based therapy. AIDS, 2007. 21(18):2537-9. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18025893">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18025893</a>
- 13. Walmsley S, Henry K, Nelson M, et al. Enfuvirtide (T-20) cross-reactive glycoprotein 41 antibody does not impair the efficacy or safety or enfuvirtide. *J Infect Dis*, 2003. 188(12):1827-33. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14673761">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14673761</a>
- Maggi P, Ladisa N, Cinori E, et al. Cutaneous injection site reactions to long-term therapy with enfuvirtide. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 2004. 53(4):678-81. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14985276">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14985276</a>
- 15. Loutfy MR, Harris M, Raboud JM, et al. A large prospective study assessing injection site reactions, quality of life and preference in patients using the Biojector vs standard needles for enfuvirtide administration. *HIV Med*, 2007. 8(7):427-32. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17760734
- 16. Soy D, Aweeka FT, Church JA, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of enfuvirtide in pediatric patients with human immunodeficiency virus: searching for exposure-response relationships. *Clin Pharmacol Thera*, 2003. 74(6):569-80. <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14663459">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14663459</a>
- 17. Church JA, Hughes M, Chen J, et al. for the PACTG 1005 Study Team. Long-term tolerability and safety of enfuvirtide for human immunodeficiency virus 1-infected children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2004. 23(8):713-8. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15295220

Bellibas SE, Siddique Z, Dorr A, et al.
 Pharmacokinetics of enfuvirtide in pediatric human immunodeficiency virus 1-infected patients receiving combination therapy. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, 2004. 23(12):1137-41.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15626952

# Maraviroc (MVC, Selzentry®)

URL: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugs">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugs</a> atfda/

See Also: <u>Appendix B: Characteristics of Available</u> <u>Antiretroviral Drugs</u>

#### Overview

Maraviroc (MVC) is a CCR5 coreceptor antagonist indicated for combination antiretroviral treatment of adults infected with HIV-1 that is solely CCR5-tropic and who have evidence of viral replication and HIV-1 strains resistant to multiple antiretroviral agents. MVC was approved by the FDA in August 2007 based on analyses of HIV RNA concentrations documented in two controlled studies of MVC given over 24 weeks to clinically advanced three-class antiretroviral (NRTI, NNRTI, PI, or enfuvirtide) treatment-experienced adults with evidence of HIV replication despite ongoing antiretroviral therapy. There are no study results demonstrating the effect of MVC on clinical progression of HIV infection in adults, and there are no data available on MVC in HIV-infected children or adolescents <16 years of age [1,2].

Tropism testing and treatment history should guide the use of MVC. Use of MVC is not recommended in patients with testing that reveals the presence of HIV with dual/mixed CXCR4/CCR5 tropism or pure CXCR4 tropism because a lack of efficacy was demonstrated in phase II studies in patients in this category.

MVC is a substrate of CYP450 enzymes (principally CYP3A4), a P-glycoprotein (P-gp), and its clearance is substantially influenced by inhibitors and inducers of these enzymes. The extensive drug-drug interaction potential of MVC when coadministered with multiple classes of drugs must be considered prior to and during its use.

#### Resistance

MVC selectively binds to the human chemokine receptor CCR5 present on the cell membrane, preventing the interaction of HIV gp120 and CCR5 that is necessary for CCR5-tropic virus to enter CD4

cells. The entry of CXCR4-tropic and dual-tropic HIV into CD4 cells is not inhibited by MVC, and drug-resistant variants can be selected *in vitro*. In pivotal clinical trials, CXCR4-using (i.e., CXCR4- or dual/mixed-tropic) virus was detected in patients at the time of failure that was not detected by the tropism assay prior to treatment. A detailed clonal analysis revealed that CXCR4-using virus in these subjects emerged from a low concentration of pre-existing CXCR4-using virus that was not detected by the tropism assay prior to treatment rather than from a coreceptor switch from CCR5-tropic virus to CXCR4-using virus resulting from mutation in the virus [3-5]. Newer more sensitive tropism assays are now available.

### Adverse Effects

In adults with twice-daily MVC therapy, the most common adverse events reported were cough, fever, upper respiratory tract infections, rash, musculoskeletal symptoms, abdominal pain, and dizziness. Additional adverse events that occurred with once-daily dosing at a higher rate than both placebo and twice-daily dosing were diarrhea, edema, influenza, esophageal candidiasis, sleep disorders, rhinitis, parasomnias, and urinary abnormalities. Most of the adverse events reported were judged to be mild to moderate in severity. Cardiovascular events, including myocardial ischemia or infarction, have been observed at higher rates in MVC-treated patients than in placebo. QT prolongation has been observed in animal studies at up to 12 times the recommended human dosage, but no prolongation has been noted in treatmentexperienced patients taking recommended dosages. When given to HIV-infected patients in phase III studies at recommended dosages, no greater rates of postural hypotension were observed. However, the dose-limiting adverse effect in clinical studies, observed at daily doses of MVC 600 mg, is postural hypotension.

Hepatotoxicity has been reported with MVC use. Evidence of a systemic allergic reaction (e.g., pruritic rash, eosinophilia, or elevated IgE) prior to the development of hepatotoxicity may occur. Patients with signs or symptoms of hepatitis or allergic reaction following use of MVC should be evaluated immediately. The safety and efficacy of MVC have not been specifically studied in patients with significant underlying liver disorders, and only a small number of subjects who were coinfected with hepatitis B or hepatitis C participated in pivotal

trials. Caution should be used when administering MVC to patients with pre-existing liver dysfunction or who are coinfected with hepatitis B or C viruses.

### Pediatric Experience

The pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy of MVC in patients <16 years of age have not been established.

# **References:**

- Maraviroc product label. Revised August 06, 2007. Available at <a href="http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2007/022128lbl.pdf">http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2007/022128lbl.pdf</a>
- Dorr P, Westby M, Dobbs S, et al. Maraviroc (UK-427,857), a potent, orally bioavailable, and selective small-molecule inhibitor of chemokine receptor CCR5 with broad-spectrum anti-human immunodeficiency virus type 1 activity.
   Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2005. 49(11):4721-32.
   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16251317
- 3. Westby M, Smith-Burchnell C, Mori J, et al. Reduced maximal inhibition in phenotypic susceptibility assays indicates that viral strains resistant to the CCR5 antagonist maraviroc utilize inhibitor-bound receptor for entry. *J Virol*, 2007. 81(5):2359-71. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17182681
- 4. Westby M, Lewis M, Whitcomb J, et al. Emergence of CXCR4-using human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) variants in a minority of HIV-1-infected patients following treatment with the CCR5 antagonist maraviroc is from a pretreatment CXCR4-using virus reservoir. *J Virol*, 2006. 80(10):4909-20.
  - http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16641282
- IAS-USA Drug Resistance Mutations. Update of the Drug Resistance Mutations in HIV-1: Fall 2006. 14(3):Available at: <a href="http://www.iasusa.org/pub/topics/2006/issue3/125.pdf">http://www.iasusa.org/pub/topics/2006/issue3/125.pdf</a>.

# **INTEGRASE INHIBITORS**

# Raltegravir (RAL, Isentress®)

URL:

http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/ See Also: Appendix B: Characteristics of Available Antiretroviral Drugs

#### Overview

Raltegravir is an integrase inhibitor indicated for combination antiretroviral treatment of treatment-experienced adult patients who have evidence of viral replication and HIV-1 strains resistant to multiple antiretroviral regimens. Raltegravir interferes with the strand transfer activity of the HIV integrase protein, which inserts the viral DNA into the host cell chromosome to form the viral provirus. Raltegravir was approved by the FDA in October 2007 based on safety and efficacy data from two 24-week-long studies involving treatment-experienced adult patients with documented resistance to one or more drugs in each of three classes (NRTI, NNRTIs, PIs) [1].

Raltegravir is also being studied in treatment-naïve patients [2]. In one study, viral loads became undetectable more rapidly in patients who received raltegravir at any dose than in those who received efavirenz. However, the antiretroviral activity of raltegravir was otherwise similar to efavirenz after 24 and 48 weeks of therapy.

The major mechanism of clearance of raltegravir in humans is UGT1A1-mediated glucuronidation. Raltegravir should be used with caution when administered with strong inducers of UGT1A1, including rifampin, which may reduce plasma concentrations of raltegravir.

In adults, the pharmacokinetics of raltegravir are substantially altered by food. A high-fat meal delays the absorption of the drug and leads to a marked increase in drug exposure. However, raltegravir was dosed with or without food in phase II and phase III trials without marked differences in adverse effects. Consequently, the manufacturer states that raltegravir can be taken without regard to food.

# Resistance

Resistance to raltegravir is associated with integrase mutations at either Q148 or N155. Additional mutations are commonly seen, which further enhance resistance.

# Adverse Effects

The most common adverse reactions (>10%) of all intensities, reported in subjects in either raltegravir or the placebo treatment group, regardless of causality were: nausea, headache, diarrhea, and fever.

Creatine kinase elevations have been observed in subjects who received raltegravir. Myopathy and rhabdomyolysis have been reported but the relationship of raltegravir to these events is not known. The drug should be used with caution in patients at increased risk of myopathy or rhabdomyolysis, such as patients receiving concomitant medications known to cause these conditions.

# Pediatric Experience

The pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy of raltegravir in patients <16 years of age have not been established. Studies involving children and adolescents are under way in IMPAACT protocol P1066.

- Raltegravir product label. October 12, 2007. Available at www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2007/022145lbl.pdf.
- 2. Markowitz M, Nguyen BY, Gotuzzo E, et al. Rapid and durable antiretroviral effect of the HIV-1 Integrase inhibitor raltegravir as part of combination therapy in treatment-naive patients with HIV-1 infection: results of a 48-week controlled study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 2007. 46(2):125-33. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17721395